

# Lecture 20X-Ultraproducts

March 31, 2018

In this lecture, we review the theory of ultrafilters and ultraproducts.

**Definition 1.** Let  $I$  be a set. An *ultrafilter on  $I$*  is a collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of subsets of  $I$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) The set  $\mathcal{U}$  is closed under finite intersections. That is, the set  $I$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ , and for every  $J, J' \in \mathcal{U}$ , the intersection  $J \cap J'$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- (b) The set  $\mathcal{U}$  is closed upwards: that is, if  $J \subseteq J'$  and  $J$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $J'$  is also contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- (c) For every subset  $J \subseteq I$ , exactly one of the sets  $J$  and  $I - J$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Exercise 2.** In Definition 1, show that (b) can be deduced from (a) and (c).

**Remark 3.** Let  $I$  be a set. Then the datum of an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $I$  is equivalent to the datum of a finitely additive measure

$$\mu : \{\text{Subsets of } I\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\};$$

the equivalence is implemented by taking  $\mathcal{U} = \{J \subseteq I : \mu(J) = 1\}$ .

**Example 4** (Principal Ultrafilters). Let  $I$  be a set containing an element  $i$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be the collection of all subsets of  $I$  which contain  $i$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_i$  is an ultrafilter on  $I$ . We refer to  $\mathcal{U}_i$  as the *principal ultrafilter associated to  $i$* .

**Exercise 5.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection of subsets of a set  $I$ . We say that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a *filter on  $I$*  if it satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 1. Show that if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a filter on  $I$  such that  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  can be enlarged to an ultrafilter on  $I$ .

**Construction 6** (Ultraproducts). Let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a collection of sets indexed by a set  $I$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $I$ . We let  $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)/\mathcal{U}$  denote the direct limit

$$\varinjlim_{J \in \mathcal{U}} \prod_{i \in J} M_i.$$

We will refer to  $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)/\mathcal{U}$  as the *ultraproduct of the sets  $M_i$  with respect to the ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$* .

**Exercise 7.** In the situation of Construction 6, suppose that each of the sets  $M_i$  is nonempty. Show that the ultraproduct  $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)/\mathcal{U}$  can be identified with the quotient of  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$  by an equivalence relation  $\sim$ , where  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \simeq \{y_i\}_{i \in I}$  if  $\{i \in I : x_i = y_i\}$  belongs to the ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  (in this case, we say that the sequences  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$  agree *almost everywhere* with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$ ).

Beware that this is not necessarily true if some  $M_j$  is empty. In this case, the product  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$  is also empty. However, the ultraproduct  $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)/\mathcal{U}$  will be nonempty if the set  $\{i \in I : M_i \neq \emptyset\}$  belongs to the ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Example 8.** In the situation of Construction 6, suppose that  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_j$  is the principal ultrafilter associated to an element  $j \in I$ . Then the ultraproduct  $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)/\mathcal{U}$  can be identified with  $M_j$ .

Ultraproducts appear in mathematical logic because they behave well with respect to the truth of first-order formulas.

**Theorem 9** (Łos's Ultraproduct Theorem, Pretopos Version). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pretopos, let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a collection of models of  $\mathcal{C}$  indexed by a set  $I$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $I$ . Then the construction*

$$(C \in \mathcal{C}) \mapsto \left( \prod_{i \in I} M_i(C) \right) / \mathcal{U}$$

*is also a model of  $\mathcal{C}$*

**Corollary 10** (Łos's Ultraproduct Theorem, Classical Version). *Let  $T$  be a first-order theory in a language  $\{P_j\}_{j \in J}$ . Let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a collection of models of  $T$ , and assume for simplicity that each  $M_i$  is nonempty. Suppose we are given an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on the set  $I$ , and set  $M = \left( \prod_{i \in I} M_i \right) / \mathcal{U}$ . Regard  $M$  as a structure for the language  $L$  by declaring*

$$(M \models P_j(\{\vec{c}_i\}_{i \in I})) \Leftrightarrow \{i \in I : M_i \models P_j(\vec{c}_i)\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

