

Chromatic Localization

Sept 14, 2017
msh

Origin story

Thm (Sullivan, Atiyah-Segal) After p-completion there is an equivalence of infinite loop spaces

$$BSU \xrightarrow{\sim} BSU^{\otimes}$$

$$BSO \xrightarrow{\sim} BSO^{\otimes}$$

Thm (Mahowald, Adams-Priddy) If Σ is a connected 2-complete spectrum with $S^{\infty} \Sigma \sim BSO$ then $\Sigma \sim bso$. Similarly if Σ is a connected p-complete spectrum with $S^{\infty} \Sigma \sim BSU_p$ then $\Sigma \sim bsu_p$.

Remark Not true for BU.

$$BU \sim CP^{\infty} \vee BSU$$

$$bu \not\sim \Sigma^2 H\mathbb{Z} \vee bsu$$

Remark This is not true without p -adic completion.

Thm (Madsen-Snaith-Tornehave) After p-completion the map

$$S^{\infty}: [bsu, bsu] \rightarrow [BSU, BSU]$$

is a monomorphism with a describable image.

Bousfield gave an elegant, "non-computational" proof.

Bousfield's proof

1) Factorization

Construct a functor $\overline{\Phi}$ fitting into a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spaces} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Phi}} & K(i)\text{-local spectra} \\ \uparrow \Omega^\infty & & \nearrow L_{K(i)} \\ \text{Spectra} & & \end{array}$$

2) Connectivity

Suppose that E is a spectrum. Show that the fiber of the map

$$L_{K(i)} \Omega^\infty E \rightarrow \Omega^\infty L_{K(i)} E$$

is ≥ 2 co-connected*, so that

$$L_{K(i)} \Omega^\infty E \langle 3 \rangle \rightarrow (\Omega^\infty L_{K(i)} E) \langle 3 \rangle$$

is an equivalence.

Remark Actually one shows $\pi_i = 0 \ i \geq 2$
 π_2 is torsion free.

Proof of uniqueness of BSU :

$$\Omega^\infty X \xrightarrow{\sim} BSU \xrightarrow[\overline{\Phi}]{} L_{K(i)} X \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{K(i)} bsu$$

$$\text{Connectivity: } \Omega^\infty X = L_{K(i)} \Omega^\infty X = L_{K(i)} \Omega^\infty X \langle 3 \rangle = \Omega^\infty L_{K(i)} X \langle 3 \rangle$$

$$\text{so the desired spectrum equivalence is } L_{K(i)} X \langle 3 \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{K(i)} bsu \langle 3 \rangle$$

Remark There are higher chromatic analogues of the above. Here is an example of a much more general result of Jeremy Hahn.

Thm (Hahn) If Σ is a connective, p -complete spectrum with

$$\Sigma^\infty \Sigma \sim \Sigma^\infty \Sigma^8 BP\langle 2 \rangle$$

then $\Sigma \sim BP\langle 2 \rangle$.

Not provable by the analogue of Bousfield's argument.

Bousfield localization for spectra

\mathcal{S} = category of spectra

$E \in \mathcal{S}$ a spectrum.

$$\text{Null}_E = \{\Sigma \in \mathcal{S} \mid E \wedge \Sigma \sim *\}$$

Definition $\Sigma \in \mathcal{S}$ is E -local if

$$z \in \text{Null}_E \Rightarrow [z, \Sigma] = 0.$$

Theorem (Bousfield) There exists a functor

$$L_E : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

and a natural transformation

$$1d \rightarrow L_E$$

with the following properties

- i) $L_E \Sigma$ is E -local
- ii) The map $\Sigma \rightarrow L_E \Sigma$ is an E -equivalence.

Consequences i) The fiber $H_E \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma \rightarrow L_E \Sigma$

is E -acyclic, so if Υ is E -local then the restriction map

$$[L_E \Sigma, \Upsilon] \rightarrow [\Sigma, \Upsilon]$$

is an isomorphism, and

$$\text{Map}(L_E \Sigma, \Upsilon) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\Sigma, \Upsilon)$$

is a weak equivalence. So L_E can be regarded as a left adjoint to the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_E \subset \mathcal{S}$ of the full subcategory of E -local spectra.

