

$\rho_{\mu, \lambda} = V_i 2^{m+1}$ where V_i is some integer and let f_i be the number of $\rho_{\mu, \lambda} = V_i 2^{m+1}$. Thus,

$$M_{2s} = \sum_{i=1}^l f_i V_i^{2s} 2^{2s(m+1)}. \quad (6)$$

From (5)

$$\begin{aligned} M'_6 - 10qM'_4 + 16q^2M'_2 &= M_6 - 10qM_4 + 16q^2M_2 \\ &= 8q^3 \sum_{i=1}^l f_i V_i^2 (V_i^2 - 4)(V_i^2 - 1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the only possible value of V_i are 0, ± 1 , and ± 2 . Thus as in the case of C_{BCH}^\perp , for $\mu \neq \lambda$,

$$\rho_{\mu, \lambda} \in \left\{ 0, \pm \sqrt{2q}, \pm \sqrt{8q} \right\}.$$

Since the first three even moments M_{2s} , M'_{2s} for $s = 1, 2, 3$ are the same, it follows that the two codes have the same weight distribution. \square

REFERENCES

[1] S. Boztaş and P. V. Kumar, "Binary sequences with Gold-like correlation but larger linear span," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, pp. 532–537, Mar. 1994.

[2] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin, and H. Dobbertin, "Binary m -sequences with three-valued crosscorrelation: A proof of Welch's conjecture," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 46, pp. 4–8, Jan 2000.

[3] A. Chang, "Minimum distance and decoding algorithm for cyclic codes," Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. So. Calif., Los Angeles, CA, 1998.

[4] A. Chang, P. Gaal, S. W. Golomb, G. Gong, and P. V. Kumar, "On a sequence conjectured to have ideal 2-level autocorrelation function," in *IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory*, Cambridge, MA, Aug. 16–21, 1998, p. 468.

[5] A. Chang, T. Hellesest, and P. V. Kumar, "Further results on a conjectured 2-level autocorrelation sequence," in *36th Ann. Allerton Conf. Communication, Control and Computing*, Allerton, IL, Sept. 23–25, 1998.

[6] J. Dillon and H. Dobbertin, "Cyclic difference sets with Singer parameters, to be published.

[7] H. Dobbertin, "Kasami power functions, permutation polynomials and cyclic difference sets," in *NATO-A.S.I. Workshop*, Bad Windsheim, Germany, Aug. 3–14, 1998.

[8] R. Gold, "Maximal recursive sequences with 3-valued recursive cross-correlation functions," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-14, pp. 154–156, Jan. 1968.

[9] G. Gong and S. W. Golomb, "Hadamard transforms of three-term sequences," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 45, pp. 2059–2060, Sept. 1999.

[10] C. R. P. Hartmann and K. K. Tzeng, "Generalization of the BCH bound," *Inform. Contr.*, vol. 20, pp. 489–498, 1972.

[11] T. Hellesest and P. V. Kumar, "Pseudonoise sequences," in *The Mobile Communications Handbook*, J. Gibson, Ed. New York: CRC Press and IEEE Press, 1996.

[12] —, "Sequences with low correlation," in *Handbook of Coding Theory*, V. Pless and C. Huffman, Eds. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier, 1998.

[13] T. Kasami, "Weight distributions of Bose–Choudhary–Hochquengham codes," in *Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications*. Chapel Hill, NC: Univ. North Carolina Press, 1969.

[14] P. V. Kumar and C.-M. Liu, "On lower bounds to the maximum correlation of complex roots-of-unity sequences," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 36, pp. 633–640, May 1990.

[15] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North Holland, 1979.

[16] B. R. McDonald, *Finite Rings with Identity*. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1974.

[17] R. J. McEliece, "On periodic sequences from $GF(q)$," *J. Combin. Theory*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 80–91, Jan. 1971.

[18] J.-S. No, S. W. Golomb, G. Gong, H.-K. Lee, and P. Gaal, "Binary pseudorandom sequences of period $2^n - 1$ with ideal autocorrelation," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, pp. 814–817, Mar. 1998.

[19] D. V. Sarwate and M. B. Pursley, "Crosscorrelation properties of pseudorandom and related sequences," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 68, pp. 593–619, 1980.