*Then  $M$  is also a model of  $T$ . Moreover, for any formula  $\varphi(\vec{x})$  in the language  $L$ , we have*

$$(M \models \varphi(\{\vec{c}_i\}_{i \in I})) \Leftrightarrow \{i \in I : M_i \models \varphi(\vec{c}_i)\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 9 to the syntactic category  $\text{Syn}(T)$ . (Note that the desired conclusion can be restated as  $M[\varphi] \simeq \left( \prod_{i \in I} M_i[\varphi] \right) / \mathcal{U}$ .)  $\square$

It is not difficult to give a direct proof of Theorem 9 (or Corollary 10): the essential point is that the formation of ultraproducts commutes with the formation of finite limits, finite coproducts, and images. However, we will give a different explanation of Theorem 9, which connects up with the material of the last few lectures.

For the remainder of this lecture, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small pretopos. Recall that the category  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  has small limits and colimits.

**Proposition 11.** (1) *The subcategory  $\text{Pro}^{\text{wp}}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  of weakly projective pro-objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  has (possibly infinite) coproducts, which are preserved by the inclusion  $\text{Pro}^{\text{wp}}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ .*

(2) *For every object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , the construction  $M \mapsto M(C)$  determines a functor  $\text{Pro}^{\text{wp}}(\mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  which preserves (possibly infinite) products: that is, it carries coproducts in  $\text{Pro}^{\text{wp}}(\mathcal{C})$  to products of sets.*

(3) *The category  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$  has (possibly infinite) coproducts. Moreover, for each object  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , the functor  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X^C(X)$  carries coproducts in  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$  to products of sets.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  can be defined as the opposite of the category  $\text{Fun}^{\text{lex}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Set})$  of left exact functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\text{Set}$ . Since the class of left exact functors is closed under inverse limits, it follows that colimits in  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  are computed pointwise. In particular, given a collection of pro-objects  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ , the coproduct  $M = \coprod_{i \in I} M_i$  in the category  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  is given by the formula  $M(C) = \prod_{i \in I} M_i(C)$ . From this description, it is clear that if each  $M_i$  is weakly projective, then so is  $M$  (note that a product of surjections in the category of sets is again a surjection). This proves (1) and (2), and assertion (3) is just a restatement.  $\square$

**Example 12** (Ultrafilters). Let  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Set}_{\text{fin}}$  be the category of finite sets, so that  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq \text{Stone}$  is the category of Stone spaces. Proposition 11 implies that the category  $\text{Stone}$  admits coproducts. Beware that the inclusion  $\text{Stone} \hookrightarrow \text{Top}$  does *not* preserve coproducts: a coproduct of Stone spaces is Hausdorff and totally disconnected, but usually not compact.

For example, let  $I$  be a set, and consider the coproduct  $\coprod_{i \in I} \{i\}$ , formed in the category  $\text{Stone}$ . We denote this coproduct by  $\beta I$  and refer to it as the *Stone-Čech compactification of  $I$* . It is characterized by the following universal property: there is a map  $\rho : I \rightarrow \beta I$  such that composition with  $\rho$  induces a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(\beta I, X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{i \in I} X$$

for any Stone space  $X$  (or, more generally, any compact Hausdorff space  $X$ ). In particular, taking  $X$  to be a two-point space, we obtain a bijection

$$\{\text{Clopen subsets of } \beta I\} \simeq \{\text{Arbitrary subsets of } I\}.$$

In other words, we can describe  $\beta I$  as the spectrum of the Boolean algebra  $P(I)$  of subsets of  $I$ . It follows that  $\beta I$  can be identified with the set of Boolean algebra homomorphisms  $\mu : P(I) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ : that is, with the collection of all ultrafilters on  $I$  (see Remark 3). The topology on  $\beta I$  is generated by open (and closed) sets of the form

$$U_J := \{\mathcal{U} \in \beta I : J \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

where  $J$  ranges over all subsets of  $I$  (in fact, the construction  $J \mapsto U_J$  implements the isomorphism of  $P(I)$  with the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of  $\beta I$ ).

