

Lecture 25X-Ultracategories

April 13, 2018

We begin by recalling the following definition from Lecture 24X:

Definition 1. An *ultracategory fibration* is a category \mathcal{E} together with a functor $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$ with the following properties:

- (1) The functor π is a local Grothendieck fibration.
- (2) Let I be a set and let $f_i : \{i\} \hookrightarrow \beta I$ denote the inclusion map for each $i \in I$. Then the construction

$$(M \in \mathcal{E}_{\beta I}) \mapsto \{f_i^* M \in \mathcal{E}_{\{i\}}\}_{i \in I}$$

induces an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{E}_{\beta I} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{\{i\}}.$$

- (3) Let $g : \beta I \rightarrow \beta J$ and $f : \beta J \rightarrow \beta K$ be maps in Stone^{fr} , and suppose that g carries I into J . Then the natural transformation $g^* \circ f^* \rightarrow (f \circ g)^*$ is an equivalence of functors from $\mathcal{M}_{\beta K}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{\beta I}$.

In this lecture, we will describe the structure of an arbitrary ultracategory fibration $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$.

Notation 2. Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$ be an ultracategory fibration. We let \mathcal{M} denote the fiber product $(\mathcal{E} \times_{\text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}} \{*\})^{\text{op}}$. We will refer to \mathcal{M} as the *underlying category* of the ultracategory fibration π .

Example 3. Let \mathcal{C} be a small pretopos. Then the underlying category of the ultracategory fibration $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{fr}} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$ is the category $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ of models of \mathcal{C} .

Let's now return to the general case. Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$ be an ultracategory fibration with underlying category \mathcal{M} . For every set I , assumption (2) of Definition 1 supplies an equivalence of categories

$$\gamma_I : \mathcal{E}_{\beta I}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{M}^I).$$

Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I , which we can identify with a point of βI . Then \mathcal{U} determines a map of spaces $* \rightarrow \beta I$, which gives rise to a pullback functor $\psi_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{E}_{\beta I}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\{\mathcal{U}\}}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathcal{M}$. We let $P^{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{M}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ denote the functor given by the composition $\psi_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \gamma_I^{-1}$.

Example 4. Let \mathcal{C} be a small pretopos and let $\pi : \text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{fr}} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$ be the forgetful functor. Then, for any set I and any ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on I , the functor $P^{\mathcal{U}} : \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C})^I \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by

$$P^{\mathcal{U}}(\{M_i\}_{i \in I}) = \left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i \right) / \mathcal{U}.$$

We again return to the general case. Suppose we are given a continuous map $f : \beta I \rightarrow \beta J$, given by a collection of ultrafilters $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ on the set J . Suppose we are given a pair of objects $E \in \mathcal{E}_{\beta I}$ and $E' \in \mathcal{E}_{\beta J}$, having images

$$\gamma_I(E) = \{M_i \in \mathcal{M}\}_{i \in I} \quad \gamma_J(E') = \{N_j \in \mathcal{M}\}_{j \in J}.$$

Let's try to describe the set

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(E, E') \times_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Stonefr}}(\beta I, \beta J)} \{f\}.$$

We then have canonical bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(E, E') \times_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Stonefr}}(\beta I, \beta J)} \{f\} &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_{\beta I}}(E, f^* E') \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}^I}(\gamma_I(f^* E'), \gamma_I(E)) \\ &\simeq \prod_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \{N_j\}_{j \in J}, M_i); \end{aligned}$$

Here we are using condition (3) of Definition 1 to identify $\gamma_I(f^* E')$ with the tuple $\{P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \gamma_J(E')\}_{i \in I}$.

By virtue of this calculation, we can attempt to reconstruct the category \mathcal{E} (up to equivalence) from the data of the category \mathcal{M} and the functors $P^{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{M}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Let's attempt to define a category $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ as follows:

- The objects of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ are pairs $(I, \{M_i\}_{i \in I})$, where I is a set and $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of objects of \mathcal{M} indexed by I .
- Given a pair of objects $(I, \{M_i\}_{i \in I})$ and $(J, \{N_j\}_{j \in J})$, we set

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}}((I, \{M_i\}_{i \in I}), (J, \{N_j\}_{j \in J})) = \prod_{f: \beta I \rightarrow \beta J} \prod_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \{N_j\}_{j \in J}, M_i)$$

where the coproduct is taken over all continuous maps $f : \beta I \rightarrow \beta J$, which we identify with families of ultrafilters $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ on the set J .

