

# Noetherianity of dualized adjoint representations up to locally diagonal groups

Arthur Bik  
University of Bern

5 April 2018,  
DIAMANT Symposium

## Noetherianity up to a group action

A finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over an infinite field  $K$  is Noetherian, i.e. every descending chain  $X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq X_3 \supseteq \dots$  of Zariski-closed subsets of  $V$  stabilizes.

## Noetherianity up to a group action

A finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over an infinite field  $K$  is Noetherian, i.e. every descending chain  $X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq X_3 \supseteq \dots$  of Zariski-closed subsets of  $V$  stabilizes.

The vector space  $V = K^{\mathbb{N}}$  is not Noetherian. (Take  $X_i = Z(x_1, \dots, x_i)$ .)

## Noetherianity up to a group action

A finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over an infinite field  $K$  is Noetherian, i.e. every descending chain  $X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq X_3 \supseteq \dots$  of Zariski-closed subsets of  $V$  stabilizes.

The vector space  $V = K^{\mathbb{N}}$  is not Noetherian. (Take  $X_i = Z(x_1, \dots, x_i)$ .)

However,  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$  acts linearly on  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$  by permuting entries.

### **Theorem (Cohen, 1967)**

*The space  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$  is  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$ -Noetherian, i.e. every descending chain*

$$X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq X_3 \supseteq \dots$$

*of  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$ -stable Zariski-closed subsets of  $K^{\mathbb{N}}$  stabilizes.*

## Definition

A representation  $V$  of a group  $G$  is called  $G$ -Noetherian when every descending chain  $X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq X_3 \supseteq \dots$  of  $G$ -stable Zariski-closed subsets of  $V$  stabilizes.

We say that a  $G$ -stable Zariski-closed subset  $X$  of  $V$  is  $G$ -Noetherian when every descending chain starting with  $X$  stabilizes.

# Noetherianity up to a group action

## Definition

A representation  $V$  of a group  $G$  is called  $G$ -Noetherian when every descending chain  $X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq X_3 \supseteq \dots$  of  $G$ -stable Zariski-closed subsets of  $V$  stabilizes.

We say that a  $G$ -stable Zariski-closed subset  $X$  of  $V$  is  $G$ -Noetherian when every descending chain starting with  $X$  stabilizes.

## Examples

- Finite-dimension affine varieties with the trivial group action.
- $K^{\mathbb{N} \times n} \oplus K^{m \times \mathbb{N}} \oplus K^k$  with  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$  (or with  $GL$ ).
- $K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  with  $GL \times GL$  acting by left and right multiplication.

## Noetherianity up to a group action

### Theorem (Draisma, 2017)

*Let  $P: \mathbf{Vec} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}$  be a finite-degree polynomial functor.  
Then  $P$  is a Noetherian  $\mathbf{Vec}$ -topological space.*

## Noetherianity up to a group action

### Theorem (Draisma, 2017)

Let  $P: \mathbf{Vec} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}$  be a finite-degree polynomial functor.  
Then  $P$  is a Noetherian  $\mathbf{Vec}$ -topological space.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathrm{GL}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_3 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL} \\
 \downarrow \frown & & \downarrow \frown & & \downarrow \frown & & & & \downarrow \frown \\
 P(K) & \longleftarrow & P(K^2) & \longleftarrow & P(K^3) & \longleftarrow & \dots & \longleftarrow & \varprojlim P(K^n) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
 X_1 & \longleftarrow & X_2 & \longleftarrow & X_3 & \longleftarrow & \dots & \longleftarrow & X
 \end{array}$$



