

## Errata on “ $h$ -principle and rigidity for $C^{1,\alpha}$ isometric embeddings”

The proof of Corollary 4 in the paper has a gap, pointed out to us by Reza Pakzad. The corrected argument yields the weaker conclusion of Corollary A below. Moreover, [1, Corollary 3] does not follow from Pogorelov’s work and [1, Theorem 3], as claimed in the paper: in order to apply Theorem 9 of page 650 in [2] the map  $u$  must be assumed to be an *embedding* and not merely an *immersion* (as clarified at [2, Page 572], the term “smooth surface” in the book denotes what the modern literature would call embedded  $C^1$  two-dimensional submanifolds).

**Corollary A** Let  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\overline{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the closed unit disk and  $g \in C^{2,\beta}$  a metric on  $\overline{D}$  with positive Gauss curvature. Then there is a smooth short embedding  $v \in C^\infty(\overline{D}, \mathbb{R}^3)$  and a positive  $\delta$  with the following property. If  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{D}, \mathbb{R}^3)$  is an isometric embedding with  $\alpha > \frac{2}{3}$ , then  $\|u - v\|_{C^0} \geq \delta$ .

Moreover, in addition to the case of closed surfaces, the convexity can be concluded from the work of Pogorelov in another case:

**Corollary B** Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $(\Omega, g)$  be a complete 2-dimensional Riemannian  $C^{2,\beta}$  manifold without boundary and with positive Gauss curvature. If  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$  is a proper isometric embedding with  $\alpha > \frac{2}{3}$ , then  $u(\Omega)$  is a complete unbounded convex surface of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Corollary B follows from Theorem 3 in [1] and Theorem 2 at page 613 of Pogorelov’s monograph [2].

Concerning the gap in the proof of [1, Corollary 4], note that, first of all, Sabitov’s estimate in Step 3 of the proof is quoted incorrectly: the estimate is in the interior and depends on the distance to the boundary of the domain. This is immaterial: the argument could be changed by looking instead at the maximal open set  $U$  of the form  $V \times ]-a, a[$  such that  $u(\Omega) \cap U$  is the graph of a (locally)  $C^2$  function with  $f|_{\partial V} = a$  and  $U$  has diameter smaller than  $c_0$ . The remaining argument is then correct: note however that it just implies that  $U$  has a sufficiently large diameter, but it does not imply that it contains a ball centered on  $y$  with radius controlled uniformly from below, as claimed in estimate (101): it might happen that such maximal  $U$  is very long and thin. We next show how this can however still be used to prove Corollary A above.

*Proof of Corollary A.* Let  $\overline{D}$  and  $g$  be as in the statement and fix a  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$  isometric embedding of  $(\overline{D}, g)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\alpha > \frac{2}{3}$ . Then the following alternative holds:

- (a) Either  $u(D_{1/2})$  is locally uniformly convex;
- (b) Or there are points  $x \in D_{1/2}$  and  $y \in \partial D$  such that the straight segment  $\sigma = [u(x), u(y)]$  is contained in  $u(\Omega)$ .

We first prove the alternative. Denote by  $N$  the Gauss map of the immersed surface. Using the terminology of Pogorelov, we say that  $x \in D_{1/2}$  is a regular point if there is a neighborhood  $U$  of it with the property that  $N(u(y)) \neq N(u(x))$  for every  $y \notin U \setminus \{x\}$ . As argued in the Appendix of [1] regular points are dense in  $D$ .

Moreover, following the explanation over there,  $u$  is uniformly convex in a neighborhood of  $u(x)$  for any regular point  $x$ . Let  $\pi$  be the tangent plane to  $u(\overline{D})$  at  $u(x)$ . To fix ideas assume that it is the plane  $\{x_3 = 0\}$  and that locally around  $u(x)$  the surface is the graph of a convex function  $(x_1, x_2, f(x_1, x_2))$ . It follows from [2] and [3] that  $f$  is a uniformly convex function. Consider the family of planes  $\pi_t := \{x_3 = t\}$  for  $t$  positive. For small  $t$ ’s one of the connected components of  $\pi_t \cap u(\overline{D})$  must be a curve  $\gamma_t$  which bounds a disk containing  $u(x)$  in  $u(\overline{D})$ . We then argue as in the proof of Theorem 1 at page 613 in [2]: as we follow the evolution of  $\gamma_t$ , it either contracts to a point or it intersects with  $u(\partial D)$ , and as long as none of the two events happen,  $\gamma_t$  keeps being a convex curve which in  $u(\overline{D})$  bounds a convex region containing  $u(x)$ . As described in the proof of Theorem 2 at page 615, in case  $\gamma_t$  becomes a point, we would find a portion of  $u(\overline{D})$  homeomorphic

to the 2-sphere, which is not possible. Since  $u(\overline{D})$  is bounded, there must therefore be a  $\bar{t}$  such that  $\pi_{\bar{t}} \cap u(\overline{D})$  contains a curve  $\gamma_{\bar{t}}$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\gamma_{\bar{t}}$  is a convex curve;
- (ii)  $\gamma_{\bar{t}} \cap u(\partial D)$  is not empty;
- (iii)  $\gamma_{\bar{t}}$  bounds a convex region  $\Omega$  in  $u(\overline{D})$  which contains  $u(x)$ .

We denote by  $d(x)$  the value  $\bar{t}$ , by  $\Omega(x)$  the region  $\Omega$  and by  $\gamma(x)$  the curve  $\gamma_{\bar{t}}$ .

