## Uniqueness of Prime Decompositions (Lecture 26)

## April 13, 2009

In the last lecture, we introduced the notion of a *prime* 3-manifold, and showed that every 3-manifold can be obtained as a connected sum of prime factors. In this lecture, we will prove a theorem of Milnor which asserts that this decomposition is unique. We will assume for convenience that all of our 3-manifolds are connected and oriented.

Note that a prime 3-manifold need not have  $\pi_2 M \simeq *$ . For example, if  $M = S^2 \times S^1$ , then  $\pi_2 M$  does not vanish, but M is prime (since  $\pi_1 M$  cannot be factored nontrivially as a free product). However, this is essentially the only counterexample.

**Definition 1.** Let M be a 3-manifold which is not a 3-sphere. We will say that M Is *irreducible* if every embedded 2-sphere  $S^2 \hookrightarrow M$  bounds a disk on one side or the other.

**Remark 2.** We say that an embedding  $S^2 \hookrightarrow M$  is separating if  $M - S^2$  is disconnected. Note that  $S^2$  is separating if and only if its fundamental class  $[S^2] \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  vanishes.

By definition,  $M \neq S^3$  is prime if and only if every *separating 2-sphere* of M bounds a 3-disk. Consequently, every irreducible 3-manifold is prime. The product  $S^2 \times S^1$  is an example of a prime 3-manifold which is not irreducible, but this example is unique (provided we stick to oriented 3-manifolds):

**Proposition 3.** Let M be a compact, connected, oriented 3-manifold. Suppose that M contains a nonseparating 2-sphere S. Then M can be written as a connect sum  $M_1\#(S^2\times S^1)$ . In particular, if M is prime, then  $M \simeq S^2\times S^1$ .

Proof. Since S is nonseparating, there exists a loop L in M which intersects the 2-sphere S transversely in exactly one point. Let  $M'_2$  denote the union of a tubular neighborhood of L and a tubular neighborhood of S. Then the boundary of  $M'_2$  is equivalent to a connect sum of 2-spheres, so that  $\partial M'_2 \simeq S^2$ . Let  $M_2$  be the 3-manifold obtained by capping off this boundary 2-sphere with a disk. Then  $M_2$  has the structure of an  $S^2$ -bundle over the loop L. Since M is orientable, this 2-sphere bundle must be trivial, so that  $M_2 \simeq S^2 \times S^1$  and cutting along  $\partial M'_2$  gives the desired connect sum decomposition of M.

We now turn to the uniqueness of prime factorizations. Suppose that M is a compact connected 3-manifold and we have two prime decompositions

$$M_1 \# M_2 \# \cdots \# M_n \simeq M \simeq M_1' \# \cdots \# M_m'$$

We will show that m=n and that the diffeomorphism types of the prime factors agree up to a permutation. Our first step is to give a criterion which allows us to intrinsically detect if  $S^2 \times S^1$  appears as a factor on one side. Note that if  $M \simeq M' \# (S^2 \times S^1)$ , then M contains a nonseparating 2-sphere. Conversely:

**Proposition 4.** Let M be a compact, connected, oriented 3-manifold with a prime decomposition  $M \simeq M_1 \# \dots \# M_n$ , and suppose that each  $M_i$  is irreducible. Then M contains no nonseparating 2-sphere.

*Proof.* It will suffice to show that if M and N are 3-manifolds containing no nonseparating 2-spheres, then M#N likewise contains no nonseparating 2-sphere. Assume for a contradiction M#N contains a nonseparating 2-sphere S, and let T denote the separating 2-sphere given by the connect sum decomposition of M#N. Without loss of generality we may assume that S and T meet transversely. Let k be the number of connected components of  $S\cap T$ , and assume that S has been chosen to minimize k. If k=0, then without loss of generality we have  $S\subseteq M$ . Since [S] is nontrivial in  $H_2(M\#N)$ , it is nontrivial in  $H_2(M-D^3)\cong H_2(M)$  so that S is a separating 2-sphere of M, contrary to our assumption.

We may therefore assume that k > 0. Regard the intersection  $S \cap T$  as a union of finitely many circles in  $T \simeq S^2$ . Choose an "innermost" circle  $C \subseteq S \cap T$ , so that C bounds a disk D in T whose interior does not intersect S. This circle also cuts S into 2-disks  $E_+$  and  $E_-$ . Let  $S_+ = D \cup E_+$  and  $S_- = D \cup E_+$ . Then  $[S] = [S_+] + [S_-] \neq 0$ , so that either  $S_+$  or  $S_-$  is also a nonseparating 2-sphere in M # N. Without loss of generality  $S_+$  is nonseparating. Moving  $S_+$  by a small isotopy, we can arrange that it intersects T in fewer than k components, contradicting the minimality of k.

