

The Local Calculation: From Germs to Bundles (Lecture 27)

April 14, 2014

Let k be an algebraically closed field, X an algebraic curve over k , and G a smooth affine group scheme over X , and let T be a nonempty finite set. Assume that the group scheme G is split (so that $G = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} G_0$, where G_0 is a split reductive algebraic group over k). In this lecture, we will prove that the restriction map

$$\text{Ran}_{\text{germ}}^G(X)^T \rightarrow \text{Ran}^G(X)^T$$

is a universal homology equivalence.

Fix a finitely generated k -algebra R and a map $f : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \text{Ran}^G(X)^T$. Then f can be identified with a pair (ν, \mathcal{P}_0) , where $\nu : T \rightarrow X(R)$ is a map of sets and \mathcal{P}_0 is a G -bundle on the divisor $D = |\nu(T)| \subseteq X_R$. Let \mathcal{C} denote the fiber product

$$\text{Ran}_{\text{germ}}^G(X)^T \times_{\text{Ran}^G(X)^T} \text{Spec } R.$$

Unwinding the definitions, we can identify the objects of \mathcal{C} with triples (A, \mathcal{P}, α) where A is a finitely generated R -algebra, \mathcal{P} is a G -bundle on an open subset of X_A which contains D_A (which can be extended to a G -bundle on X_A), and α is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{P}|_{D_A}$ and $\mathcal{P}_0|_{D_A}$. We wish to show that the projection map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ induces an isomorphism on ℓ -adic homology.

Remark 1. The argument that we give here is specific to the case of a split group scheme. In the case of a general group scheme G , our proof that the map

$$\text{Spec } R \times_{\text{Ran}^G(X)^T} \text{Ran}_{\text{germ}}^G(X)^T \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$$

would require that the map $\nu : T \rightarrow X(R)$ is in “general position” with respect to the locus where G fails to be reductive.

The assertion that the map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ is an equivalence can be tested locally on $\text{Spec } R$ (with respect to the étale topology). We may therefore assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{P}_0|_D$ is trivial. Since G is smooth, this implies that the G -bundle \mathcal{P}_0 is trivial. We may therefore identify objects of \mathcal{C} with triples (A, \mathcal{P}, α) , where \mathcal{P} is a G -bundle on some open set $U \subseteq X_A$ containing D_A , and α is a trivialization of $\mathcal{P}|_{D_A}$.

Suppose that (A, \mathcal{P}, α) is an object of \mathcal{C} , so that \mathcal{P} can be extended to a G -bundle $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ on X_A . Let B_0 be a Borel subgroup of G_0 . Using the Drinfeld-Simpson theorem, we see that after passing to an étale cover of $\text{Spec } A$, we can assume that $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ admits a reduction to a B_0 -bundle $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$. The group B_0 fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{rad}_u(B_0) \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m^r \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ determines a finite collection of line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ on the curve X_A . Passing to a Zariski covering of $\text{Spec } A$, we can assume that each of the line bundles \mathcal{L}_i is trivial over an affine open subset $V \subseteq X_A$ which contains D_A . In this case, we can reduce the structure group of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}|_V$ to the unipotent radical $\text{rad}_u(B_0)$. Since V is affine, it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}|_V$ is trivial, so that $\overline{\mathcal{P}}|_V$ is trivial.

Let \mathcal{C}_0 denote the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} spanned by those triples (A, \mathcal{P}, α) where the G -bundle \mathcal{P} is trivial. The above argument shows that the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces an equivalence after sheafification for the étale topology, and therefore induces an isomorphism on \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -homology. We are therefore reduced to proving

that the map $\mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ induces an isomorphism on \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -homology. This map has a canonical section $e : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$, given by the standard trivialization of the trivial G_0 -bundle on X_R . We will complete the proof by showing that e induces an isomorphism on \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -homology.

For any quasi-projective k -scheme Z , we let $\text{Hom}_{\text{germ}}(X_A, Z)$ denote the set of germs of maps from X_A to Z around D_A : that is, the direct limit $\varinjlim \text{Hom}(U, Z)$ where the limit is taken over all open subsets $U \subseteq X_A$ which contain D_A . We let $\text{Hom}(D_A, Z)$ denote the set of maps from D_A into Z (in the category of formal k -schemes). We let $\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, Z)$ denote the prestack whose objects are pairs (A, f) where $A \in \text{Ring}_R$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{germ}}(X_A, Z)$, and we define $\text{Map}(D, Z)$ similarly. Note that we can regard $\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0)$ as a group object in the 2-category of prestacks over $\text{Spec } R$, and we can identify \mathcal{C}_0 with the homotopy quotient of $\text{Map}(D, G_0)$ by the action of $\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0)$. Similarly, we can identify $\text{Spec } R$ with the homotopy quotient of $\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0)$ by the translation action of itself. It follows that e induces an identification of

$$C_*(\text{Spec } R; \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow C_*(\mathcal{C}_0; \mathbf{Z}_\ell)$$

with the geometric realization of a map of simplicial chain complexes

$$C_*(\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0)^n \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Map}(D, G_0); \mathbf{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow C_*(\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0)^n \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0); \mathbf{Z}_\ell).$$