## Noetherianity up to a group action

### Theorem (Draisma, 2017)

Let  $P: \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$  be a finite-degree polynomial functor.  
Then  $P$  is a Noetherian  $\text{Vec}$ -topological space.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{GL}_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_3 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \text{GL} \\
 \downarrow \curvearrowright & & \downarrow \curvearrowright & & \downarrow \curvearrowright & & & & \downarrow \curvearrowright \\
 P(K) & \longleftarrow & P(K^2) & \longleftarrow & P(K^3) & \longleftarrow & \dots & \longleftarrow & \varprojlim P(K^n) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
 X_1 & \longleftarrow & X_2 & \longleftarrow & X_3 & \longleftarrow & \dots & \longleftarrow & X
 \end{array}$$

### Theorem (Eggermont, Snowden, 2017)

Let  $V$  be an algebraic representation of  $G \in \{\text{GL}, \text{Sp}, \text{O}\}$ .  
Then  $V^*$  is  $G$ -noetherian.

## Locally diagonal groups

Consider

$$G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow G_4 \rightarrow \dots$$

with  $G_i \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_n\}$ .

## Locally diagonal groups

Consider

$$G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow G_4 \rightarrow \dots$$

with  $G_i \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_n\}$ .

### Definition

Take  $G, H \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_n\}$ . A homomorphism  $\iota: G \rightarrow H \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$  is called a diagonal embedding if there is a  $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n$  such that

$$\iota(A) = T \mathrm{Diag} (A, \dots, A, A^{-T}, \dots, A^{-T}, 1, \dots, 1) T^{-1}$$

for all  $A \in G$ .

## Locally diagonal groups

Consider

$$G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow G_4 \rightarrow \dots$$

with  $G_i \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_n\}$ .

### Definition

Take  $G, H \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_n\}$ . A homomorphism  $\iota: G \rightarrow H \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$  is called a diagonal embedding if there is a  $T \in \mathrm{GL}_n$  such that

$$\iota(A) = T \mathrm{Diag}(A, \dots, A, A^{-T}, \dots, A^{-T}, 1, \dots, 1) T^{-1}$$

for all  $A \in G$ . With  $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_m$ , this is equivalent to

$$K^n \cong (K^m)^{\oplus l} \oplus ((K^m)^*)^{\oplus r} \oplus K^{\oplus z}$$

as representations of  $G$ .

## Locally diagonal groups

Let

$$G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow G_4 \rightarrow \dots, \quad G_i \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_n\}$$

be a sequence of diagonal embeddings with limit  $G$ .

## Locally diagonal groups

Let

$$G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow G_4 \rightarrow \dots, \quad G_i \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_n\}$$

be a sequence of diagonal embeddings with limit  $G$ . We get a sequence

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_4 \rightarrow \dots, \quad \mathfrak{g}_i \in \{\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \mathfrak{o}_n\}$$

of Lie algebras acted on by conjugation.

## Locally diagonal groups

Let

$$G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow G_4 \rightarrow \dots, \quad G_i \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n, \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, \mathrm{O}_n\}$$

be a sequence of diagonal embeddings with limit  $G$ . We get a sequence

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_4 \rightarrow \dots, \quad \mathfrak{g}_i \in \{\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \mathfrak{o}_n\}$$

of Lie algebras acted on by conjugation.

### Theorem

*Let  $V$  be the inverse limit of the dualized sequence*

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^* \leftarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^* \leftarrow \mathfrak{g}_3^* \leftarrow \mathfrak{g}_4^* \leftarrow \dots$$

*and suppose that  $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq 2$  or  $\#\{i \mid G_i \in \{\mathrm{SL}_n\}\} = \infty$ .*

*Then the space  $V$  is  $G$ -Noetherian.*

## Limits of special linear groups

Consider  $SL_{n_1} \rightarrow SL_{n_2} \rightarrow SL_{n_3} \rightarrow SL_{n_4} \rightarrow \dots$  with maps

$$A \mapsto \text{Diag}(A, \dots, A, A^{-T}, \dots, A^{-T}, 1, \dots, 1)$$



## Limits of special linear groups

Consider  $SL_{n_1} \rightarrow SL_{n_2} \rightarrow SL_{n_3} \rightarrow SL_{n_4} \rightarrow \dots$  with maps

$$A \mapsto \text{Diag}(A, \dots, A, A^{-T}, \dots, A^{-T}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

The bilinear form  $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_n \rightarrow K, (P, Q) \mapsto \text{Tr}(PQ)$  is non-degenerate.