- ii) L_E is idempotent: the map $L_E \Sigma \rightarrow L_E L_E \Sigma$ induced by $1 \rightarrow L_E$ is a weak equivalence.

Bousfield equivalence

Note that $1 \rightarrow L_E$ depends only on Null_E .

Definition Two spectra E and F are Bousfield equivalent if $\text{Null}_E = \text{Null}_F$.

The Bousfield class $\langle E \rangle$ of E is the equivalence class of E under this equivalence relation.

Relations among Bousfield classes:

$$\langle E \rangle \geq \langle F \rangle \iff \text{Null}_E \subseteq \text{Null}_F$$

$$\langle E \rangle \vee \langle F \rangle = \langle E \vee F \rangle$$

$$\langle E \rangle \wedge \langle F \rangle = \langle E \wedge F \rangle$$

There is a cool theorem which we won't need

Thm (Okonek) The collection of Bousfield classes forms a set.

Note that if $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle F \rangle$ then

$$X \text{ is } E\text{-local} \Rightarrow X \text{ is } F\text{-local}$$

and so there is a natural transformation

$$L_E \rightarrow L_F$$

which may be regarded as being derived from $1 \rightarrow L_F$ by applying L_E .

Dror nullification (Bousfield colocalization)

Generalization of Bousfield localization gotten by replacing $\text{Null}_{\mathbb{E}}$ by something more general. First described by Bousfield in "A Boolean algebra of spectra". Later generalized by Dror/Farjoun.

We will consider a special case.

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition $\Sigma^{\infty}_+ X$ is A -null if for all t

$$[\Sigma^t A, \Sigma^{\infty}_+ X] = 0$$

or equivalently if the function spectrum Σ^A is contractible.

natural transformation α

Thm (Bousfield, Farjoun) There is a functor $N_A : \text{Spectra} \rightarrow \text{Spectra}$ and a natural transformation $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow N_A$ with the properties

- i) N_A is A -null
- ii) For any Y which is A -null, the map

$$[N_A \Sigma^{\infty}_+ Y, \Sigma^{\infty}_+ X] \rightarrow [\Sigma^{\infty}_+ Y, \Sigma^{\infty}_+ X]$$

is an isomorphism.

Variation Given $f : A \rightarrow B$ say Σ is " f -null" if

$$\Sigma^B \rightarrow \Sigma^A$$

is a weak equivalence. There is also a functor N_f and a natural transformation $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow N_A$ as above.

Remark In spectra Σ is f -null iff Σ is C -null, where $C = \bigcup_f B \cup C_A$.

It follows that $N_f = N_C$.

Construction

In principle, every assertion about L_E and N_A follows from the universal property. Some things, however, are easier to prove using the construction.

The functor $N_A(\Sigma)$ is constructed (transfinite) inductively as follows.

Choose an infinite ordinal κ for which A is κ -small:

$$\lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Map}(A, Y_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}(A, \lim_{\leftarrow} Y_s)$$

(for example one can assume A is a CW spectrum and take κ to be the smallest infinite ordinal of cardinality greater than the number of cells of A). One constructs $N_A \Sigma$ inductively, starting with $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$ and, having defined Σ_α for all $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$ defining $\Sigma_\beta = \lim_{\alpha < \beta} \Sigma_\alpha$, if β is a limit ordinal, and by the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigvee \Sigma^t A & \longrightarrow & \bigvee C\Sigma^t A \\ t, \Sigma^t A \rightarrow \Sigma_{\beta-1} & & t, \Sigma^t A \rightarrow \Sigma_{\beta-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma_{\beta-1} & \longrightarrow & \Sigma_\beta . \end{array}$$

In our case of interest A will be a finite CW complex so we can take $\{\alpha < \kappa\}$ to be the ordered set $\{\alpha < 1 < 2 < \dots\}$.

Here is an example of a theorem most easily seen from the construction

Theorem If $E_n A \sim *$ then for all Σ , the map

$$E_n \Sigma \rightarrow E_n N_A(\Sigma)$$

is an equivalence.

↑

The example Theorem

Examples of Bousfield classes

$C_0 = p\text{-localization of finite spectra}$

$C_n = \{ \Sigma \in C_0 \mid K(n-1)_+ \Sigma = 0 \}$ finite spectra of type n .