By virtue of the above discussion, choosing an inverse γ_I^{-1} to each of the functors γ_I gives a construction F

$$(I, \{M_i\}) \mapsto \gamma_I^{-1} \{M_i\}$$

which carries objects of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ to objects of \mathcal{E} , and we have canonical bijections

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}}(\bar{E}, \bar{E}') = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(F(\bar{E}), F(\bar{E}'))$$

for every pair of objects $\bar{E}, \bar{E}' \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}$. It follows that there is a unique composition law on $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ for which F is a functor (and therefore an equivalence of categories). We now give an explicit description of this structure in terms of the functors $P^{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{M}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Remark 5 (Identity Morphisms). Let I be a set containing an element i , and let \mathcal{U}_i denote the principal ultrafilter determined by the element i . By construction, the diagram of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_{\beta I}^{\mathrm{op}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathcal{U}_i}} & \mathcal{M} \\ & \searrow \gamma_I & \nearrow \mathrm{ev}_i \\ & \mathcal{M}^I & \end{array}$$

commutes up to canonical isomorphism, where ev_i is the functor given by evaluation on the i th coordinate. It follows that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\epsilon_{I,i} : P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \simeq \mathrm{ev}_i$$

of functors from \mathcal{M}^I to \mathcal{M} .

For any collection of objects $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$, the identity morphism from $(I, \{M_i\}_{i \in I})$ to itself in $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ is encoded by the family of maps

$$\{\epsilon_{I,i}(\{M_j\}_{j \in I} : P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \{M_j\}_{j \in I} \simeq M_i)\}_{i \in I}.$$

Remark 6 (Composition). Let $f : \beta J \rightarrow \beta K$ be a morphism in $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{E}}$, given by a collection $\{\mathcal{V}_j\}_{j \in J}$ of ultrafilters on K . Suppose we are given an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on J , which we can identify with a map $g : * \rightarrow \beta J$. Let $\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}$ denote the ultrafilter on K given by the composition $f \circ g$, so that

$$(K_0 \in \mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}) \Leftrightarrow (\{j \in J : K_0 \in \mathcal{V}_j\} \in \mathcal{U})$$

Then we have a natural transformation of functors $g^* \circ f^* \rightarrow (f \circ g)^*$ from $\mathcal{E}_{\beta K}$ to \mathcal{E}_* . Passing to opposite categories and composing with the equivalence γ_K , we obtain a map

$$P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}} \{M_k\}_{k \in K} \rightarrow P^{\mathcal{U}} \{P^{\mathcal{V}_j} \{M_k\}_{k \in K}\}_{j \in J}$$

depending functorially on $\{M_k\}_{k \in K} \in \mathcal{M}^K$; we will write this as a natural transformation

$$\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}} : P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}} \rightarrow P^{\mathcal{U}} \circ \{P^{\mathcal{V}_j}\}_{j \in J}.$$

Using the natural transformations $\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}}$, we can describe the composition of morphisms in the category $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$. Let $f : \beta J \rightarrow \beta K$ be as above, and suppose we are given another map $g : \beta I \rightarrow \beta J$, given by a collection of ultrafilters $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ on the set J . For each $i \in I$, let $\mathcal{U}_i \wr \mathcal{V}_{\bullet} \in \beta K$ be the image of \mathcal{U}_i under the map f . Suppose that we lift f and g to morphisms

$$\bar{g} : (I, \{M_i\}_{i \in I}) \rightarrow (J, \{M'_j\}_{j \in J})$$

$$\bar{f} : (J, \{M'_j\}_{j \in J}) \rightarrow (K, \{M''_k\}_{k \in K})$$

in the category $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$. Then \bar{f} is given by specifying a collection of maps

$$\{\bar{f}_j : P^{\mathcal{V}_j} \{M''_k\}_{k \in K} \rightarrow M'_j\}_{j \in J},$$

in the category \mathcal{M} , and \bar{g} is given by specifying a family of maps

$$\{\bar{g}_i : P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \{M'_j\}_{j \in J} \rightarrow M_i\}_{i \in I}$$

in the category \mathcal{M} . Unwinding the definitions, we see that the composition $\bar{f} \circ \bar{g}$ in \mathcal{E} is encoded by the family of composite maps

$$P^{\mathcal{U}_i \wr \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}} \{M''_k\}_{k \in K} \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{U}_i, \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}}} P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \{P^{\mathcal{V}_j} \{M''_k\}_{k \in K}\}_{j \in J} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_j} P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \{M'_j\}_{j \in J} \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_i} M_i.$$

It follows from the above discussion that all of the data needed to construct the category $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ is encoded by the functors $P^{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{M}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, the natural transformations $\epsilon_{I,i}$ (which encode identity morphisms in $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$), and the natural transformations $\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}}$. This motivates the following definition, which is a variant of a notion introduced by Makkai:

Definition 7 (Ultracategories). An *ultracategory* consists of the following data:

- (1) A category \mathcal{M} .
- (2) For every set I and every ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on I , a functor $P^{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{M}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.
- (3) For every set I and every element $i \in I$, an isomorphism of functors $\epsilon_{I,i} : P^{\mathcal{U}_i} \simeq \text{ev}_i$, where \mathcal{U}_i denotes the principal ultrafilter associated to i (and $\text{ev}_i : \mathcal{M}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is given by projection onto the i th factor).
- (4) For every pair of sets I and J , every ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on I , and every family $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of ultrafilters on J , a natural transformation

$$\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}} : P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_{\bullet}} \rightarrow P^{\mathcal{U}} \circ \{P^{\mathcal{V}_i}\}_{i \in I}$$

of functors from \mathcal{M}^J to \mathcal{M} .