Returning to our decomposition

$$M_1 \# M_2 \# \cdots \# M_n \simeq M \simeq M'_1 \# \cdots \# M'_m$$

we deduce that if some  $M_i \simeq S^2 \times S^1$ , then also some  $M'_j \simeq S^2 \times S^1$ . Reordering the decompositions, we may assume i=j=1. We would like to assert that the complementary summands  $M_2\#\ldots\#M_n$  and  $M'_2\#\ldots\#M'_m$  are diffeomorphic. These complementary summands can be obtained by cutting M along nonseparating 2-spheres in the factors  $S^2 \times S^1$ , and then capping of the resulting boundary spheres by disks. To prove that the resulting manifold is unique up to diffeomorphism, it suffices to prove the following:

**Proposition 5.** Let M be a compact, connected, oriented 3-manifold containing a pair of nonseparating 2-spheres S and T. Then there is an (orientation preserving) diffeomorphism of M with itself that carries S to T.

*Proof.* Moving S by an isotopy, we can assume that S and T meet transversely. We work by induction on the number k of connected components of  $S \cap T$ . If k > 0, then we can write  $[S] = [S_+] + [S_-]$  as before, so that either  $S_+$  or  $S_-$  is a nonseparating k-sphere in M; without loss of generality,  $S_+$  is nonseparating. Moving  $S_+$  by a small isotopy, we can arrange that it is disjoint from S and intersects T in fewer than k components. Applying the inductive hypothesis, we obtain diffeomorphisms of M carrying S to  $S_+$  and  $S_+$  to T; the composition of these diffeomorphisms then does the job.

If k=0, then S and T are disjoint. Since M-S is connected,  $M-(S\cup T)$  has at most 2 components. Assume first that  $M-(S\cup T)=N\coprod N'$ , and let  $\overline{N}$  and  $\overline{N'}$  be the 3-manifolds obtained by capping off the boundary 2-spheres of N and N'. Since the orientation-preserving diffeomorphism group  $\mathrm{Diff}^+(\overline{N})$  acts transitively on pairs of distinct points of  $\overline{N}$ , we can find a diffeomorphism which restricts to a diffeomorphism of N which exchanges the two boundary components. Similarly, we can find such a diffeomorphism of N. Modifying them by an isotopy if necessary (using the connectedness of  $\mathrm{Diff}^+(S^2)$ ), we can assume that they glue to give a diffeomorphism of M which exchanges S and T.

The proof when  $M-(S\cup T)$  is similar: we let  $\overline{M}$  denote the 3-manifold obtained by capping off the boundary 3-spheres in  $M-(S\cup T)$ , and use the fact that  $\mathrm{Diff}^+(\overline{M})$  acts transitively on quadruples of distinct points in  $\overline{M}$ .

By repeatedly applying the above result, we are reduced to proving the uniqueness of prime decompositions

$$M_1 \# M_2 \# \cdots \# M_n \simeq M \simeq M'_1 \# \cdots \# M'_m$$

in which each factor (on either side) is irreducible. Without loss of generality n, m > 1 (otherwise  $M = S^3$  or is irreducible, and there is nothing to prove). Let T be the separating 2-sphere of M corresponding to the decomposition  $M'_1\#(M'_2\#\cdots\#M'_m)$ . Similarly, we can choose nonintersecting 2-spheres  $S_1,\ldots,S_{n-1}$  giving rise to the first decomposition. Without loss of generality, T meets  $\bigcup S_i$  transversely in k circles. We assume that the system of spheres  $\{S_i\}$  has been chosen to minimize k. If k=0, then T is contained in some  $M_i$ .

Since  $M_i$  is irreducible, T bounds a 3-disk in  $M_i$ . Let  $\{M_{j_1}, \ldots, M_{j_k}\}$  denote the collection of those  $M_j$  which are attached to  $M_i$  via spheres contained in this 3-disk. Reindexing, we can assume that  $j_1 = 1, \ldots, j_k = k$ . Then T separates M into pieces  $M_1 \# \ldots \# M_k$  and  $M_{k+1} \# \ldots \# M_n$ . It follows either that  $k = 1, M_1 \simeq M_1'$ , and  $M_2 \# \ldots \# M_n \simeq M_2' \# \ldots \# M_m'$ , or that  $k = n - 1, M_n \simeq M_1'$ , and  $M_1 \# \ldots \# M_{n-1} \simeq M_2' \# \ldots \# M_m'$ . In either case, we can conclude by induction that the prime factors agree up to reindexing.

If k>0, then as before we can choose an innermost circle C in the intersection  $(\bigcup S_i)\cap T$ , so that C bounds a disk D in T which does not intersect any  $S_i$ ; this disk is then contained in  $M_j-B^3\subseteq M$ . Let  $S=S_i$  be the the boundary sphere of  $M_j-B^3$  containing C, so that C cuts S into two disks  $E_-$  and  $E_+$ . Let  $S_+=E_+\cup D$ . Then  $S_+$  is a 2-sphere in  $M_j$ ; since  $M_j$  is irreducible we conclude that  $S_+$  bounds a 3-disk X. Replacing  $E_+$  by  $E_-$  if necessary, we can assume that  $B^3\subseteq X$ . By a small isotopy, we can arrange that  $S_+$  does not intersect T along C. Replacing  $S_i$  by  $S_+$ , we obtain a system of spheres which intersects T in fewer than k circles, and can conclude by the inductive hypothesis.