[20] T. Schaub, "A linear complexity approach to cyclic codes," Ph.D. dissertation, Swiss Federal Ins. Technol., Zurich, Switzerland, 1988.

Fourier Transforms and the 2-Adic Span of Periodic Binary Sequences

Mark Goresky, Associate Member, IEEE,
Andrew M. Klapper, Member, IEEE, and Lawrence Washington

Abstract—An arithmetic or with-carry analog of Blahut's theorem is presented. This relates the length of the smallest feedback with-carry shift register to the number of nonzero classical Fourier coefficients of a periodic binary sequence.

Index Terms—Blahut's theorem, feedback register, Fourier coefficients, periodic binary sequence, 2-adic numbers.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this correspondence is to develop an arithmetic analog of Blahut's theorem [1], [3], which relates the linear span of a sequence to its discrete Fourier transform. For comparison, let us recall this theorem. Let $S = a_0, a_1, \dots$ be a periodic binary sequence with period L . The linear span of S , denoted $\lambda(S)$, is the length of the shortest linear recurrence satisfied by S or, equivalently, the size of the smallest linear feedback shift register that generates S . It is an important measure of the complexity of a sequence, and it is used in a number of engineering applications. For example, suppose that S is to be used as the key in a stream cipher. The Berlekamp–Massey algorithm can be used by a cryptanalyst to recover the sequence once $2\lambda(S)$ bits of S are known. Thus S is secure only if $\lambda(S)$ is large.

Let τ be a primitive L th root of unity in some field extension F of $GF(2)$. (Such a τ exists if and only if L is odd. Various work has been done to extend Blahut's theorem to the case when L is even, [4].) The k th discrete Fourier coefficient of S is

$$\bar{a}_k = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} a_i \tau^{ki} \in F.$$

Blahut's remarkable theorem says that the linear span of S is equal to the number of nonzero discrete Fourier coefficients of S . It makes

Manuscript received October 19, 1997; revised October 25, 1999. The work of A. Klapper was supported in part by NSF under Grant NCR-9400762. The work of L. Washington was supported in part by NSA under Grant MDA904-96-10036.

M. Goresky is with the School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540 USA (e-mail: goresky@ias.edu).

A. M. Klapper is with the Department of Computer Science, 763H Anderson Hall, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0046 USA (e-mail: klapper@cs.engr.uky.edu).

L. Washington is with the Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742 USA (e-mail: lcw@math.umd.edu).

Communicated by D. Stinson, Associate Editor for Complexity and Cryptography.

Publisher Item Identifier S 0018-9448(00)01669-2.

precise the common observation that a “complex” signal is one with many nonzero Fourier components.

Recently, two of the authors have developed an approach to pseudo-random sequences which is based on *feedback with carry shift registers* (or *FCSR*'s) [2]. It is in many ways analogous to the usual theory which is based on linear feedback shift registers. We include here just enough detail of the theory of FCSR's for our current purposes. An FCSR consists of a shift register and a small amount of auxiliary memory. For any periodic sequence S , the size of the smallest FCSR that generates S is called the *2-adic span* and is denoted by $\lambda_2(S)$. There is an algorithm which is an analog of the Berlekamp–Massey algorithm. Given approximately $2\lambda_2(S)$ bits of S , it outputs the smallest FCSR that generates S . FCSR's can be thought of as arithmetic or with-carry analogs of linear feedback shift registers, and are related to arithmetic codes in much the same way that linear feedback shift registers are related to error-correcting codes.

The discrete Fourier transform (described above) does not appear to be the “correct” transform for the study of sequences generated by FCSR's, and it is not immediately obvious what the best replacement should be. In this correspondence, we show that the usual (complex) Fourier transform is an appropriate vehicle for the study of FCSR sequences, and we use it to describe an analog of Blahut's theorem for the 2-adic span of a sequence. Not only does this give a “shift register” interpretation for the usual (complex) Fourier transform of a periodic binary sequence, but it also adds another entry to the long and growing list of parallels between the theory of LFSR sequences and the theory of FCSR sequences.

II. STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

In what follows we let $S = a_0, a_1, \dots$ be a periodic binary sequence with period L and let $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ be a complex primitive L th root of unity.