These maps are required to satisfy the following axioms:

- (A) In the situation of (4), suppose that \mathcal{U} is the principal ultrafilter associated to some element $i_0 \in I$, so that $\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet = \mathcal{V}_{i_0}$. Then, for any collection of objects $\{M_j\}_{j \in J}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet} \{M_j\}_{j \in J} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet}} & P^{\mathcal{U}} \{P^{\mathcal{V}_j} \{M_j\}_{j \in J}\}_{i \in I} \\ & \searrow = & \swarrow \epsilon_{I, i_0} \\ & & P^{\mathcal{V}_{i_0}} \{M_j\}_{j \in J} \end{array}$$

- (B) In the situation of (4), suppose that $I = J$ and that each \mathcal{V}_i is the principal ultrafilter associated to i , so that $\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet = \mathcal{U}$. Then, for any collection of objects $\{M_j\}_{j \in J}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet} \{M_j\}_{j \in J} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet}} & P^{\mathcal{U}} \{P^{\mathcal{V}_j} \{M_j\}_{j \in J}\}_{i \in I} \\ & \searrow = & \swarrow \prod \epsilon_{j, \bullet} \\ & & P^{\mathcal{U}} \{M_i\}_{i \in I}. \end{array}$$

- (C) Suppose we are given a diagram $* \xrightarrow{f} \beta I \xrightarrow{g} \beta J \xrightarrow{h} \beta K$ in Stone^{fr} , corresponding to an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on I , a collection of ultrafilters $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i \in I}$ on J and a collection of ultrafilters $\{\mathcal{W}_j\}_{j \in J}$ on K . Then, for every collection $\{M_k\}_{k \in K}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet \wr \mathcal{W}_\bullet} \{M_k\}_{k \in K} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet \wr \mathcal{W}_\bullet}} & P^{\mathcal{U}} \{P^{\mathcal{V}_i \wr \mathcal{W}_\bullet} \{M_k\}_{k \in K}\}_{i \in I} \\ \downarrow \mu_{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet, \mathcal{W}_\bullet} & & \downarrow \mu_{\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{W}_\bullet} \\ P^{\mathcal{U} \wr \mathcal{V}_\bullet} \{P^{\mathcal{W}_j} \{M_k\}_{k \in K}\}_{j \in J} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}_\bullet}} & P^{\mathcal{U}} \{P^{\mathcal{V}_i} \{P^{\mathcal{W}_j} \{M_k\}_{k \in K}\}_{j \in J}\}_{i \in I}. \end{array}$$

Remark 8. Roughly speaking, we can think of an ultracategory as a category \mathcal{M} equipped with a notion of “how to take ultraproducts of objects in \mathcal{M} ”: that is, given a collection of objects $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ and an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on the index category I , we can form a new object $P^{\mathcal{U}} \{M_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{M}$ which we think of as the ultraproduct of the objects M_i with respect to the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} . Datum (3) asserts that an ultraproduct indexed by a *principal* ultrafilter returns one of the objects that we started with, and datum (4) encodes natural comparison maps from ultraproducts to iterated ultraproducts (such as a “diagonal” map from any object M to the ultrapower $P^{\mathcal{U}} \{M\}_{i \in I}$).

Given an ultracategory \mathcal{M} , we can define a category $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ with objects and morphisms defined above, where the identity morphisms are determined by the natural isomorphisms $\epsilon_{I, i}$ and the composition is determined by the morphisms $\mu_{\mathcal{U}, \{\mathcal{V}_i\}}$. Conditions (A), (B), and (C) are exactly what is needed to guarantee that the resulting composition law is unital (on both sides) and associative. Moreover, the construction

$$(I, \{M_i\}_{i \in I}) \mapsto \beta I$$

determines a forgetful functor $\pi : \bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$, which is *essentially* a local Grothendieck fibration. More precisely, it defines a local Grothendieck fibration from $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ to the full subcategory of Stone^{fr} spanned by spaces which are identical to (rather than merely homeomorphic to) βI , for some set I . Moreover, the functor $\bar{\pi}$ satisfies the obvious analogues of conditions (2) and (3) of Definition 1. We can summarize the situation informally as follows:

Proposition 9. *The constructions of this lecture establish an equivalence between the following data:*

- Ultracategory fibrations $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Stone}^{\text{fr}}$ (in the sense of Definition 1).
- Ultracategories \mathcal{M} (in the sense of Definition 7).