We will complete the proof by showing that for any prestack in groupoids \mathcal{E} equipped with a map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$, the induced map

$$\mathcal{E} \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Map}(D, G_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0)$$

induces an isomorphism on homology. Using a direct limit argument, we can reduce to the case where $\mathcal{E} = \text{Spec } A$ for some finitely generated R -algebra A . Replacing R by A , we are reduced to proving the following:

Proposition 2. *The restriction map $\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0) \rightarrow \text{Map}(D, G_0)$ induces an isomorphism on \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -homology.*

In fact, we will show that the restriction map is a universal homology equivalence. Choose a map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Map}(D, G_0)$, corresponding to a map $u : D_A \rightarrow G_0$; we wish to prove that the projection map

$$\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0) \times_{\text{Map}(D, G_0)} \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$$

induces an isomorphism on homology. Let $V \subseteq G_0$ denote the ‘‘big cell’’ of the Bruhat decomposition. Then V is a dense open subset of G_0 . Consequently, for any finite set of points $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G_0(k)$, the intersection $g_1^{-1}V \cap \dots \cap g_m^{-1}V$ contains some k -point $h \in G_0(k)$, so that $g_1, \dots, g_m \in Vh^{-1}$. In other words, every finite subset of G_0 is contained in some translate Vh^{-1} of V . Consequently, after passing to a Zariski open covering of $\text{Spec } A$, we may assume that the map u factors through some translate $Vh^{-1} \subseteq G_0$. Note that the diagram of prestacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, Vh^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, G_0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}(D, Vh^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(D, G_0) \end{array}$$

is a pullback square: if $f : U \rightarrow G_0$ is a map from some open subset $U \subseteq X_B$ into G_0 which carries D_B into Vh^{-1} , then (after shrinking U) we can assume that $f(U) \subseteq Vh^{-1}$. It will therefore suffice to show that the map $\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, Vh^{-1}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(D, Vh^{-1})$ is a universal homology equivalence.

Note that V is isomorphic (as a k -scheme) to an open subset of an affine space \mathbf{A}^d , where d is the dimension of the group G . Repeating the above argument, we obtain a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, Vh^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, \mathbf{A}^d) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}(D, Vh^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(D, \mathbf{A}^d). \end{array}$$

We are therefore reduced to proving that the map $\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, \mathbf{A}^d) \rightarrow \text{Map}(D, \mathbf{A}^d)$ is a universal homology equivalence. Proceeding by induction on d , we can reduce to proving that the map

$$\text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, \mathbf{A}^1) \rightarrow \text{Map}(D, \mathbf{A}^1)$$

is a universal homology equivalence.

Fix a map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Map}(D, \mathbf{A}^1)$, corresponding to a regular function f_0 on the affine scheme D_A . Let \mathcal{E} denote the fiber product

$$\mathcal{E} = \text{Map}_{\text{germ}}(X_R, \mathbf{A}^1) \times_{\text{Map}(D, \mathbf{A}^1)} \text{Spec } A.$$

We wish to prove that the projection map $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ induces an isomorphism on \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -homology. This assertion is local on $\text{Spec } A$; we may therefore assume without loss of generality that D_A is contained in an affine open subset $U \subseteq X_A$. In this case, we can lift f_0 to a regular function on U , which determines a map $s : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ which is a section of π . To complete the proof, it will suffice to show that the composite map $s \circ \pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ induces an isomorphism on \mathbf{Z}_ℓ -homology. In fact, we claim that $s \circ \pi$ is \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopic to the identity: that is, there exists a map $h : \mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ whose restriction to $\{0\} \times \mathcal{E}$ is the identity, and whose restriction to $\{1\} \times \mathcal{E}$ coincides with $s \circ \pi$. To prove this, we take h to be the “straight line” homotopy, which carries a regular function \bar{f} on an open subset $W \subseteq X_B$ and an element $t \in B$ to the function $(1-t)\bar{f} + tf$ on $\mathbf{A}^1 \times W \cap U_B \subseteq X_B$.