In Definition 0.2, point (s2), the smooth dependence of $\mathcal{H}^n(\Gamma_t)$ upon the variable t should be substituted by *continuous dependence*. First of all, this is all is needed in the proof and note that, combined with the other requirements, it is equivalent to continuity of $t \mapsto \Gamma_t$ in the varifold topology. Secondly, if $\Psi(t, \cdot)$ is a smooth one-parameter family of isotopies, it is easy to check that $t \mapsto \mathcal{H}^n(\Psi(t, \Gamma_t))$ is continuous (either directly, using the area formula away from $\{P_t\}$ and obvious bounds on the area close to $\{P_t\}$, or noticing that $t \mapsto \Psi(t, \Gamma_t)$ remains continuous in the varifold topology, using the the theory of varifolds) . It is instead not at all clear that the operation of composing with smooth one-parameter families of isotopies would retain smooth dependence of $\mathcal{H}^n(\Psi(t, \Gamma_t))$. Thanks to Bill Allard for pointing this out.