

# The Additivity Theorem (Lecture 17)

October 10, 2014

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category which admits finite colimits. In the previous lecture, we introduced an infinite loop space  $K(\mathcal{C})$ , the *Waldhausen  $K$ -theory space* of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$  of arrows in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the same hypotheses as  $\mathcal{C}$ , so we can also consider the  $K$ -theory space  $K(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}))$ . Our goal in this lecture is to prove the following fundamental result:

**Theorem 1** (Additivity Theorem). *The functor*

$$F : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$$

$$F(\alpha : C \rightarrow D) = (C, \text{cofib}(\alpha))$$

*induces a homotopy equivalence*

$$K(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}) \simeq K(\mathcal{C}) \times K(\mathcal{C}).$$

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1, let us describe some of its consequences. We first note that the functor  $F : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  admits a right homotopy inverse, given by the construction

$$(C, D) \mapsto (C \rightarrow C \vee D).$$

We therefore obtain:

**Corollary 2.** *The functor  $(C, D) \mapsto (C \rightarrow C \vee D)$  induces a homotopy equivalence*

$$K(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})).$$

To state the next Corollary, we will need a bit of notation. Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are pointed  $\infty$ -categories which admit finite colimits, and that  $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a functor which preserves finite colimits. We let  $G_*$  denote the associated map (of infinite loop spaces) from  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  to  $K_0(\mathcal{D})$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $G', G, G'' : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be the functors given by*

$$G'(C \rightarrow D) = C \quad G(C \rightarrow D) = D \quad G''(C \rightarrow D) = D/C.$$

*Then we have  $G_* = G'_* + G''_*$  (in the abelian group of homotopy classes of maps from  $K_0(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}))$  to  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ ).*

*Proof.* By virtue of Corollary 2, it will suffice to show that the corresponding equality holds in the space of maps from  $K(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})$  to  $K(\mathcal{C})$ , which follows immediately from the definition of the addition law on  $K(\mathcal{C})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be pointed  $\infty$ -categories which admit finite colimits, and suppose we are given a cofiber sequence*

$$F' \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \rightarrow F''$$

*of functors from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  which preserve finite colimits. Then  $F_* = F'_* + F''_*$ .*

*Proof.* The natural transformation  $\alpha$  determines a functor  $H : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$  which preserves finite colimits. Unwinding the definitions, we have

$$F'_* = G'_* H_* \quad F_* = G_* H_* \quad F''_* = G''_* H_*$$

where  $G', G, G'' : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  are defined as in Corollary 3. The desired result now follows from the equality  $G_* = G'_* + G''_*$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category which admits finite colimits. Then the suspension functor  $\Sigma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  induces the map  $K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C})$  given by multiplication by  $-1$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 4 to the cofiber sequence of functors

$$\text{id} \rightarrow * \rightarrow \Sigma.$$

$\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category which admits finite colimits. Then the canonical map  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow SW(\mathcal{C})$  induces a homotopy equivalence  $K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(SW(\mathcal{C}))$*

*Proof.* The  $K$ -theory space of  $SW(\mathcal{C})$  can be identified with the direct limit of the sequence

$$K(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_*} K(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_*} K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \dots$$

$\square$

It follows from Corollary 6 that as far as Waldhausen  $K$ -theory is concerned, we might as well always be working with stable  $\infty$ -categories (which will be the focus of our attention in the next several lectures).

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. We have an evident evaluation functor

$$e : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

$$e(C \rightarrow D) = C.$$

Fix a zero object  $* \in \mathcal{C}$ . The fiber of  $e$  over  $*$  can be identified with the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}_*$  of pointed objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  (that is, objects  $D \in \mathcal{C}$  equipped with a map  $* \rightarrow D$ ). Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is pointed, this  $\infty$ -category is equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}$  itself. We therefore have a fiber sequence of  $\infty$ -categories,

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{C}.$$

which gives a diagram of  $K$ -theory spaces

$$K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{e_*} K(\mathcal{C}).$$

Theorem 1 is equivalent to the assertion that this diagram is again a fiber sequence.

It follows immediately from the definitions that for every integer  $n \geq 0$ , we have a fiber sequence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\text{Gap}_{[n]}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gap}_{[n]}(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Gap}_{[n]}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Passing to underlying Kan complexes and allowing  $n$  to vary, we obtain a fiber sequence of simplicial spaces

$$S_\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S_\bullet(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow S_\bullet(\mathcal{C}).$$