Definition II.1: For $k = 0, 1, \dots, L-1$, the k th Fourier coefficient is

$$\hat{a}_k = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} a_i \zeta^{ki} \in \mathbf{C}.$$

The set of Fourier coefficients is the *Fourier transform* of S . We denote by $\sigma(S)$ the number of nonzero Fourier coefficients of S .

The sequence S can be interpreted as the coefficients of a *2-adic integer*

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i 2^i.$$

Such a series does not converge in the usual sense. Nevertheless, the set of such 2-adic integers forms a ring \mathbf{Z}_2 . (An elementary review of the 2-adic integers is presented in [2].) The ring \mathbf{Z}_2 of 2-adic integers contains all the (usual) rational numbers with *odd denominator*. It turns out that, since S is periodic, the 2-adic integer α is in fact a rational number, which we may write in lowest terms as

$$\alpha = \frac{-p}{q}$$

with p and q relatively prime, and $0 \leq p < q$. The *2-adic complexity* is $\Phi_2(S) = \log_2(q)$. It differs from the 2-adic span by the number of memory bits in the FCSR which is, in any case, no more than $\log_2(\Phi_2(S))$ and is completely analyzed in [2]. Our main result is

Theorem II.2: Let S be a periodic binary sequence of period L . Then the 2-adic complexity $\Phi_2(S)$ is bounded as follows:

$$\Phi_2(S) < \sigma(S) + 2^{\omega(L)-1}$$

where $\omega(L)$ denotes the number of distinct positive prime divisors of L .

The “error” term $2^{\omega(L)-1}$ is sharp, as the following result shows. The obstacle to obtaining a corresponding lower bound is that it is very difficult to estimate the cancelation between the numerator and denominator in the expression $\alpha = -h(2)/u(2)$ in Section III below. However, in Section V we derive a lower bound for $\Phi_2(S)$ based on the upper bound.

Proposition II.3: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist periodic binary sequences S with $\omega(L)$ arbitrarily large such that

$$\Phi_2(S) > \sigma(S) + (1 - \epsilon)2^{\omega(L)-1}.$$

Also, there exist periodic binary sequences S with $\omega(L)$ arbitrarily large such that

$$\Phi_2(S) < \sigma(S) - (1 - \epsilon)2^{\omega(L)-1}.$$

The proof is given in Section IV.

III. PRELIMINARIES TO THE PROOF

There is a useful polynomial that connects the 2-adic interpretation of a sequence with the definition of the Fourier coefficients

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} a_i x^i.$$

Here we think of $f(x)$ as a polynomial with complex coefficients. Thus the n th Fourier coefficient is $f(\zeta^n)$ and the associated 2-adic integer is

$$\alpha = f(2)2^0 + f(2)2^L + f(2)2^{2L} + \dots = \frac{-f(2)}{2^L - 1}. \quad (1)$$

Now reduce α to lowest terms, say $\alpha = -p/q$, so that $\Phi_2(S) = \log_2(q)$.

We need to find a relation between $\gcd(f(2), 2^L - 1)$ (the greatest common divisor) and the number of vanishing Fourier coefficients $f(\zeta^k)$. In fact, we will relate both of these to the polynomial $\gcd(f(x), x^L - 1)$ (which by Galois theory has integer coefficients).

Let

$$g(x) = \gcd(f(x), x^L - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = g(x)h(x)$$

and $x^L - 1 = u(x)g(x)$. First, we claim that $\sigma(S) = \deg(u)$. Note that $\hat{a}_n \neq 0$ if and only if $f(\zeta^n) \neq 0$ if and only if $g(\zeta^n) \neq 0$ if and only if $u(\zeta^n) = 0$. The last equivalence follows from the fact that $u(x)g(x) = x^L - 1$, and so each L th root of unity ζ^n appears as a root of exactly one of $u(x)$ and $g(x)$. Note also that $u(x)$ has exactly $\deg(u)$ complex roots, and all are of the form ζ^n . Hence the number of nonzero Fourier coefficients satisfies

$$\sigma(S) = \deg(u). \quad (2)$$

Next we bound $\Phi_2(S)$. Factoring $g(2)$ out of the numerator and denominator in (1) we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{-h(2)}{u(2)}.$$

If $h(2)$ and $u(2)$ were relatively prime then we would have $\Phi_2(S) = \log_2(u(2))$. However, this is not always the case (even though the polynomials $h(x)$ and $u(x)$ are relatively prime, as polynomials with rational coefficients.) The best we can say is that

$$\Phi_2(S) \leq \log_2(u(2)). \quad (3)$$

It remains then to bound $\log_2(u(2))$.

