

# Lecture 14: Locales and Topoi

March 5, 2018

Recall that, if  $X$  is an object of a coherent category  $\mathcal{C}$ , then the poset  $\text{Sub}(X)$  is a distributive lattice. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a topos, we can say more.

**Definition 1.** A *locale* is a poset  $\mathcal{U}$  with the following properties:

(a) Every subset  $S \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  has a least upper bound  $\bigvee S$ .

It follows from (a) that every subset  $S \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  also has a greatest lower bound  $\bigwedge S$ , given by the least upper bound of the set  $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : (\forall V \in S) U \leq V\}$  of all lower bounds for  $S$ . In particular, every pair of elements  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$  have a meet  $U \wedge V$ .

(b) For each element  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  and every set of elements  $\{U_\alpha\}$ , we have a distributive law

$$\left(\bigvee_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}\right) \wedge V = \bigvee_{\alpha} (U_{\alpha} \wedge V).$$

**Remark 2.** Every locale is a distributive lattice.

**Exercise 3.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a poset satisfying condition (a) of Definition 1. Show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a locale if and only if it is a *Heyting algebra*: that is, if and only if for every pair of elements  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is an element  $(U \Rightarrow V) \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $W \leq (U \Rightarrow V)$  if and only if  $U \wedge W \leq V$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $B$  be a complete Boolean algebra (that is, a Boolean algebra satisfying condition (a) of Definition 1). Then  $B$  is a locale.

**Example 5.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{U}(X)$  be the collection of open subsets of  $X$ , partially ordered with respect to inclusion. Then  $\mathcal{U}(X)$  is a locale. Moreover, the join  $\bigvee U_\alpha$  of a collection of elements  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  coincides with the set-theoretic union  $\bigcup U_\alpha$ , and the meet of a pair  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  is given by the set-theoretic intersection  $U \cap V$ .

Beware that the meet of an *infinite* set of elements  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  usually does not coincide with the intersection  $\bigcap U_\alpha$ , because the intersection  $\bigcap U_\alpha$  need not be open; instead,  $\bigwedge U_\alpha$  is given by the interior of  $\bigcap U_\alpha$ . In particular, we generally have

$$\left(\bigwedge_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}\right) \vee V \neq \bigwedge_{\alpha} (U_{\alpha} \vee V).$$

**Proposition 6.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a topos and let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then the poset  $\text{Sub}(X)$  is a locale.

*Proof.* Every collection of objects  $\{U_i \subseteq X\}_{i \in I}$  has a join, given by the image of the map  $\coprod_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow X$ . For

$V \subseteq X$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bigvee U_i) \wedge V &= (\bigvee U_i) \times_X V \\
&= \text{Im}(\prod_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow X) \times_X V \\
&= \text{Im}((\prod_{i \in I} U_i) \times_X V \rightarrow V) \\
&= \text{Im}(\prod_{i \in I} (U_i \times_X V) \rightarrow V) \\
&= \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i \wedge V.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 7.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a topos and let  $\mathbf{1}$  be the final object of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then  $\text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$  is a locale. We will refer to  $\text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$  as the *underlying locale of  $\mathcal{X}$* .

In the situation of Definition 7, the poset  $\text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$  can be regarded as a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 8.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a topos. We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is *localic* if it is generated by  $\text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$ : that is, if every object  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  admits a covering  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$ , where each  $U_i$  is a subobject of  $\mathbf{1}$ .

**Example 9.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category which admits finite limits, equipped with a Grothendieck topology. Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a poset (that is, every object of  $\mathcal{C}$  can be identified with a subobject of the final object). Then the topos  $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  is localic: it is generated by objects of the form  $Lh_{\mathcal{C}}$ , each of which is a subobject of the final object of  $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Example 10.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Then the topos  $\text{Shv}(X)$  is localic (this is a special case of Example 9).

We now prove a converse to Example 9.

**Exercise 11.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a locale. Show that  $\mathcal{U}$  admits a Grothendieck topology, where a collection of maps  $\{U_i \rightarrow X\}$  is a covering if  $X = \bigvee U_i$ .

**Proposition 12.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a localic topos, and regard the underlying locale  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$  as equipped with the Grothendieck topology of Exercise 11. Then we have a canonical equivalence  $\mathcal{X} \simeq \text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})$ .

*Proof.* We can regard  $\mathcal{U}$  as an essentially small full subcategory of  $\mathcal{X}$  which is closed under finite limits. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is localic, then  $\mathcal{U}$  generates  $\mathcal{X}$ , so the desired result follows as in the proof of Giraud's theorem. □

We now proceed in the reverse direction.

**Proposition 13.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a locale. Then the Yoneda embedding  $h : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{U}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$  induces an equivalence from  $\mathcal{U}$  to the poset of subobjects of  $\mathbf{1}$  in  $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})$ .