Thm (Landweber, Ravenel) $C_n \subset C_{n-1}$

Thm (Mitchell) $C_n \neq C_{n-1}$

Thm (H, Smith) If $C \subseteq C_0$ is thick then $C = C_n$ for some n .

Cor (H, Smith) If $\Sigma \not\in I$ are finite then

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle \leq \langle Y \rangle \iff \{ n \mid K(n)_+ \Sigma = 0 \} \supseteq \{ n \mid K(n)_+ Y = 0 \}$$

↑

Class invariance

v_n self maps

Def Suppose $\underline{X} \in C_0$. A map $f: \Sigma^d \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ is a v_n self-map if

- 1) $K(n)_* f$ is nilpotent for $n \neq n$
- 2) $n=0$ and $H_*(f_* \underline{0}) = \text{mult by } d$ for some d
- or 3) $n > 0$ and $K(n)_* f$ is an isomorphism.

Remark There was a choice made in this definition. After raising to a power a v_n -self-map can be shown to satisfy

- 1') $K(n)_* f = 0$ for $n \neq n$
- 2') $K(n)_* f = \text{mult by a power of } v_n$.

Thm (H,S) i) \underline{X} admits a v_n self map $\Leftrightarrow \underline{X} \in C_n$

- ii) If v, v' are v_n self maps of \underline{X} then $v^a = v'^b$ for some a, b .
- iii) If $\underline{X}, \underline{Y} \in C_n$ $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ then there exist v_n self maps

$$v_{\underline{X}}: \Sigma^d \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X} \quad v_{\underline{Y}}: \Sigma^d \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^d \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma^d \underline{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \underline{Y} \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

Exercise i) If $\underline{X} \in C_n - C_{n+1}$ and $v: \Sigma^d \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ is a v_n self-map then

$$\underline{X}_v \subset \Sigma^d \underline{X} \in C_{n+1} - C_n.$$

Vn self-maps and Bousfield classes

Lemma (Ravenel) Suppose $f: \Sigma^d \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a self map. Then

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle = \langle f^* \Sigma \rangle \vee \langle \Sigma \cup C \Sigma^d \Sigma \rangle$$

proof An enjoyable exercise.

Start with $\Sigma_0 \in C_0 \setminus C_1$, (say $\Sigma_0 = S^0$)

$$v_0: \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \Sigma_0 \quad (\text{say } v_0 = p)$$

a v_0 self map

Set $\Sigma_1 = \text{cofiber } v_0$.

Having defined Σ_{n-1} choose a v_{n-1} self-map

$$v_{n-1}: \Sigma^{d_{n-1}} \Sigma_{n-1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{n-1}$$

and set

$$\Sigma_n = \text{cofiber } v_{n-1}.$$

By class invariance $\langle \Sigma_0 \rangle = \langle S^0 \rangle$. By Ravenel's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S^0 \rangle &= \langle v_0^* \Sigma_0 \rangle \vee \langle \Sigma_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle v_0^* \Sigma_0 \rangle \vee \langle v_1^* \Sigma_1 \rangle \vee \langle \Sigma_2 \rangle \\ &\vdots \\ &= \langle v_0^* \Sigma_0 \rangle \vee \dots \vee \langle v_n^* \Sigma_n \rangle \vee \langle \Sigma_{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition The above decomposition of $\langle S^0 \rangle$ is orthogonal in the sense that if $i < j$ then $v_i^{-1} \Sigma_i \wedge \Sigma_j$ is contractible and hence so is $(v_i^{-1} \Sigma_i) \wedge (v_j^{-1} \Sigma_j)$.

Proof Some power of

$$V_i \wedge H: \Sigma^{\oplus i} \Sigma_i \wedge \Sigma_j \rightarrow \Sigma_i \wedge \Sigma_j$$

is zero in all Morava K-theories, hence contractible.