Recall that the n th cyclotomic polynomial $c_n(x)$ is the minimal polynomial (with integer coefficients) of the primitive n th roots of unity (that is, the monic polynomial of smallest degree that vanishes on the complex number $\exp[2\pi i/n]$). Its degree is Euler's phi function $\phi(n)$, the number of positive integers $j \leq n$ that are relatively prime to n . Recall also [5, Proposition. 13.2.2] that

$$x^L - 1 = \prod_{n|L} c_n(x)$$

(where $n|L$ means that n is a divisor of L).

Since $u(x)$ divides $x^L - 1$, it must be a product of distinct cyclotomic polynomials

$$u(x) = \prod_{j=1}^t c_{n_j}(x) \quad (4)$$

with n_j dividing L . Thus a bound for $c_{n_j}(2)$ leads to a bound for $\Phi_2(S)$.

Proposition III.1: Let n be a positive integer and let

$$m = \prod_{p|n} p = s(n)$$

(the product taken over all positive prime divisors p of n) denote the largest square-free integer dividing n . Let $q = n/m$. Then

$$1 - 2^{-q} < \frac{c_n(2)}{2^{\phi(n)}} < 1$$

if n has an even number of distinct positive prime divisors, and

$$1 < \frac{c_n(2)}{2^{\phi(n)}} < (1 - 2^{-q})^{-1}$$

if n has an odd number of distinct positive prime divisors.

Proof: Recall the Möbius function μ , which is defined for positive integers n by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(n) &= (-1)^k, & \text{if } n \text{ is square-free and has } k \text{ distinct positive} \\ & & \text{prime factors, and} \\ &= 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Following are four basic properties of μ (see [5, Sec. 2.2]).

1)

$$\sum_{j|n} \mu(j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n > 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

2) $\mu(ab) = \mu(a)\mu(b)$ if a and b are relatively prime.

3) $\sum_{j|n} j \mu(n/j) = \phi(n)$.

4) $c_n(x) = \prod_{j|n} (x^j - 1)^{\mu(n/j)}$.

The fourth property is obtained by applying the Möbius inversion formula [5, Sec. 2.2] to the relation $x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} c_d(x)$.

The fourth and third properties imply that

$$c_n(2) = \prod_{j|n} (2^j - 1)^{\mu(n/j)} = 2^{\phi(n)} \prod_{j|n} (1 - 2^{-j})^{\mu(n/j)}$$

so it remains to estimate the latter product. We first need the following.

Lemma III.2: Let $d \geq 1$ and let $0 < x \leq 1/2$. Then

$$\prod_{j=d+1}^{\infty} (1 - x^j) > 1 - x^d.$$

Proof: The logarithm of the left-hand side can be expanded as

$$\sum_{j=d+1}^{\infty} \ln(1 - x^j) = - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=d+1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{ij}}{i}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=d+1}^{\infty} x^{ij} \leq \sum_{k=d+1}^{\infty} x^k \leq x^{id}$$

with both inequalities being equalities only when $i = 1$ and $x = 1/2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=d+1}^{\infty} \ln(1 - x^{j+1}) > - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{id}}{i} = \ln(1 - x^d).$$

This yields the lemma. \square

Returning to the proof of Proposition 3.1, assume m has an even number of distinct positive prime factors, so $\mu(m) = 1$. Let $q = n/m$. Recall that $\mu(n/j) \neq 0$ only when n/j is square-free, which is equivalent to q dividing j . Write $j = qk$. The condition $j|n$ becomes $k|m$, and we have

$$\prod_{j|n} (1 - 2^{-j})^{\mu(n/j)} = \prod_{k|m} (1 - 2^{-qk})^{\mu(m/k)}.$$

The first factor in this product is $(1 - 2^{-q})^{\mu(m)} = 1 - 2^{-q}$. The remaining product is bounded above by

$$\prod_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{-qk})^{-1} < (1 - 2^{-q})^{-1}$$