*Proof.* We first show that, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , the presheaf  $h_U$  is a sheaf. Suppose we are given a covering  $\{V_i \rightarrow V\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ ; we wish to show that the canonical map

$$h_U(V) \rightarrow \prod_i h_U(V_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} h_U(V_i \wedge V_j)$$

is an equalizer diagram. Equivalently, we wish to show that  $V \leq U$  if and only if each  $V_i \leq U$ , which follows from the identity  $V = \bigvee_{i \in I} V_i$ .

It is clear that each  $h_U$  is a subobject of the final object of  $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})$  (note that  $h_U(V)$  is a singleton for  $V \leq U$ , and empty otherwise). Conversely, let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})$  be a subobject of the final object, so that  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  has at most one element for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ . Set  $U = \bigvee_{\mathcal{F}(V) \neq \emptyset} V$ . Then we have a covering  $\{V \rightarrow U\}_{\mathcal{F}(V) \neq \emptyset}$ . Invoking the assumption that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf, we conclude that  $\mathcal{F}(U) \neq \emptyset$ . We therefore have  $\mathcal{F}(V) = \begin{cases} * & \text{if } V \leq U \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ , so that  $\mathcal{F} \simeq h_U$ .  $\square$

We can summarize Propositions 12 and 13 more informally by saying that we have an equivalence

$$\{\text{Localic topoi}\} \simeq \{\text{Locales}\}.$$

To every localic topos  $\mathcal{X}$ , we can associate the locale  $\text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$  of subobjects of the final object; to any locale  $\mathcal{U}$ , we can associate a topos  $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})$ , and these constructions are mutually inverse (up to equivalence). In fact, we can be a bit more precise.

**Definition 14.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be locales. A *morphism of locales* from  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $\mathcal{U}$  is an order-preserving map  $f^* : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  such that  $f^*$  preserves finite meets and arbitrary joins (equivalently, it preserves finite limits and small colimits, if we view  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  as categories). We let  $\text{Fun}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  denote the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  spanned by the morphisms of locales from  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $\mathcal{U}$  (note that  $\text{Fun}^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  is a poset).

**Proposition 15.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a locale and let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a topos with underlying locale  $\text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$ . Then composition with the Yoneda embedding  $h : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})$  induces an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Fun}^*(\text{Shv}(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^*(\mathcal{U}, \text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})).$$

In other words, the category of geometric morphisms from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})$  is equivalent to the poset of morphisms of locales from  $\text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$  to  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* We proved in Lecture 12 that composition with  $h$  induces an equivalence of categories  $\text{Fun}^*(\text{Shv}(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}'(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})$ , where  $\text{Fun}'(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})$  is the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X})$  spanned by those functors  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  which preserve finite limits and coverings. Since every object of  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subobject of the final object, any functor  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  which preserves finite limits automatically carries each element of  $\mathcal{U}$  to a subobject of the final object  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{X}$ , and can therefore be identified with a map of posets  $g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})$ . In this case, the assumption that  $f$  preserves finite limits translates into the assumption that  $g$  preserves finite meets, and the assumption that  $f$  preserves coverings translates into the assumption that  $g$  preserves infinite joins.  $\square$

We can summarize the situation as follows: there are adjoint functors (of 2-categories)

$$\{\text{Topoi}\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X} \mapsto \text{Sub}(\mathbf{1})} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{U} \mapsto \text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})} \end{array} \{\text{Locales}\}.$$

where the construction  $\mathcal{U} \mapsto \text{Shv}(\mathcal{U})$  is fully faithful by virtue of Proposition 13; its essential image is the 2-category of localic topoi. It follows that for every topos  $\mathcal{X}$ , there is a universal example of a localic topos which admits a geometric morphism from  $\mathcal{X}$ , given by  $\text{Shv}(\text{Sub}(\mathbf{1}))$ . We refer to this topos as the *localic reflection* of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Example 16.** Let  $X$  be a topological space equipped with an action of a (discrete) group  $G$ . Then the category  $\text{Shv}_G(X)$  of  $G$ -equivariant sheaves on  $X$  is a topos. The subobjects of the final object of  $\text{Shv}(X)$  can be identified with open subsets of  $X$ . It follows that subobjects of the final object of  $\text{Shv}_G(X)$  can be identified with  $G$ -equivariant open subsets of  $X$ , or equivalently with open subsets of the quotient  $X/G$  (where we endow  $X/G$  with the quotient topology). It follows that there is a canonical map  $\text{Shv}_G(X) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(X/G)$  which exhibits  $\text{Shv}(X/G)$  as the localic reflection of  $\text{Shv}_G(X)$ .