

The second part of the statement of Theorem 1.1, although correct, would need a much sharper argument to hold under the assumption $\|\mathring{A}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 8\pi$ than the one given in the paper. In fact the one given in the paper suffices to allow any constant strictly smaller than 8π . Moreover Lemma 2.2 is incorrect: the proof given in the paper is enough to show the lemma when $\delta^2 < 8\pi$, whereas using the solution of the Willmore Conjecture by Marques and Neves, the sharp condition is actually $\delta^2 < 4\pi^2$. Any torus in \mathbb{R}^3 which is the conformal image of the Clifford torus in \mathbb{S}^3 is a counterexample to Lemma 2.2 and shows the sharpness of the latter condition.

First of all, let me point out a mistake in equation (7). Assuming that we have diagonalized A and denoting by κ_1 and κ_2 the diagonal entries (i.e. the principal curvatures) we have

$$|\mathring{A}|^2 = 2 \left(\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2}{2} - \kappa_1 \kappa_2 = \frac{|A|^2}{2} - K_G.$$

In other words the left hand side of (7) is missing a factor 2. Thus (8) is missing a factor 2 in front of $\int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^2$ after the first equality sign and in front of δ^2 after the second equality sign.

0.1. Proof of Lemma 2.2 with $\delta^2 < 8\pi$. Taking the factor 2 above into account, the argument given in the paper for Lemma 2.2 can be modified so to prove the assertion when $\delta^2 < 8\pi$. Indeed, (9) becomes now

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\det A| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |A|^2 = \delta^2 + 4\pi(1 - \mathbf{g}(\Sigma)). \quad (\dagger)$$

We then remark, as in the proof of the lemma given in the paper, that N is surjective and that, when the genus of Σ is not 0, N takes at least two values. Hence, if Σ is not a sphere, $\int |\det A|$ is at least 8π (by the area formula). Plugging this information in (\dagger) we get

$$8\pi \leq 4\pi(1 - \mathbf{g}(\Sigma)) \leq \delta^2 \quad \text{if } \mathbf{g}(\Sigma) \geq 1.$$

So $\delta^2 < 8\pi$ implies that the genus of Σ is necessarily 0.

0.2. Proof of Lemma 2.2 with $\delta^2 < 4\pi^2$. First of all notice that

$$\mathcal{W}(\Sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)^2}{4}$$

is the Willmore energy, which, because of the proof of Marques and Neves of the Willmore conjecture, on surfaces of genus strictly larger than 0 is at least $2\pi^2$. Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |A|^2 = 2\mathcal{W}(\Sigma) - \int K_G = 2\mathcal{W}(\Sigma) + 4\pi(\mathbf{g}(\Sigma) - 1)$$

we conclude the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |A|^2 \geq 4\pi^2 + 4\pi(\mathbf{g}(\Sigma) - 1)$$

whenever $\mathbf{g}(\Sigma) \geq 1$. Plugging this in (\dagger) we achieve

$$4\pi^2 + 8\pi(\mathbf{g}(\Sigma) - 1) \leq \delta^2 \quad \mathbf{g}(\Sigma) \geq 1.$$

Note that, if Σ is the image of the Clifford torus in \mathbb{S}^3 through a conformal diffeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus \{P\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with pole $P \notin \Sigma$, all inequalities are actually equalities showing that $4\pi^2$ is the optimal threshold.

0.3. Further remarks. The error in the equality (7) of the paper affects Section 3: in particular in the statements of Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 δ^2 appears again. The arguments can be taken literally if δ^2 were to denote the quantity $2 \int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^2$ rather than $\int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^2$. A similar error appears on top of page 90 in the displayed line, where the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in the right hand side should actually be 2 (note that in the left hand side of that equation Id is a typo and should be removed). In the remaining sections I do not believe any change is needed since all the estimates have a non explicit (and possibly very large) constant in front of δ .

Many thanks to Ruben Jakob for pointing out the mistakes in equations (7) and (8) of the paper and the fact that Lemma 2.2 is obviously wrong, as stated, because a counterexample is immediately given by Willmore's examples of revolution tori which minimize the Willmore energy.