## Limits of special linear groups

Consider  $SL_{n_1} \rightarrow SL_{n_2} \rightarrow SL_{n_3} \rightarrow SL_{n_4} \rightarrow \dots$  with maps

$$A \mapsto \text{Diag}(A, \dots, A, A^{-T}, \dots, A^{-T}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

The bilinear form  $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_n \rightarrow K, (P, Q) \mapsto \text{Tr}(PQ)$  is non-degenerate.  
And the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{gl}_n / \text{span}(I_n) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_n^* \\ P \bmod I_n &\mapsto (Q \mapsto \text{Tr}(PQ)) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

## Limits of special linear groups

Consider  $SL_{n_1} \rightarrow SL_{n_2} \rightarrow SL_{n_3} \rightarrow SL_{n_4} \rightarrow \dots$  with maps

$$A \mapsto \text{Diag}(A, \dots, A, A^{-T}, \dots, A^{-T}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

The bilinear form  $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_n \rightarrow K, (P, Q) \mapsto \text{Tr}(PQ)$  is non-degenerate. And the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{gl}_n / \text{span}(I_n) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_n^* \\ P \bmod I_n &\mapsto (Q \mapsto \text{Tr}(PQ)) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. We get an sequence

$$\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} / \text{span}(I_{n_1}) \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{n_2} / \text{span}(I_{n_2}) \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{n_3} / \text{span}(I_{n_3}) \leftarrow \dots$$

## Limits of special linear groups

We get

$$\mathfrak{gl}_{n_1} / \text{span}(I_{n_1}) \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{n_2} / \text{span}(I_{n_2}) \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{n_3} / \text{span}(I_{n_3}) \leftarrow \dots$$

with maps

$$\mathfrak{gl}_{(l+r)n+z} / \text{span}(I_{(l+r)n+z}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n / \text{span}(I_n)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & \dots & P_{1l} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{l1} & \dots & P_{ll} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{11} & \dots & Q_{1r} & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{r1} & \dots & Q_{rr} & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^l P_{ii} - \sum_{j=1}^r Q_{jj}^T$$

# Preserved algebraic invariants

$$\mathfrak{gl}_{(l+r)n+z} / \text{span}(I_{(l+r)n+z}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n / \text{span}(I_n)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & \dots & P_{1l} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{l1} & \dots & P_{ll} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{11} & \dots & Q_{1r} & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{r1} & \dots & Q_{rr} & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^l P_{ii} - \sum_{j=1}^r Q_{jj}^T$$

# Preserved algebraic invariants

$$\mathfrak{gl}_{(l+r)n+z} / \text{span}(I_{(l+r)n+z}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n / \text{span}(I_n)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & \dots & P_{1l} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{l1} & \dots & P_{ll} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{11} & \dots & Q_{1r} & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{r1} & \dots & Q_{rr} & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^l P_{ii} - \sum_{j=1}^r Q_{jj}^T$$

- If  $(l, r) = (1, 0)$ , then  $\{\min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  is preserved.

## Preserved algebraic invariants

$$\mathfrak{gl}_{(l+r)n+z} / \text{span}(I_{(l+r)n+z}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n / \text{span}(I_n)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & \dots & P_{1l} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{l1} & \dots & P_{ll} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{11} & \dots & Q_{1r} & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{r1} & \dots & Q_{rr} & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^l P_{ii} - \sum_{j=1}^r Q_{jj}^T$$

- If  $(l, r) = (1, 0)$ , then  $\{\min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  is preserved.
- If  $r = z = 0$  and  $\text{char}(K) \mid n$ , then  $\{\text{Tr}(\bullet) = \mu\}$  is preserved.

