

# Lecture 26X-Ultrasets

April 14, 2018

Our goal in this lecture is to unwind the definitions of the preceding lectures in a particularly simple case.

**Definition 1** (Ultrasets-Version 1). An *ultraset* is an ultracategory fibration  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$  with the property that each fiber  $\pi^{-1}\{\beta I\}$  is a category with only identity morphisms: that is, it is a set, regarded as a category.

Note that if  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is any local Grothendieck fibration whose fibers are sets, then  $\pi$  is automatically a Grothendieck fibration (since every natural transformation between functors  $\mathcal{E}_{B'} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_B$  is automatically invertible). It is therefore a *fibration in sets*, which is classified by a functor  $\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , given by  $B \mapsto \mathcal{E}_B$ . In the case where  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$ , such a fibration  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an ultracategory fibration if and only if the associated functor  $\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  preserves (possibly infinite) products. We can therefore reformulate Definition 1 as follows:

**Definition 2** (Ultrasets-Version 2). An *ultraset* is a functor  $F : \text{Stone}^{\text{fr,op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  which carries coproducts in  $\text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$  to products in  $\text{Set}$  (so that we have canonical isomorphisms  $F(\beta I) = F(*)^I$ ).

We can obtain another equivalent formulation of Definition 2 using the notion of ultracategory introduced in Lecture 25X. Giving an ultraset (in the sense of either Definition 1 or 2) is equivalent to giving an ultracategory for which the underlying category  $\mathcal{M}$  is a set. In this case, the definition of an ultracategory simplifies substantially:

**Definition 3** (Ultrasets-Version 3). An *ultraset* consists of the following data:

- (1) A set  $M$ .
- (2) For every set  $I$  and every ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $I$ , a map of sets  $P^{\mathcal{U}} : M^I \rightarrow M$ .

This data is required to satisfy the following conditions:

- (3) If  $\mathcal{U}$  is the principal ultrafilter associated to an element  $i \in I$ , then  $P^{\mathcal{U}} : M^I \rightarrow M$  is given by projection onto the  $i$ th factor.
- (4) Given sets  $I$  and  $J$ , an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $I$ , and a collection  $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i \in I}$  of ultrafilters on  $J$ , we have an equality

$$P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet} = P^{\mathcal{U}} \circ \{P^{\mathcal{V}_i}\}_{i \in I}$$

of functions from  $M^J$  into  $M$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is an ultraset in the sense of Definition 3. Taking  $I = M$  and  $\text{id}_M \in M^M$  to be the identity function, we see that the construction  $\mathcal{U} \mapsto P^{\mathcal{U}}(\text{id}_M)$  determines a function  $r : \beta M \rightarrow M$ . The structure of  $M$  as an ultraset is completely encoded by this function:

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $M$  be an ultraset (in the sense of Definition 3). Then, for any set  $I$ , any ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $I$ , and any  $f \in M^I$  (which we view as a function from  $I$  to  $M$ ), we have*

$$P^{\mathcal{U}}(f) = u(f_* \mathcal{U}).$$

Here  $f_* \mathcal{U}$  denotes the ultrafilter on  $M$  given by

$$(M_0 \in f_* \mathcal{U}) \Leftrightarrow (f^{-1}M_0 \in \mathcal{U}).$$

*Proof.* Set  $J = M$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_i$  be the principal ultrafilter on  $J$  associated to the element  $f(i) \in M$ . Axiom (3) then gives  $P^{\mathcal{V}_i}(\text{id}_M) = \text{id}_M(f_i) = f(i)$ . Note that the composite ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet$  can be identified with  $f_* \mathcal{U}$ . Applying axiom (4), we obtain

$$u(f_* \mathcal{U}) = P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet}(\text{id}_M) = P^{\mathcal{U}}\{P^{\mathcal{V}_i}\{\text{id}_M\}\}_{i \in I} = P^{\mathcal{U}}(f).$$

□

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $M$  be an ultraset (in the sense of Definition 3). Then the map  $r : \beta M \rightarrow M$  defined above has the following properties:*