For simplicity write

$$E_n^f = v_0^{-1} \Sigma_0 \vee \cdots \vee v_n^{-1} \Sigma_n$$

(it is really the Bousfield class of E_n^f we care about). Note that

$$\langle S^0 \rangle = \langle E_n^f \rangle \vee \langle \Sigma_{n+1} \rangle$$

and that

$$E_n^f \wedge \Sigma_{n+1} \sim *$$

We are interested in the localization functors

$$L_n^f = L_{E_n}$$

$$L_{T(n)} = L_{v_n^{-1} \Sigma_n}$$

Bousfield and Dror

Proposition $L_n^f = N_{\Sigma_{n+1}}$

\uparrow
 Bousfield \leftarrow Dror

We need:

Lemma Both L_E and N_A commute with finite homotopy (co-) limits. In particular if S is finite (dualizable) then the natural maps

$$(L_E \Sigma) \wedge S \rightarrow L_E(\Sigma \wedge S)$$

$$(N_A \Sigma) \wedge S \rightarrow N_A(\Sigma \wedge S)$$

are weak equivalences.

proof For example, to show that

$$(L_E \Sigma) \wedge S \rightarrow L_E(\Sigma \wedge S)$$

is an equivalence it suffices to show that if W is E -local then $W \wedge S$ is E -local. For this, suppose $Z \in \text{Null}_E$. Then

$\text{Spanier-Whitehead dual}$ $E \wedge (Z \wedge DS) \sim (E \wedge Z) \wedge DS \sim *$

implies that $Z \wedge DS \in \text{Null}_E$. Now observe

$$[Z, W \wedge S] = [Z \wedge DS, W] = 0 .$$

The other assertions are similar. \square

Proof of the Proposition: Since $E_n^f \wedge \Sigma_{n+1} \rightsquigarrow$, the spectrum Σ_{n+1} is E_n^f -null and so for all Σ

$$(L_n^f \Sigma) \stackrel{\Sigma_{n+1}}{\rightsquigarrow} \rightsquigarrow.$$

By the universal property of $N_{\Sigma_{n+1}}$ this means that there is a unique natural transformation

$$1 \rightarrow N_{\Sigma_{n+1}} \rightarrow L_n^f$$

of functors (over $\mathbb{1}$). To show it is an equivalence it suffices to show that for all Σ , $N_{\Sigma_{n+1}} \Sigma$ is E_n^f -local. For this suppose that $Z \in \text{Null}_{E_n^f}$. We must show that any map

$$Z \rightarrow N_{\Sigma_{n+1}} \Sigma$$

is null. Since $N_{\Sigma_{n+1}}$ is idempotent, it suffices to show that

$$N_{\Sigma_{n+1}} Z$$

is contractible. By orthogonality, we know that for $i \leq n$

$$v_i^{-1} \Sigma_i \wedge \Sigma_{n+1} \rightsquigarrow.$$

The example theorem then implies that for all $i \leq n$

$$(v_i^{-1} \Sigma_i) \wedge Z \rightarrow (v_i^{-1} \Sigma_i) \wedge N_{\Sigma_{n+1}}(Z)$$

and so

$$(v_i^{-1} \Sigma_i) \wedge N_{\Sigma_{n+1}}(Z) \rightsquigarrow.$$

But we also know, by definition, that

$$D\bar{X}_{n+1} \wedge N_{\bar{X}_{n+1}} \bar{z} \sim (N_{\bar{X}_{n+1}})^{\bar{X}_{n+1}} \sim x.$$

By **class invariance**, $\langle D\bar{X}_{n+1} \rangle = \langle \bar{X}_{n+1} \rangle$. So after all of this we learn that

$$(N_{\bar{X}_{n+1}} \bar{z}) \wedge v_i^{-1} \bar{X}_i \sim x \quad i \leq n$$

$$(N_{\bar{X}_{n+1}} \bar{z}) \wedge \bar{X}_{n+1} \sim x.$$

It follows from

$$\langle S^o \rangle = \langle v_0^{-1} \bar{X}_0 \rangle \vee \dots \vee \langle v_n^{-1} \bar{X}_n \rangle \vee \langle \bar{X}_{n+1} \rangle$$

that

$$v_{\bar{X}_{n+1}} \bar{z} \sim S^o \wedge N_{\bar{X}_{n+1}} \bar{z} \sim x. \quad \square$$

Localization and nullification of spaces

The theory needs to be set up a little differently in Spaces.

Def A map $A \rightarrow B$ is an E -equivalence if $E_* A \rightarrow E_* B$ is an iso.

