

Systemic inequalities for \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant contact forms in dimension three

Simon Vialaret (Université Paris-Saclay / Ruhr-Universität Bochum)

December 19, 2024

Systolic inequalities

Systolic inequalities

Systole: $\text{sys}(\alpha) =$ shortest period of a closed Reeb orbit.

Systolic inequalities

Systole: $\text{sys}(\alpha)$ = shortest period of a closed Reeb orbit.

A class \mathcal{C} of contact forms on M^{2n+1} satisfies a **systolic inequality** when

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}, \text{sys}(\alpha)^{n+1} \leq C_{\mathcal{C}} \text{Vol}(\alpha)$$

Systolic inequalities

Systole: $\text{sys}(\alpha)$ = shortest period of a closed Reeb orbit.

A class \mathcal{C} of contact forms on M^{2n+1} satisfies a **systolic inequality** when

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}, \text{sys}(\alpha)^{n+1} \leq C_{\mathcal{C}} \text{Vol}(\alpha)$$

Motivations:

Systolic inequalities in metric geometry

Systolic inequalities

Systole: $\text{sys}(\alpha) =$ shortest period of a closed Reeb orbit.

A class \mathcal{C} of contact forms on M^{2n+1} satisfies a **systolic inequality** when

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}, \text{sys}(\alpha)^{n+1} \leq C_{\mathcal{C}} \text{Vol}(\alpha)$$

Motivations:

Systolic inequalities in metric geometry

Relation with symplectic capacities of convex domains

No global systolic inequalities:

Theorem (Abbondandolo, Bramham, Hryniewicz, Salomão / Sağlam)

Let (M, ξ) a contact manifold, and $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a contact form α on M with $\ker \alpha = \xi$, $\text{Vol}(\alpha) < \epsilon$ and $\text{sys}(\alpha) \geq 1$.

No global systolic inequalities:

Theorem (Abbondandolo, Bramham, Hryniewicz, Salomão / Sağlam)

Let (M, ξ) a contact manifold, and $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a contact form α on M with $\ker \alpha = \xi$, $\text{Vol}(\alpha) < \epsilon$ and $\text{sys}(\alpha) \geq 1$.

A local inequality around Zoll contact forms:

Zoll contact forms: all Reeb orbits are periodic, with same minimal period

No global systolic inequalities:

Theorem (Abbondandolo, Bramham, Hryniewicz, Salomão / Sağlam)

Let (M, ξ) a contact manifold, and $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a contact form α on M with $\ker \alpha = \xi$, $\text{Vol}(\alpha) < \epsilon$ and $\text{sys}(\alpha) \geq 1$.

A local inequality around Zoll contact forms:

Zoll contact forms: all Reeb orbits are periodic, with same minimal period

Theorem (Álvarez–Paiva, Balacheff / Abbondandolo, Benedetti)

A contact form is a local maximizer of $\alpha \mapsto \frac{\text{sys}(\alpha)^{n+1}}{\text{Vol}(\alpha)}$ if and only if it is Zoll.

S^1 -invariant contact forms

Framework:

- $M(g, e) =$ unique \mathbb{S}^1 -principal bundle with Euler number $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ over an oriented surface with genus g .

Framework:

- $M(g, e) =$ unique \mathbb{S}^1 -principal bundle with Euler number $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ over an oriented surface with genus g .
- α is *invariant* is $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = 0$, where X generates the \mathbb{S}^1 -action.

Framework:

- $M(g, e) =$ unique \mathbb{S}^1 -principal bundle with Euler number $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ over an oriented surface with genus g .
- α is *invariant* is $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = 0$, where X generates the \mathbb{S}^1 -action.
- $\Omega(g, e) = \{\alpha \text{ positive, invariant on } M(g, e)\}$

Framework:

- $M(g, e) =$ unique \mathbb{S}^1 -principal bundle with Euler number $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ over an oriented surface with genus g .
- α is *invariant* is $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = 0$, where X generates the \mathbb{S}^1 -action.
- $\Omega(g, e) = \{\alpha \text{ positive, invariant on } M(g, e)\}$
- Lutz classifies \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant contact structures in dimension three:

 $\Omega(g, e)$ has infinitely many components, with a combinatorial description.