We would like to show that the induced diagram of geometric realizations remains a fiber sequence. This is not obvious: in general, a fiber sequence of simplicial pointed spaces

$$X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet \rightarrow Z_\bullet$$

need not yield a fiber sequence  $|X_\bullet| \rightarrow |Y_\bullet| \rightarrow |Z_\bullet|$ . One can show that this holds whenever each of the spaces  $Z_n$  is connected, but this hypothesis is far too strong for our situation (remember that the connected components of  $S_1(\mathcal{C})$  can be identified with the equivalence classes of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ ; in particular,  $S_1(\mathcal{C})$  is never connected unless  $\mathcal{C}$  is trivial). We will instead use the following criterion:

**Proposition 7.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the  $\infty$ -category of spaces and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a small category (or  $\infty$ -category). Suppose we are given a natural transformation  $X \rightarrow Y$  of functors from  $\mathcal{J}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$  which satisfies the following condition:

(\*) For every morphism  $I \rightarrow J$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ , the associated map

$$\varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I)$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Suppose we have a pullback diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & Y. \end{array}$$

Then:

- (a) The map  $X' \rightarrow Y'$  also satisfies (\*).
- (b) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim X' & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim Y' & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim Y \end{array}$$

is also a pullback square.

*Proof.* We first prove (a). Fix a morphism  $I \rightarrow J$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X'(K) \times_{Y'(K)} Y'(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X'(K) \times_{Y'(K)} Y'(I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X'(K) \times_{Y'(K)} Y(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X'(K) \times_{Y'(K)} Y(I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) \end{array}$$

where each square is a pullback. Since the lower horizontal map is a homotopy equivalence, so is the upper horizontal map.

For every morphism  $I \rightarrow J$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ , let  $F(I \rightarrow J)$  denote the colimit  $\varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I)$ . *A priori*, the functor  $F$  is covariant in  $I$  and contravariant in  $J$ . However, condition (\*) implies that  $F$  is actually independent of  $J$ . More precisely, we can write  $F(I \rightarrow J) = F_0(I)$  for some functor  $F_0 : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . Abstractly, we can describe  $F_0$  as the left Kan extension of  $F$  along the forgetful functor  $(I \rightarrow J) \mapsto I$  (from the twisted arrow category of  $\mathcal{J}$  to  $\mathcal{J}$ ). Concretely, we can write  $F_0(I) = F(I \rightarrow I) = \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I)$ . Note that we have an evident projection map  $F_0(I) \rightarrow Y(I)$ , and condition (\*) implies that for every map  $I \rightarrow J$ , the associated map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_0(I) & \longrightarrow & F_0(J) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y(I) & \longrightarrow & Y(J) \end{array}$$

is a pullback square. It follows that for each  $I \in \mathcal{J}$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_0(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim F_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim Y \end{array}$$

is a pullback square.

Using (a), we can apply the same reasoning to the natural transformation  $X' \rightarrow Y'$  to obtain a functor  $F'_0 : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . The proof of (a) shows that for each  $I \in \mathcal{J}$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F'_0(I) & \longrightarrow & F_0(I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y'(I) & \longrightarrow & Y(I) \end{array}$$

is a pullback square. It follows that we also have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F'_0(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim F_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y'(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim Y. \end{array}$$

Passing to the colimit over  $I$  (and using the fact that colimits in  $\mathcal{S}$  commute with base change), we obtain a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim F'_0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim F_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim Y' & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim Y. \end{array}$$

We conclude by observing that there are canonical equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim F_0 &\simeq \varinjlim F \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow J} \varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_K X(K) \times_{Y(K)} \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow J \rightarrow K} Y(I) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_K X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(K) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim X, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $\varinjlim F'_0 \simeq \varinjlim X'$ . □

**Exercise 8.** Let  $X \rightarrow Y$  be a natural transformation of functors  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . Let us say that a morphism  $f : I \rightarrow J$  in  $\mathcal{J}$  is *good* if the natural map

$$\varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I)$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Show that if we are given a pair of morphisms

$$I \xrightarrow{f} J \xrightarrow{g} K$$

in  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $g$  is good, then  $f$  is good if and only if the composition  $g \circ f$  is good.

In order to prove Theorem 1, it will suffice to show that the evaluation map  $e$  induces a map of simplicial spaces  $S_\bullet(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow S_\bullet(\mathcal{C})$  which satisfies the requirements of Proposition 7 (where we take  $\mathcal{J} = \Delta^{\text{op}}$  to be the opposite of the category of nonempty finite linearly ordered sets). Fix a map of linearly ordered sets  $\alpha : [n'] \rightarrow [n]$ ; we wish to show that the induced map

$$\lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [n']} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} S_n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [n]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} S_n(\mathcal{C})$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Using Exercise 8, we may reduce to the case where  $n' = 0$ .