## Preserved algebraic invariants

$$\mathfrak{gl}_{(l+r)n+z} / \text{span}(I_{(l+r)n+z}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n / \text{span}(I_n)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & \dots & P_{1l} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{l1} & \dots & P_{ll} & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{11} & \dots & Q_{1r} & \bullet \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & Q_{r1} & \dots & Q_{rr} & \bullet \\ \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet & \dots & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^l P_{ii} - \sum_{j=1}^r Q_{jj}^T$$

- If  $(l, r) = (1, 0)$ , then  $\{\min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  is preserved.
- If  $r = z = 0$  and  $\text{char}(K) \mid n$ , then  $\{\text{Tr}(\bullet) = \mu\}$  is preserved.
- If  $z = 0$  and  $\text{char}(K) = 2 \mid n$ , then  $\{\text{Tr}(\bullet) = \mu\}$  is preserved.



## Classification of closed $G$ -stable subsets

### Theorem

*The closed  $G$ -stable subsets of  $V$  are*

- $\emptyset, \{0\}, V,$
- $\{P \in V \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- $\{P \in V \mid \text{Tr}(P) = \mu\}$  for  $\mu \in K$

*whenever these sets make sense.*

## Classification of closed $G$ -stable subsets

### Theorem

*The closed  $G$ -stable subsets of  $V$  are*

- $\emptyset, \{0\}, V,$
- $\{P \in V \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- $\{P \in V \mid \text{Tr}(P) = \mu\}$  for  $\mu \in K$

*whenever these sets make sense.*

### Remark

*Here "make sense" means that the maps*

$$\mathfrak{gl}_{(l+r)n+z} / \text{span}(I_{(l+r)n+z}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n / \text{span}(I_n)$$

*preserve the property. We ask that the property holds in every space.*

## Classification of closed $G$ -stable subsets

The space of  $\infty \times \infty$  matrices  $K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  is the inverse limit of

$$\mathfrak{gl}_1 \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2 \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_3 \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_4 \leftarrow \dots$$

and is acted on by  $GL$  by conjugation.

## Classification of closed $G$ -stable subsets

The space of  $\infty \times \infty$  matrices  $K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  is the inverse limit of

$$\mathfrak{gl}_1 \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2 \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_3 \leftarrow \mathfrak{gl}_4 \leftarrow \dots$$

and is acted on by GL by conjugation.

### Theorem

*The irreducible closed GL-stable subsets of  $K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  are*

- $V$ ,
- $\{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \text{rk}(P - \lambda I) \leq k\}$  for  $\lambda \in K$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- $\{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*In particular,  $K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  is GL-Noetherian.*

## Proof

Let  $X \subsetneq K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a closed GL-stable subset. Let  $X_n$  be the closure of its projection onto  $K^{n \times n}$ .

**Claim:**  $X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

## Proof

Let  $X \subsetneq K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a closed GL-stable subset. Let  $X_n$  be the closure of its projection onto  $K^{n \times n}$ .

**Claim:**  $X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Pick an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X_n \neq K^{n \times n}$  and let  $f(P) \in I(X_n)$  be non-zero and of degree  $d$ . Consider  $f$  as a function on bigger matrices.

## Proof

Let  $X \subsetneq K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a closed GL-stable subset. Let  $X_n$  be the closure of its projection onto  $K^{n \times n}$ .

**Claim:**  $X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda} (\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Pick an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X_n \neq K^{n \times n}$  and let  $f(P) \in I(X_n)$  be non-zero and of degree  $d$ . Consider  $f$  as a function on bigger matrices. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & \lambda I_n & \\ & I_n & \\ & & I_{\bullet} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & Q & \bullet \\ R & S & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \lambda I_n & \\ & I_n & \\ & & I_{\bullet} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} P + \lambda R & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $\lambda \in K$ .