Theorem A (V. 2024)

There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $g \in \mathbb{N}$, $e \neq 0$,

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega(g, e), \quad \text{sys}(\alpha)^2 \leq C \text{Vol}(\alpha).$$

Theorem A (V. 2024)

There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $g \in \mathbb{N}$, $e \neq 0$,

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega(g, e), \quad \text{sys}(\alpha)^2 \leq C \text{Vol}(\alpha).$$

- Similar statement on Seifert bundles

Theorem A (V. 2024)

There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $g \in \mathbb{N}$, $e \neq 0$,

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega(g, e), \quad \text{sys}(\alpha)^2 \leq C \text{Vol}(\alpha).$$

- Similar statement on Seifert bundles
- $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ -invariance is not enough.

Theorem A (V. 2024)

There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $g \in \mathbb{N}$, $e \neq 0$,

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega(g, e), \quad \text{sys}(\alpha)^2 \leq C \text{Vol}(\alpha).$$

- Similar statement on Seifert bundles
- $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ -invariance is not enough.
- On trivial bundles: unclear.

Theorem A (V. 2024)

There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $g \in \mathbb{N}$, $e \neq 0$,

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega(g, e), \quad \text{sys}(\alpha)^2 \leq C \text{Vol}(\alpha).$$

- Similar statement on Seifert bundles
- $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ -invariance is not enough.
- On trivial bundles: unclear.
- We get a sharp inequality under more restrictive assumptions.

Main results

Main results

Further assumptions: **tight** invariant contact forms and $g = 0$

Further assumptions: **tight** invariant contact forms and $g = 0$

Theorem B (V. 2024)

- If $e < 0$ or $e \in \{1; 2\}$ then

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega^{\text{tight}}(0, e), \quad \text{sys}(\alpha)^2 \leq \frac{1}{|e|} \text{Vol}(\alpha)$$

and equality if and only if α is Zoll.

Further assumptions: **tight** invariant contact forms and $g = 0$

Theorem B (V. 2024)

- If $e < 0$ or $e \in \{1; 2\}$ then

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega^{\text{tight}}(0, e), \quad \text{sys}(\alpha)^2 \leq \frac{1}{|e|} \text{Vol}(\alpha)$$

and equality if and only if α is Zoll.

- if $e > 2$ then

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega^{\text{tight}}(0, e), \quad \text{sys}(\alpha)^2 < \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(\alpha)$$

and $\frac{1}{2}$ is optimal.

Sketch of proof (Theorem A + B)

Sketch of proof (Theorem A + B)

- Construct a \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant surface of section (not global, finite union of embedded cylinders)

Sketch of proof (Theorem A + B)

- Construct a \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant surface of section (not global, finite union of embedded cylinders)
- For Theorem B: the surface of section is connected, and a direct argument applies.

Sketch of proof (Theorem A + B)

- Construct a \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant surface of section (not global, finite union of embedded cylinders)
- For Theorem B: the surface of section is connected, and a direct argument applies.
- For Theorem A: by contradiction, let $\alpha \in \Omega(g, e)$ with arbitrarily large systolic ratio

Sketch of proof (Theorem A + B)

- Construct a \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant surface of section (not global, finite union of embedded cylinders)
- For Theorem B: the surface of section is connected, and a direct argument applies.
- For Theorem A: by contradiction, let $\alpha \in \Omega(g, e)$ with arbitrarily large systolic ratio

Technical lemma: on each component of the surface of section of α , the first-return map is \mathcal{C}^1 -close to a uniform rotation.

Sketch of proof (Theorem A + B)

- Construct a \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant surface of section (not global, finite union of embedded cylinders)
- For Theorem B: the surface of section is connected, and a direct argument applies.
- For Theorem A: by contradiction, let $\alpha \in \Omega(g, e)$ with arbitrarily large systolic ratio

Technical lemma: on each component of the surface of section of α , the first-return map is \mathcal{C}^1 -close to a uniform rotation.

The only e compatible with that property is 0.

Thank you!!