by Lemma 3.2. This yields

$$\frac{c_n(2)}{2^{\phi(n)}} = \prod_{j|n} (1 - 2^{-j})^{\mu(n/j)} < 1.$$

To get a lower bound, note that the first nontrivial factor in

$$\prod_{k|m} (1 - 2^{-qk})^{\mu(m/k)}$$

is when $k = 1$ and the second is when k is the smallest positive prime factor p of m . Since m has an even number of positive prime factors, m/p has an odd number of positive prime factors, so $\mu(m/p) = -1$. The product for the remaining values of k is bounded below by

$$\prod_{k>p} (1 - 2^{-qk}) > (1 - 2^{-qp}).$$

This yields

$$\frac{c_n(2)}{2^{\phi(n)}} > (1 - 2^{-q})(1 - 2^{-qp})^{-1}(1 - 2^{-qp})$$

which yields the result.

The case when m has an odd number of positive prime factors is similar. \square

Proposition III.3: Fix a positive square-free integer $m \geq 1$. Then

$$\sum_{s(n)=m} \log_2 \left(\frac{c_n(2)}{2^{\phi(n)}} \right) = -\mu(m)$$

and all terms in the sum have the same sign.

Proof: The fact that all terms have the same sign follows immediately from Proposition 3.1.

Observe that two integers m and n have exactly the same prime factors if and only if each divides a power of the other. For simplicity, we write $m|n^\infty$ to mean that m divides some power of n . For a square-free integer m , this is equivalent to $m|n$.

Now fix a positive squarefree integer m . We have $s(n) = m$ if and only if $m|n$ and $n|m^\infty$. Moreover, a positive integer q occurs as n/m for some n with $s(n) = m$ if and only if $q|m^\infty$.

As in the proof of Proposition 3.1

$$\frac{c_n(2)}{2^{\phi(n)}} = \prod_{j|m} (1 - 2^{-jq})^{\mu(m/j)}$$

where $q = n/m$. Therefore,

$$\prod_{s(n)=m} \frac{c_n(2)}{2^{\phi(n)}} = \prod_{q|m^\infty} \prod_{j|m} (1 - 2^{-jq})^{\mu(m/j)}.$$

We want to rewrite this product as a product of factors $(1 - 2^{-k})$, each occurring to some power. No such factor occurs more than m (actually, no more than the number of positive divisors of m) since each occurrence corresponds to a distinct divisor j of k . Moreover, $\prod_{k=1}^\infty (1 - 2^{-k})^m$ converges to a nonzero number. Therefore, the above products converge “absolutely” (i.e., their logarithms are absolutely convergent series), so the rearrangement of the factors in the above infinite products is justified.

Next we determine the exponent with which a factor $(1 - 2^{-k})$ occurs. The integer k occurs as a product jq , with $q|m^\infty$ and $j|m$, exactly when $k|m^\infty$. Fix such a k . The condition $k = jq$ automatically implies $q|m^\infty$. The values of j that yield k (i.e., $k = jq$ for some q) are therefore exactly those that satisfy both $j|m$ and $j|k$. This is equivalent to $j|\gcd(m, k)$. Therefore, the factor $(1 - 2^{-k})$ occurs in the last product with exponent

$$\sum_{j|\gcd(m, k)} \mu(m/j).$$

Write $m = \gcd(m, k)m'$. Since m is square-free, $\gcd(m, k)$ and m' are relatively prime, so $\mu(m/j) = \mu(\gcd(m, k)/j)\mu(m')$, by Property 2 of μ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\mu(m') \sum_{j|\gcd(m, k)} \mu(\gcd(m, k)/j) = 0, \quad \text{when } \gcd(m, k) \neq 1$$

by Property 3 of μ , since $\gcd(m, k)/j$ runs through all the positive divisors of $\gcd(m, k)$. Since $k|m^\infty$, we have $\gcd(m, k) = 1$ if and only if $k = 1$, which corresponds to $q = j = 1$. Therefore, the product reduces to

$$(1 - 2^{-1})^{\mu(m)} = 2^{-\mu(m)}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3. \square

Lemma III.4: Any integer $L > 1$ has $2^{\omega(s(L)) - 1}$ positive square-free divisors with an odd number of positive prime factors.