Def A space W is E -local if for all E -equivalences $A \rightarrow B$, the map

$$W^B \rightarrow W^A$$

is a weak equivalence.

Bousfield constructs an E -localization functor $L_E : \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Spaces}$ and a natural transformation

$$\Sigma \rightarrow L_E \Sigma$$

characterized by the evident analogue of the properties characterizing the localization of Spectra.

Let A be a space. A space Σ is A -null if the inclusion

$$\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{A+} \xleftarrow{\text{to indicate unpointed maps}}$$

of the constant maps is a weak equivalence. Following Bousfield, Farjoun (Dror) constructs an A -nullification functor (and natural trans)

$$\Sigma \rightarrow N_A \Sigma$$

characterized by properties analogous to those characterizing the nullification of spectra.

Unstable L_n^f localization

(all spaces are pointed, all homology is reduced)

So we have several candidates for L_n^f on spaces.

i) Localization with respect to E_n^f

2) Oror nullification with respect to $\Sigma_{n+1} \rightarrow pt \leftarrow$ space of type $(n+1)$.

Proposition Suppose that V and V' are finite CW complexes whose suspension spectra are in $C_{n+1} \sim C_{n+2}$. Choose an integer $m > 0$ with the property that $\exists d, d' \leq m$ with $H_d(V; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$ and $H_{d'}(V'; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$. There is a natural weak equivalence

$$N_V \Sigma \langle m \rangle \sim N_{V'} \Sigma \langle m \rangle$$

(m-i)-connected cover

Proposition If V and d are as above, and W is a spectrum then the maps

$$(L_n^f \Omega^\infty W) \langle d \rangle \rightarrow (\Omega^\infty L_n^f W) \langle d \rangle$$

$$(N_V \Omega^\infty W) \langle d \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^\infty N_V W) \langle d \rangle$$

are weak equivalences.

I will establish one key point in the argument for these results now and return to the rest of the proof in a later talk.

Proposition Suppose that Σ is a space, and $d > 0$ is an integer and

$$i) (E_n^f)_* \Sigma = 0$$

$$ii) H_d(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0. \quad K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d) \text{ Proposition}$$

Then for all $d' \geq d$,

$$(E_n^f)_* (K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d)) = 0.$$

Note that for any non-contractible finite spectrum of type $(n+1)$, some suspension of V is the suspension spectrum of a space which we might as well call V . Since V is not contractible, there is a d for which $H_d(V; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$. Thus a d exists with the property that for $d' \geq d$,

$$L_n^f K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d') \sim *.$$

The smallest possible d is one greater than the minimum possible connectivity of an E_n^f -acyclic space. The theorem shows that this is attained on an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d)$ (and not on a finite complex). The precise value of d is not known. One does know that

$$d \geq n+1$$

and the conjecture is that $d = n+1$. There is some progress toward proving this.

The proof of the proposition requires:

Lemma Suppose that E is a homology theory. If $\Sigma \rightarrow B$ is a map having the property that for each $b \in B$ the map

$$F_b \rightarrow b \quad \text{Fibration Lemma}$$

is an E -equivalence, where F_b is the homotopy fiber over b , then

$$\Sigma \rightarrow B$$

is an E -equivalence.

proof One can use the usual argument inducting over a covering of B over which the fibration is a product, or, equivalently write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \varinjlim_B F_b \\ \downarrow & \\ B &= \varinjlim_B pt \end{aligned}$$

and appeal to the fact that E -equivalences are preserved under homotopy colimits. □

Lemma Suppose E is a spectrum. If $\Sigma \rightarrow p^2$ is an E -equivalence then $Q\Sigma = S^{\infty} \Sigma^{\infty} \rightarrow p^2$ is an E -equivalence.

Proof If E is contractible there is nothing to prove. If E is not contractible then Σ must be connected. In this case we can use the Snaith splitting of the May model

$$\Sigma^{\infty} Q\Sigma \sim \bigvee E\Sigma_{n+1} \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_n} \Sigma^n$$

to reduce to showing that $E\Sigma_{n+1} \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_n} \Sigma^n$ is E -acyclic. But as in the proof of

the previous proposition the map

$$E\Sigma_{n+1} \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_n} \Sigma^n \rightarrow E\Sigma_{n+1} p^2 \sim *$$

is an E -equivalence.