- (a) *The restriction of  $r$  to  $M$  is the identity map  $\text{id}_M$  (where we identify  $M$  with a subset of  $\beta M$ ).*
- (b) *Let  $\rho : \beta(\beta M) \rightarrow \beta M$  be the unique continuous map whose restriction to  $\beta M$  is the identity (where we identify  $\beta M$  with an open subset of  $\beta(\beta M)$ ). Then we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta(\beta M) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \beta M \\ \downarrow \beta(u) & & \downarrow u \\ \beta M & \xrightarrow{u} & M. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Assertion (a) is an immediate consequence of axiom (3) of Definition 3. To prove (b) let us apply axiom (4) in the case where  $I = \beta M$ ,  $J = M$ , the construction  $i \mapsto \mathcal{V}_i$  is the identity (that is, it associates to each element of  $\beta M$  the corresponding ultrafilter on  $M$ ). Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $\beta M$ , which we can identify with a point of  $\beta(\beta M)$ . Then axiom (4) gives an equality

$$P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet}(\text{id}_M) = P^{\mathcal{U}}\{P^{\mathcal{V}}(\text{id}_M)\}_{\mathcal{V} \in \beta M} = P^{\mathcal{U}}(u)$$

Unwinding the definitions, we see that  $\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet$  coincides with  $\rho(\mathcal{U})$  as an ultrafilter on  $M$ , so the left hand side is given by  $r(\rho(\mathcal{U}))$ . On the other hand, Lemma 4 allows us to rewrite the right hand side as  $r(r_* \mathcal{U})$ . □

Proposition ?? has a converse:

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $M$  be a set equipped with a map  $r : \beta M \rightarrow M$  satisfying conditions (a) and (b) of Proposition 5. Then  $M$  inherits the structure of an ultraset, given by*

$$P^{\mathcal{U}}(f) = u(f_* \mathcal{U})$$

for  $f \in M^I$  and  $\mathcal{U} \in \beta I$ .

*Proof.* We must show that  $P^{\mathcal{U}}$  satisfies conditions (3) and (4) of Definition 3. Suppose first that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a principal ultrafilter on a set  $I$  and that  $f \in M^I$ . Then  $f_* \mathcal{U}$  is the principal ultrafilter on  $f(i) \in M$ , so that  $P^{\mathcal{U}}(f) = u(f_*(\mathcal{U})) = u(f(i)) = f(i)$  by virtue of (a).

Now suppose we are given sets  $I$  and  $J$  and a collection of ultrafilters  $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i \in I}$  on the set  $J$ , so that the construction  $i \mapsto \mathcal{V}_i$  determines a map of sets  $g : I \rightarrow \beta J$ . We wish to show that we have an equality

$$P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet}(f) = P^{\mathcal{U}}\{P^{\mathcal{V}_i}(f)\}_{i \in I}.$$

for each ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on the set  $I$ . Unwinding the definitions, we see that the left hand side is given (as a function of  $\mathcal{U}$ ) by the composition

$$\beta I \xrightarrow{\beta g} \beta \beta J \xrightarrow{\beta \beta f} \beta \beta M \xrightarrow{\rho} \beta M \xrightarrow{u} M$$

while the right hand side is given by

$$\beta I \xrightarrow{\beta g} \beta \beta J \xrightarrow{\beta f_*} \beta \beta M \xrightarrow{\beta(u)} \beta M \xrightarrow{u} M;$$

these maps coincide by virtue of property (b).  $\square$

We leave it to the reader to check that for a fixed set  $M$ , these constructions establish mutually inverse bijections

$$\{\text{Functions } P^{\mathcal{U}} : M^I \rightarrow M \text{ satisfying Definition 3}\} \simeq \{\text{Functions } r : \beta M \rightarrow M \text{ satisfying (a) and (b)}\}.$$

This leads to another formulation:

**Definition 7** (Ultrasets-Version 4). An *ultraset* is a set  $M$  equipped with a map  $r : \beta M \rightarrow M$  satisfying conditions (a) and (b) of Proposition 5.

Let us give another interpretation of this definition. Let  $\text{Top}_{\text{ch}}$  denote the category of compact Hausdorff topological spaces and continuous maps. This category is equipped with a forgetful functor  $G : \text{Top}_{\text{ch}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ . The forgetful functor  $G$  has a left adjoint  $F$ , which associates to each set  $I$  its Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta I$ , regarded as a topological space. The composition  $\beta = G \circ F$  is the functor which associates to each set  $I$  the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta I$ , regarded merely as a set. This realization endows  $\beta$  with the structure of a *monad*: that is, as an associative algebra in the category  $\text{Fun}(\text{Set}, \text{Set})$  of endofunctors of  $\text{Set}$ . Explicitly, this algebra structure is encoded by a unit map

$$u : \text{id}_{\text{Set}} \rightarrow \beta \quad \rho : \beta \circ \beta \rightarrow \beta.$$

Unwinding the definitions, we see that  $u$  associates to each set  $M$  the canonical inclusion  $M \hookrightarrow \beta M$ , while  $\rho$  associates to each set  $M$  the map  $\beta \beta M \rightarrow \beta M$  appearing in Proposition 5. We can therefore restate Definition 7 in the language of monads:

**Definition 8** (Ultrasets-Version 5). An *ultraset* is an algebra over the monad  $\beta : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ .

By general nonsense, the forgetful functor  $G : \text{Top}_{\text{ch}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  factors through the category of algebras for the monad  $\beta$ . In other words, every compact Hausdorff space  $X$  can be regarded as an ultraset, taking  $r : \beta X \rightarrow X$  to be the unique map which agrees with the identity on  $X$  and is continuous (where  $\beta X$  is regarded as the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$  as a discrete set, and the right hand side uses the compact Hausdorff topology on  $X$ ).

**Theorem 9.** The adjunction  $\text{Set} \xrightleftharpoons[G]{F} \text{Top}_{\text{ch}}$  is monadic. In other words, it induces an equivalence of categories

$$\{\text{Compact Hausdorff spaces}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{Ultrasets}\}.$$

By virtue of Theorem 9, we obtain yet another formulation of the notion of an ultraset:

**Definition 10** (Ultrasets-Version 6). An *ultraset* is a compact Hausdorff space.

**Remark 11.** We can make the equivalence of Definitions 10 and 2 explicit, without passing through the theory of ultracategories. Note that every topological space  $X$  represents a functor

$$F_X : \text{Stone}^{\text{fr,op}} \rightarrow \text{Set},$$

given by  $F_X(\beta I) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(\beta I, X)$ . If  $X$  is compact Hausdorff, then the functor  $F_X$  carries coproducts in  $\text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$  to products of sets, and is therefore an ultraset in the sense of Definition 2.

*Proof Sketch of Theorem 9.* We will explicitly describe an inverse to the functor

$$\{\text{Compact Hausdorff spaces}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Ultrasets}\}.$$

Let  $X$  be an ultraset: that is, a set equipped with a map  $r : \beta X \rightarrow X$  satisfying the requirements of Proposition 5. We wish to show that  $X$  can be equipped with the structure of a compact Hausdorff space for which the map  $r$  is continuous (in which case  $r$  will be the unique continuous extension of the identity map  $\text{id}_X : X \rightarrow X$ , by the universal property of  $\beta X$ ). Note that  $r$  determines an equivalence relation  $R \subseteq (\beta X) \times_X (\beta X)$ , given by

$$((x, y) \in R) \Leftrightarrow (r(x) = r(y)).$$

Since  $r$  is surjective, we can identify  $X$  with the quotient  $(\beta X)/R$ . Let us endow  $X$  with the quotient topology, so that  $X$  is automatically compact and  $r$  is automatically continuous. The only nontrivial point is to verify that this topology is Hausdorff: that is, that the equivalence relation  $R$  is closed.

Consider the projection maps  $\pi, \pi' : R \rightarrow \beta X$ , and set  $Y = \beta R$ . Note that  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  extend uniquely to continuous maps  $\bar{\pi}, \bar{\pi}' : \beta R \rightarrow \beta X$ . The pair

$$(\bar{\pi}, \bar{\pi}') : \beta R \rightarrow \beta X \times \beta X$$

is a continuous map of compact Hausdorff spaces, and therefore has closed image. Clearly, this image contains  $R$ . We will complete the proof by showing that the image is exactly  $R$ : that is, that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta R & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \beta X \\ \downarrow \bar{\pi}' & & \downarrow r \\ \beta X & \xrightarrow{r} & X \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that the maps  $r \circ \bar{\pi}$  and  $r \circ \bar{\pi}'$  are maps from  $\beta R$  to  $X$  in the category of algebras for the monad  $\beta$ . Consequently, to show that they coincide, it will suffice to show that they coincide when restricted to  $R$  (since  $\beta R$  is the free  $\beta$ -algebra generated by  $R$ ). We are therefore reduced to proving the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \beta X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow r \\ \beta X & \xrightarrow{r} & X, \end{array}$$

which is immediate from the definition of  $R$ . □