Proof: The positive square-free divisors of L are in one-to-one correspondence with the subsets of the set of positive prime divisors of L . There are $2^{\omega(L)}$ such subsets in all, half of which have even cardinality. \square

IV. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

It follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \log_2(u(2)) &= \sum_{j=1}^t \log_2(c_{n_j}(2)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^t \left(\phi(n_j) + \log_2 \left(\frac{c_{n_j}(2)}{2^{\phi(n_j)}} \right) \right) \\ &= \deg(u) + \sum_{j=1}^t \log_2 \left(\frac{c_{n_j}(2)}{2^{\phi(n_j)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $s(n_j) = m_j$. Let E and O denote the sets of positive square-free divisors of L with $\mu(m_j) = 1$ and $\mu(m_j) = -1$, respectively. We obtain

$$\log_2(u(2)) \leq \deg(u) + \sum_{m_j \in O} \log_2 \left(\frac{c_{n_j}(2)}{2^{\phi(n_j)}} \right)$$

since the terms with $m_j \in E$ are negative by Proposition 3.1. If there are two or more n_j with the same m_j , then group them together. We obtain a subsum of the sum in Proposition 3.3. Since all the terms in the sum of Proposition 3.3 are positive, the proposition gives the upper bound of 1 for our subsum. By Lemma 3.4, there are at most $2^{s(L)-1}$ distinct values of $m_j \in O$. Therefore,

$$\log_2(u(2)) < \deg(u) + 2^{\omega(L)-1}.$$

Theorem II.2 then follows from (2) and (3). \square

Proof of Proposition II.3: We finish by proving Proposition II.3. Let N be a natural number and let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_N$ be primes such that $1 - 2^{1-p_1} > 2^{-\epsilon}$. Let $L = p_1 p_2 \dots p_N$ and let n_1, \dots, n_t run through all positive divisors of L such that $\mu(n_i) = -1$. Then $t = 2^{N-1}$ by Lemma 3.4. Let

$$\alpha = \frac{-1}{c_{n_1}(2) \dots c_{n_t}(2)} = \frac{-p}{q}$$

in the notation of Section II, and let S be the corresponding periodic binary sequence. Then

$$\sigma(S) = \sum_i \deg c_{n_i}(X) = \sum_i \phi(n_i)$$

and

$$\Phi_2(S) = \sum_i \log_2(c_{n_i}(2)).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_{n_i}(2)}{2^{\phi(n_i)}} &= \prod_{j|n_i} (1 - 2^{-j})^{\mu(n_i/j)} \\ &> (1 - 2^{-1})^{-1} \prod_{j \geq p_1} (1 - 2^{-j}) \\ &> 2(1 - 2^{1-p_1}) \text{ by Lemma 3.2} \\ &> 2^{1-\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi_2(S) > \sum_{i=1}^t (\phi(n_i) + (1 - \epsilon)) = \sigma(S) + (1 - \epsilon)2^{N-1}.$$

Since $N = \omega(L)$, we are done. The proof of the second half of Proposition 2.3 is obtained in a similar way by using n_i with $\mu(n_i) = 1$. \square

V. LOWER BOUNDS

In this section we derive a lower bound for $\Phi(S)$ using the upper bound in Theorem II.2. Let $T = b_0, b_1, \dots$ be the sequence derived from S by complementing the first bit in every period. That is,

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 1 - a_i, & \text{if } L|i \\ a_i, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We let

$$\beta = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i 2^i$$

be the 2-adic integer associated with T . We have

$$\beta = -x/y = -xw/(2^L - 1)$$

for some integers x, y , and z with $wy = 2^L - 1$. T is periodic so, by Theorem II.2

$$\Phi_2(T) < \sigma(T) + 2^{\omega(L)-1}.$$

For the Fourier coefficients of T we have $\hat{b}_k = 1 - 2a_0 + \hat{a}_k$. For any integer c , we let

$$\sigma_c(S) = |\{k : \hat{a}_k \neq c\}|.$$

Then we have

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_{1-2a_0}(S).$$

To estimate the 2-adic complexity of T , note that

$$\beta = \alpha - \frac{1 - 2a_0}{2^L - 1} = -\frac{1 - 2a_0 + pz}{2^L - 1}.$$

Thus $1 - 2a_0 + pz = xw$. Since $1 - 2a_0$ is plus or minus one, it follows that z is relatively prime to w . However, z divides $2^L - 1 = yw$, so z divides y . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2(T) &= \log_2(y) \geq \log_2(z) = \log_2((2^L - 1)/q) \\ &= \log_2(2^L - 1) - \log_2(q). \end{aligned}$$

We have proved the following lower bound.