Now, if every point  $x \in D_{1/2}$  is regular, then alternative (a) holds. Assume therefore that there is a nonregular point  $x$ . Since regular points are dense, select a sequence  $x_k$  of regular points converging to  $x$ . The numbers  $d(x_k)$  must converge to 0, otherwise, for a subsequence (not relabeled), the regions  $\Omega(x_k)$  would converge to a nontrivial convex region  $\Omega(x)$  containing  $x$ , namely there would be a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $u(U)$  is convex and hence uniformly convex, contradicting the assumption that  $x$  is not a regular point.

We next claim that the curves  $\gamma(x_k)$  must converge to a segment. Otherwise a subsequence would converge to a nontrivial convex curve  $\gamma$  in the tangent plane  $\pi$  to  $u(\overline{D})$  at  $u(x)$ . The region bounded by such curve  $\gamma$  in  $\pi$  would have to be a subset of  $u(\overline{D})$ , but all its counterimages would consist of nonregular points, while we already know that regular points are dense in  $D$ . The limit of the curves  $\gamma(x_k)$  is thus a straight segment, which must contain the point  $u(x)$  and a point  $p$  in  $u(\partial D)$ . We conclude that alternative (a) holds.

We now come to the claim of the Corollary, which will be shown for the embedding  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto v(x_1, x_2) = (4\eta x_1, 4\eta x_2, 0)$  when  $\eta > 0$  is sufficiently small. Clearly  $v$  is a short embedding if  $\delta$  is small enough. Let now  $u$  be an isometric embedding into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$  for  $\alpha > \frac{2}{3}$  with  $\|u - v\|_0 \leq \delta$ . If alternative (b) were to hold, the segment  $\sigma$  would be long at most  $4\eta + \delta$ . However, the counterimage of this segment is a curve joining a point  $x \in D_{1/2}$  and a point  $y \in \partial D_1$  (which is in fact a geodesic for the metric  $g$ ): the length of the curve with respect to the metric  $g$  is thus larger than a constant  $c_0 > 0$  depending only on  $g$ . Thus, if  $\eta < \frac{c_0}{5}$  and  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, alternative (b) is excluded.

Fix therefore such an  $\eta \leq \frac{c_0}{5}$  and assume that there is a sequence of isometric embeddings  $u_k$  of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > \frac{2}{3}$  converging uniformly to it. We can assume without loss of generality that each  $u_k(D_{1/2})$  is locally convex. Let  $w_k$  be the planar maps consisting of the first two components of  $u_k$ . For sufficiently large  $k$  the degree of the map  $w_k$  in the square  $[\eta, \eta]^2$  equals the degree of the map  $(v_1, v_2)$ , which is 1. Assume without loss of generality that this holds for every  $k$ .

Consider now an infinite strip of the form

$$S_t := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 = t, -\eta \leq x_2 \leq \eta\}.$$

By Sard's theorem for a.e.  $t$  the intersection  $S_t \cap u_k(\overline{D}_1)$  is transversal to  $u(\overline{D}_1)$ . Select a  $t \in [-\eta, \eta]$  such that this holds true for every  $k$ . Then  $S_t \cap u_k(\overline{D}_1)$  consists of finitely many closed curves and finitely many arcs, the endpoints of the latter being contained in the two lines  $\ell_{\pm}(t) = \{(t, \pm\eta, x_3)\}$ . Since the degree of the map  $w_k$  is 1 on  $[-\eta, \eta]^2$ , there must be at least one arc which is connecting the two lines (otherwise the degree of the map would be 0 on the whole segment  $\{(t, x_2) : |x_2| \leq \eta\}$ ). Denote by  $\alpha_k$  the arc, by  $q_k$  and  $p_k$  its two endpoints, by  $\beta_k$  the arc  $u_k^{-1} \circ \alpha_k$ , and by  $\bar{q}_k$  and  $\bar{p}_k$  the two endpoints of the latter. Observe that, by the uniform converge of  $u_k$  to  $v$ , the arc  $\beta_k$  must be contained in  $D_{1/2}$  for  $k$  large enough. Since  $u(D_{1/2})$  is locally uniformly convex,  $\beta_k$  is then given by  $\{(t, s, g_k(s)), -\eta \leq s \leq \eta\}$ , where the function  $g_k$  is  $C^2$  and either convex or concave. Observe however that  $g_k$  converges uniformly to 0: in particular the lengths of the  $\beta_k$ ' are converging to  $2\eta$ . On the other hand the points  $\bar{q}_k$  and  $\bar{p}_k$  converge, respectively, to  $\bar{q} = (t, -\frac{1}{4})$  and  $\bar{p} = (t, \frac{1}{4})$ . The geodesic distance, in the metric  $g$ , between these two points would have to be at most  $2\eta$ . On

the other hand such distance can be bounded from below with a positive constant depending only on  $g$ , and this would not be possible if  $\eta$  is chosen sufficiently small.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Conti, Sergio and De Lellis, Camillo and Székelyhidi, Jr., László:  $h$ -principle and rigidity for  $C^{1,\alpha}$  isometric embeddings. *Nonlinear partial differential equations Abel Symp.* **7**, 83–116 (2012) [1](#)
- [2] Pogorelov, A.V.: *Extrinsic geometry of convex surfaces*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. (1973). *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, Vol. 35 [1](#)
- [3] Sabitov, I.H.: Regularity of convex domains with a metric that is regular on Hölder classes. *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* **17**(4), 907–915 (1976) [1](#)