## Proof

Let  $X \subsetneq K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a closed GL-stable subset. Let  $X_n$  be the closure of its projection onto  $K^{n \times n}$ .

**Claim:**  $X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda} (\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Pick an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X_n \neq K^{n \times n}$  and let  $f(P) \in I(X_n)$  be non-zero and of degree  $d$ . Consider  $f$  as a function on bigger matrices. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & \lambda I_n & & \\ & I_n & & \\ & & & I_{\bullet} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & Q & \bullet \\ R & S & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \lambda I_n & & \\ & I_n & & \\ & & & I_{\bullet} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} P + \lambda R & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $\lambda \in K$ .

$\Rightarrow \text{span}\{f(P + \lambda R) \mid \lambda \in K\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\text{orbit of } f(P)\} \subseteq I(X_{2n+m})$



$$\text{span}\{f(P + \lambda R) \mid \lambda \in K\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\text{orbit of } f(P)\} \subseteq I(X_{2n+m})$$

The degree of  $f$  is  $d$ . Let  $f_d$  be the homogenous part of  $f$  of degree  $d$ .

$$\text{span}\{f(P + \lambda R) \mid \lambda \in K\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\text{orbit of } f(P)\} \subseteq I(X_{2n+m})$$

The degree of  $f$  is  $d$ . Let  $f_d$  be the homogenous part of  $f$  of degree  $d$ .  
 $\Rightarrow f(P + \lambda R) = (\dots) + \lambda(\dots) + \dots + \lambda^{d-1}(\dots) + \lambda^d f_d(R)$

$$\text{span}\{f(P + \lambda R) \mid \lambda \in K\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\text{orbit of } f(P)\} \subseteq I(X_{2n+m})$$

The degree of  $f$  is  $d$ . Let  $f_d$  be the homogenous part of  $f$  of degree  $d$ .

$$\Rightarrow f(P + \lambda R) = (\dots) + \lambda(\dots) + \dots + \lambda^{d-1}(\dots) + \lambda^d f_d(R)$$

The field  $K$  is infinite.

$$\text{span}\{f(P + \lambda R) \mid \lambda \in K\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\text{orbit of } f(P)\} \subseteq I(X_{2n+m})$$

The degree of  $f$  is  $d$ . Let  $f_d$  be the homogenous part of  $f$  of degree  $d$ .

$$\Rightarrow f(P + \lambda R) = (\dots) + \lambda(\dots) + \dots + \lambda^{d-1}(\dots) + \lambda^d f_d(R)$$

The field  $K$  is infinite.

$\Rightarrow$  the coefficients of  $\lambda^i$  are contained in  $\text{span}\{f(P + \lambda R) \mid \lambda \in K\}$ .

$$\text{span}\{f(P + \lambda R) \mid \lambda \in K\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\text{orbit of } f(P)\} \subseteq I(X_{2n+m})$$

The degree of  $f$  is  $d$ . Let  $f_d$  be the homogenous part of  $f$  of degree  $d$ .

$$\Rightarrow f(P + \lambda R) = (\dots) + \lambda(\dots) + \dots + \lambda^{d-1}(\dots) + \lambda^d f_d(R)$$

The field  $K$  is infinite.

$\Rightarrow$  the coefficients of  $\lambda^i$  are contained in  $\text{span}\{f(P + \lambda R) \mid \lambda \in K\}$ .

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .

# Proof

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & B & \\ & & I_{m-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ R & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & B & \\ & & I_{m-n} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ BRA^{-1} & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $A, B \in \text{GL}_n$ .

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & B & \\ & & I_{m-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ R & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & B & \\ & & I_{m-n} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ BRA^{-1} & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $A, B \in \text{GL}_n$ .

$\Rightarrow \{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\} \subseteq K^{n \times n}$  is  $\text{GL}_n \times \text{GL}_n$ -stable.