Let us fix a point of  $S_n(\mathcal{C})$ , corresponding to an  $[n]$ -gapped object  $X' \in \mathcal{C}$ . Passing to the homotopy fibers over  $X'$ , we are reduced to proving that the map

$$\theta : \lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [0]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\} \rightarrow \lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [n]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\}$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Let  $\text{cofib} : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be the functor given by  $(f : C \rightarrow D) \mapsto \text{cofib}(f)$ , so that  $\text{cofib}$  induces maps  $S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow S_m(\mathcal{C})$ . It follows immediately from the definitions that the composite map

$$\lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [0]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\} \xrightarrow{\theta} \lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [n]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\} \xrightarrow{\theta'} \lim_{[m] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} S_m(\mathcal{C})$$

is a homotopy equivalence, so that  $\theta$  is a homotopy equivalence if and only if  $\theta'$  is a homotopy equivalence. In particular, we see that the condition that  $\theta$  is a homotopy equivalence is independent of the choice of map  $\alpha : [0] \rightarrow [n]$ . We may therefore assume without loss of generality that  $\alpha(0) = n$ .

For every map  $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ , let  $X'_\beta$  denote the image of  $X'$  in  $\text{Gap}_{[m]}(\mathcal{C})$ . Unwinding the definitions, we can identify  $S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\}$  with the Kan complex

$$Z_\beta = \text{Gap}_{[m]}(\mathcal{C})_{X'_\beta}^{\simeq},$$

whose vertices are maps  $X'_\beta \rightarrow X$  in the  $\infty$ -category of  $[m]$ -gapped objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that if  $\beta \leq \beta'$ , then there is a canonical map  $\tau_{\beta, \beta'} : Z_\beta \rightarrow Z_{\beta'}$  given by  $X \mapsto X'_{\beta'} \amalg_{X'_\beta} X$ .

Let us regard  $[n]$  as fixed, and let  $\mathcal{J}$  denote the category whose objects are nonempty finite linearly ordered sets  $[m]$  and monotone maps  $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  be the full subcategory consisting of those maps  $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$  which take the constant value  $n$  (so that  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is equivalent to the category  $\Delta$ ). The construction  $\beta \mapsto Z_\beta$  determines a functor  $\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , and we wish to show that the canonical map

$$\lim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}_0^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta \rightarrow \lim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

The key observation is that the construction  $\beta \mapsto Z_\beta$  has a little bit of extra functoriality. Let us define an enlargement  $\mathcal{J}_+$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  as follows:

- The objects of  $\mathcal{J}_+$  are nonempty finite linearly ordered sets  $[m]$  equipped with monotone maps  $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ .
- A morphism from  $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$  to  $\beta' : [m'] \rightarrow [n]$  in  $\mathcal{J}_+$  consists of a monotone map  $\gamma : [m] \rightarrow [m']$  such that  $\beta(i) \geq \beta'(\gamma(i))$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m$  (this is an enlargement of the collection of morphisms in  $\mathcal{J}$ , where we would require the stronger condition  $\beta = \beta' \circ \gamma$ ).

Any morphism  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{J}_+$  determines a map  $Z_{\beta'} \rightarrow Z_\beta$ , which carries a map of  $[m']$ -gapped objects  $X'_{\beta'} \rightarrow X$  to the map of  $[m]$ -gapped objects  $X'_\beta \rightarrow X$  where

$$Y(i, j) = X(\gamma(i), \gamma(j)) \amalg_{X'(\beta'(\gamma(i)), \beta'(\gamma(j)))} X'(\beta(i), \beta(j)).$$

We therefore obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \varinjlim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}_0^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \varinjlim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & \varinjlim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}_+^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta &
 \end{array}$$

To prove that the upper horizontal map is a homotopy equivalence, it will suffice to show that the lower horizontal maps are homotopy equivalences. This follows from the following combinatorial observation:

**Lemma 9.** *The inclusion maps  $\mathcal{J}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_+$  and  $\mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_+$  are right cofinal.*

*Proof.* The right cofinality of  $\mathcal{J}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_+$  follows from the fact that it admits a right adjoint (which carries an arbitrary map  $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$  to the constant map  $[m] \rightarrow \{n\}$ ). To prove the right cofinality of the inclusion  $\mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_+$ , we must work a little bit harder. Fix an object of  $\mathcal{J}_+$  given by a map  $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ . Unwinding the definitions, we see that the overcategory  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J} \times_{\mathcal{J}_+} (\mathcal{J}_+) / \beta$  can be identified with the category whose objects are nonempty finite linearly ordered sets  $[k]$  equipped with a monotone map  $\gamma : [k] \rightarrow P$ , where  $P = \{(i, j) \in [m] \times [n] : \beta(i) \leq j\}$ . This category contains as a deformation retract the full subcategory spanned by the injective maps, whose geometric realization is homeomorphic to  $|\mathcal{N}(P)|$ . It will therefore suffice to show that the partially ordered set  $P$  is weakly contractible, which is clear because  $P$  has a smallest element.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Waldhausen, F. *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*.