Corollary With E and Σ as above, if Z is a $(-i)$ -connected spectrum then

$$S^{\infty} Z_1 \Sigma$$

is E -acyclic.

proof: Working through a cell decomposition of Σ one reduces to the assertion that if $S^{n-1} \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ is a cofibration sequence and $n \geq 1$ then the map

$$\Omega^\infty Z_1 \wedge \Sigma \rightarrow \Omega^\infty Z_2 \wedge \Sigma$$

is an E -equivalence. But this is a principal fibration with fiber

$$\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^{n-1} \wedge \Sigma$$

so the result follows from the *fibration lemma*, and the above lemma with $S^{n-1} \wedge \Sigma$ playing the role of Σ . □

Proof of the $K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d)$ proposition: Since $H_d(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$ the spectrum $\Sigma^d H\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a retract of $H\mathbb{Z}_p \wedge \Sigma$, and so $K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d)$ is a retract of $\Omega^\infty H\mathbb{Z}_p \wedge \Sigma$. The latter is E_n^f -acyclic by the corollary above. This implies that $K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d)$ is E_n^f -acyclic. Replacing Σ with $\Sigma^{d'-d} \Sigma$ gives the result for $K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d')$. □

Nullification analogue

The above results hold for the nullification N_V with respect to a finite space V of type n .

We start with some general facts. First

Definition A space Σ is A -null if the inclusion of the constant maps $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{A+}$ is an equivalence.

A space B is A -periodic (A co-null) if for all A -null spaces Σ the inclusion of the constant maps $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^{B+}$ is an equivalence.

Lemma Suppose $Z \rightarrow W$ has the property that for all A -null spaces

D , the map

$$D \xrightarrow{w_+} D^{Z+}$$

is an equivalence. Then $N_A Z \rightarrow N_A W$ is a weak equivalence.

pf: Immediate from the universal property.

Lemma If $\Sigma \rightarrow B$ is a map having the property that for each $b \in B$ the homotopy fiber F_b is A -periodic then

$$F_b \rightarrow b \quad (\text{Fibration Lemma})$$

then $N_A \Sigma \rightarrow N_A B$ is a weak equivalence.

proof As in the proof of the previous fibration lemma, the assumptions imply that for each A -null space D , the map $D^{B+} \rightarrow D^{Z+}$ is a weak equivalence. The claim follows from the lemma above.

Proposition If A is connected then $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty A$ is A -periodic.

Proof We will use the May model $\Omega A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Fil}_n$ in which $\text{Fil}_0 = A$ and for $n > 1$, Fil_n is defined inductively by the pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_n'(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A) & \longrightarrow & C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fil}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fil}_n \end{array}$$

in which

$$C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A) = \{ S \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty, \ell: S \rightarrow A \mid |S| = n \}$$

is the configuration space of n points in \mathbb{R}^∞ labeled by elements of A , the subspace $C_n'(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A)$ is the subspace of (S, ℓ) such that $\ell(s) = *$ for some $s \in S$ and the left map sends (S, ℓ) to (S', ℓ') where S' is the complement of some $s \in S$ with $\ell(s) = *$ and ℓ' is the restriction of ℓ . The result follows once one shows that for all A -null spaces D , the map

$$D^{(\text{Fil}_n)_+} \longrightarrow D^{(\text{Fil}_{n-1})_+}$$

is a weak equivalence. From the pushout square it is enough to show that

$$D^{C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A)_+} \longrightarrow D^{C_n'(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A)_+}$$

is a weak equivalence. Now the maps

$$C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A) \rightarrow C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; pt)$$

$$C'_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A) \rightarrow C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; pt)$$

are fibrations with fibers A^n and $T^n(A) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n \mid a_i = *, \text{some } i\}$.

One easily checks that A^n and $T^n A$ are A -periodic, so the fibration lemma implies that in the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^{C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; pt)_+} & \equiv & D^{C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; pt)_+} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^{C_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A)_+} & \longrightarrow & D^{C'_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty; A)_+} \end{array}$$

the vertical maps are weak equivalences. □

Now one can imitate the argument in the stable case to show

Proposition If $H_d(A; \mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0$ then $K(\mathbb{Z}_p, d)$ is A -periodic. □