**Remark 13.** In the situation of Example 12, the canonical map  $\rho : I \rightarrow \beta I$  carries each element  $i \in I$  to the principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}_i$  of Example 4.

**Example 14** (Ultraproducts). Let us now return to the situation where  $\mathcal{C}$  is an arbitrary small pretopos. Suppose we are given a collection of models  $\{M_i \in \text{Mod}(\mathcal{C})\}_{i \in I}$ . We can then regard each pair  $(\{i\}, M_i)$  as an object of  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , and form the coproduct

$$(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \amalg_{i \in I} (\{i\}, M_i)$$

in  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Note that the forgetful functor  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Stone}$  preserves coproducts: it is given by the composition

$$\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq \text{Pro}^{\text{wp}}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}^{\text{lex}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Set})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{lex}}(\text{Set}_{\text{fin}}, \mathcal{C})^{\text{op}} = \text{Pro}(\text{Set}_{\text{fin}}) = \text{Stone}$$

induced by the morphism of pretopoi  $\text{Set}_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . It follows that we can identify the Stone space  $X$  with the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta I$ . In particular, the construction

$$(J \subseteq I) \mapsto U_J = \{\mathcal{U} \in \beta I : J \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

induces a bijection from the collection  $P(I)$  of subsets of  $I$  to the collection of clopen subsets of  $X$ . Unwinding the definitions, we see that  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is given by the formula

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathcal{C}}(U_J) = \prod_{i \in J} M_i(C).$$

In particular, given a point  $x \in X$  corresponding to an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on the set  $I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\mathcal{C}} &= \varinjlim_{x \in U_J} \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathcal{C}}(U_J) \\ &= \varinjlim_{J \in \mathcal{U}} \prod_{i \in J} M_i(C) \\ &= (\prod_{i \in I} M_i(C)) / \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 9.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pretopos, let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a collection of models of  $\mathcal{C}$  indexed by a set  $I$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $I$ . Forming the coproduct  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \amalg_{i \in I} (\{i\}, M_i)$  in  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , we observe that  $\mathcal{U}$  can be identified with a point  $x \in X \simeq \beta I$ , and that the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a model of  $\mathcal{C}$  given by the formula  $C \mapsto (\prod_{i \in I} M_i(C)) / \mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

We can summarize the situation informally as follows: given a collection of models  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  of a pretopos  $\mathcal{C}$ , we can construct a larger family of models parametrized by the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta I$ , which assigns to each ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \in \beta I$  the corresponding ultraproduct  $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) / \mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 15.** We will say that an object  $M \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  is *free* if it can be written as a coproduct  $\coprod_{i \in I} M_i$  in  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ , where each  $M_i$  is a model of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that in this case,  $M$  is automatically weakly projective.

We say that an object  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \in \text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is *free* if it corresponds to a free object of  $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  under the equivalence  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq \text{Pro}^{\text{WP}}(\mathcal{C})$ : that is, if it can be written as a coproduct

$$\coprod_{i \in I} (\{i\}, M_i)$$

in the category  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Proposition 16.** (1) *For every object  $Z \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ , there exists an effective epimorphism  $M \rightarrow Z$ , where  $M$  is free.*

(2) *For every object  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , there exists a covering  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  in  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , where  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  is free.*

*Proof.* To prove (1) we may assume without loss of generality that  $Z$  is weakly projective. In this case, (1) and (2) are equivalent. Let us therefore consider (2). Fix an object  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  in  $\text{Stone}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , and form the coproduct

$$(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \coprod_{x \in X} (\{x\}, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}).$$

We claim that the tautological map  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a covering. Using the criterion of Lecture 18X, we are reduced to showing that for each point  $x \in X$ , we can choose a point  $y \in Y$  lying over  $x$  for which the induced map of models  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$  is an isomorphism. Identifying  $Y$  with the set  $\beta X$  of ultrafilters on  $X$ , it suffices to choose  $y$  to correspond to the principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}_x$ ; in this case, the canonical map  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$  is an isomorphism (Example 8).  $\square$