## Proof

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & B & \\ & & I_{m-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ R & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & B & \\ & & I_{m-n} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ BRA^{-1} & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $A, B \in \text{GL}_n$ .

$\Rightarrow \{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\} \subseteq K^{n \times n}$  is  $\text{GL}_n \times \text{GL}_n$ -stable.

- $\overline{\{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\}} = \{M \in K^{n \times n} \mid \text{rk}(M) \leq k\}$  for some  $k$ .

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .
- $\overline{\{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\}} = \{M \in K^{n \times n} \mid \text{rk}(M) \leq k\}$  for some  $k$ .

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .
- $\overline{\{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\}} = \{M \in K^{n \times n} \mid \text{rk}(M) \leq k\}$  for some  $k$ .

$$\Rightarrow \{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\} \subseteq \{M \in \mathfrak{gl}_n \mid \text{rk}(M) < d\}.$$

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .
- $\overline{\{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\}} = \{M \in K^{n \times n} \mid \text{rk}(M) \leq k\}$  for some  $k$ .

$$\Rightarrow \{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\} \subseteq \{M \in \mathfrak{gl}_n \mid \text{rk}(M) < d\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow X_{2n+m} \subseteq \{M \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n+m} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) < d\}$$

- $f_d(R)$  is a non-zero polynomial in the ideal of  $X_{2n+m}$  of degree at most  $d$  only dependent on  $R$ .
- $\overline{\{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\}} = \{M \in K^{n \times n} \mid \text{rk}(M) \leq k\}$  for some  $k$ .

$$\Rightarrow \{R \mid \text{elements of } X_{2n+m}\} \subseteq \{M \in \mathfrak{gl}_n \mid \text{rk}(M) < d\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow X_{2n+m} \subseteq \{M \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n+m} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) < d\}$$

$$\Rightarrow X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) < d\}$$

This proves the claim.

# Proof

*u*<sup>b</sup>

---

b  
UNIVERSITÄT  
BERN

**Claim:**  $X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

# Proof

**Claim:**  $X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Claim:** If  $\text{rk}(P - \lambda I) = r < \infty$ , then  $\overline{\{\text{orbit of } P\}} = \{\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I) \leq r\}$ .

**Claim:**  $\{\lambda \mid \{\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I) \leq \ell\} \subseteq X\}$  is closed in  $K$  for every  $\ell$ .

# Proof

**Claim:**  $X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Claim:** If  $\text{rk}(P - \lambda I) = r < \infty$ , then  $\overline{\{\text{orbit of } P\}} = \{\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I) \leq r\}$ .

**Claim:**  $\{\lambda \mid \{\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I) \leq \ell\} \subseteq X\}$  is closed in  $K$  for every  $\ell$ .

Take  $\ell \leq k$  maximal so that this set is  $K$ .



## Proof

**Claim:**  $X \subseteq \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Claim:** If  $\text{rk}(P - \lambda I) = r < \infty$ , then  $\overline{\{\text{orbit of } P\}} = \{\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I) \leq r\}$ .

**Claim:**  $\{\lambda \mid \{\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I) \leq \ell\} \subseteq X\}$  is closed in  $K$  for every  $\ell$ .

Take  $\ell \leq k$  maximal so that this set is  $K$ .





Then

$$X = \{P \in K^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mid \min_{\lambda}(\text{rk}(P - \lambda I)) \leq \ell\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N \{\text{rk}(\bullet - \lambda I) \leq r_i\}$$

for some  $\ell < r_1, \dots, r_N \leq k$ .



Thank you for your attention!

-  Bik, *Noetherianity up to conjugation of locally diagonal inverse limits*, preprint.
-  Draisma, *Topological Noetherianity of polynomial functors*, preprint.
-  Draisma, Eggermont, *Plücker varieties and higher secants of Sato's Grassmannian*, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear.
-  Eggermont, Snowden, *Topological noetherianity for algebraic representations of infinite rank classical groups*, preprint.