Theorem V.1: Let S be a periodic binary sequence of period L . Then

$$\Phi_2(S) > \log_2(2^L - 1) - \sigma_{1-2a_0}(S) - 2^{\omega(L)-1}.$$

REFERENCES

[1] R. Blahut, "Transform techniques for error-control codes," *IBM J. Res. Develop.*, vol. 23, pp. 299-315, 1979.
 [2] A. Klapper and M. Goresky, "Feedback shift registers, 2-adic span, and combiners with memory," *J. Crypt.*, vol. 10, pp. 111-147, 1997.
 [3] J. Massey, "Cryptography and system theory," in *Proc. 24th Allerton Conf. Communication, Control, and Computers*, Oct. 1-3, 1986.
 [4] J. Massey and S. Serconek, "Linear complexity of periodic sequences: A general theory," in *Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO 97*, N. Kobitz, Ed., pp. 358-371. (*Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 1109, New York: Springer Verlag, 1997.).
 [5] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, "A classical introduction to modern number theory (second edition)," in *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. New York: Springer Verlag, 1990, vol. 84.

A Lower Bound on the Linear Span of an FCSR

Changho Seo, Sangjin Lee, Yeoulouk Sung, Keunhee Han, and Sangchoon Kim

Abstract—We have derived a lower bound on the linear span of a binary sequence generated by a Feedback with Carry Shift Register (FCSR) under the following condition: q is a power of a prime such that $q = r^e$ ($e \geq 2$) and $r (= 2p + 1)$, where both r and p are 2-prime. This allows us to design FCSR stream ciphers similar to previously proposed Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR) stream ciphers.

Index Terms—Feedback with carry shift register (FCSR), linear feedback shift register (LFSR), linear span, 2-adic span.

I. INTRODUCTION

The forthcoming information society requires ultra-high speed data communications. It also demands the communication channel to be secure. One of the most common technology is to use stream cipher. Hence the development of a good random number generator has been a hot topic in cryptology. Linear Feedback Shift Register (LFSR) [2] has been widely used as fast random number generators. A good stream cipher [1], should have good randomness, high period, linear span, and security against any known attack such as correlation attack. The size of the smallest LFSR that generates a given periodic sequence is called the linear span; it is an important measure of the cryptographic security of sequences. Such a shift register may be found efficiently using Berlekamp–Massey algorithm [1], which may be interpreted as the continued fraction expansion of $f(x)/g(x)$ in the ring $Z/(2)[x]$ of formal power series. Thereby, LFSR has some weakness with respect to the linear span.

In 1994, Klapper and Goresky [4] proposed a new type of random number generator called Feedback with Carry Shift Register (FCSR). This register is equipped with an algebraic framework for analysis analogous to that in LFSR. This algebraic structure is based on algebra over the 2-adic numbers [6] in which the sequences generated by FCSR can be analyzed, in the same way as the algebra over finite fields can be used to analyze LFSR sequences.

In this correspondence, we have derived a lower bound on the linear span of a binary sequence generated by a Feedback with Carry Shift Register (FCSR) with connection integer q , q is a power of a prime such that $q = r^e$ ($e \geq 2$) and $r (= 2p + 1)$, where both r and p are 2-prime.

In Section II, we briefly review FCSR and derive the linear span of an FCSR in Section III. Finally, Section IV contains the conclusion.

Manuscript received May 4, 1998; revised August 12, 1999. The work of Y. Sung was supported in part by the Research Institute of Basic Sciences, Kongju National University.

C. Seo and Y. Sung are with the Department of Applied Mathematics, Kongju National University, Kongju-city, 314-110, South Korea.

S. Lee is with the Department of Mathematics, Korea University, Chochiwon, 339-800, South Korea.

K. Han and S. Kim are with the Coding Technology Section, Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute, Taejeon, 305-350, South Korea.

Communicated by D. Stinson, Associate Editor for Complexity and Cryptography.

Publisher Item Identifier S 0018-9448(00)01674-6.