

Lecture 12: Geometric Morphisms

February 21, 2018

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be topoi. A *geometric morphism* from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} is a functor $f^* : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which preserves finite limits, effective epimorphisms, and (small) coproducts. We let $\text{Fun}^*(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ spanned by the geometric morphisms from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} .

Remark 2. The terminology of Definition 1 is motivated by topology. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map of topological spaces, then pullback of sheaves determines a functor $f^* : \text{Shv}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(X)$ satisfying the requirements of Definition 1. Under the mild assumption that Y is *sober*, this construction establishes a bijection between the set of continuous maps from X to Y and the set of isomorphism classes of geometric morphisms from the topos $\text{Shv}(X)$ to the topos $\text{Shv}(Y)$. We will return to this point later.

Definition 1 admits several reformulations:

Proposition 3. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be topoi and let $f^* : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a functor which preserves finite limits. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The functor f^* is a geometric morphism from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} : that is, it preserves effective epimorphisms and coproducts.
- (2) The functor f^* preserves small colimits.
- (3) The functor f^* admits a right adjoint.

Sketch. The equivalence (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from the adjoint functor theorem and the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear. We complete the proof by showing that (1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume that f^* is a geometric morphism; we wish to show that f^* preserves small colimits. By general nonsense, all small colimits can be constructed from coproducts and coequalizers. It will therefore suffice to show that for every pair of morphisms $u, v : U \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{Y} , the canonical map $\text{Coeq}(f^*U \rightrightarrows f^*Y) \rightarrow f^* \text{Coeq}(U \rightrightarrows Y)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{X} .

Note that the canonical map $Y \rightarrow \text{Coeq}(U \rightrightarrows Y)$ cannot factor through any proper subobject of Y , and is therefore an effective epimorphism. We therefore have $\text{Coeq}(U \rightrightarrows Y) \simeq \text{Coeq}(R \rightrightarrows Y)$, where $R \subseteq Y \times Y$ is the equivalence relation $Y \times_{\text{Coeq}(U \rightrightarrows Y)} Y$. In this case, we can characterize R as the equivalence relation *generated by* U : that is, it is the smallest equivalence relation on Y which contains the image of the map $(u, v) : U \rightarrow Y \times Y$. Similarly, we can identify $\text{Coeq}(f^*U \rightrightarrows f^*Y)$ with the quotient of f^*Y by the equivalence relation $R' \subseteq f^*(Y) \times f^*(Y)$ generated by f^*U . It will therefore suffice to show that $R' = f^*R$ (as subobjects of $f^*Y \times f^*Y$).

We now describe passage from the object U to the equivalence relation R . Note that R depends only on the image of the map $(u, v) : U \rightarrow Y \times Y$. We may therefore replace U by $\text{Im}(u, v)$ and thereby reduce to the case where U is a subobject of $Y \times Y$. Let U^{op} denote the image of U under the automorphism of $Y \times Y$ given by swapping the factors. Replacing U by the join $U \vee U^{\text{op}}$, we can reduce to the case where U is a *symmetric* relation on Y . For each $n \geq 0$, let V_n denote the n -fold fiber product

$$U_f \times_{Y_g} U_f \times_{Y_g} U_f \times_{X_g} \cdots_f \times_{Y_g} U,$$

(so that $V_0 = Y$) and let U_n denote the image of V_n in the product $Y \times Y$ (under the maps from the outermost factors of U). The equivalence relation R can then be described as the join $\bigvee_{n \geq 0} U_n$ (Exercise: Check this.)

Note that the equivalence relation R was constructed from U by a combination of taking images of morphisms, fiber products, and joins of subobjects. By assumption, the functor $f^* : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is compatible with each of these operations. It follows that f^*R agrees with the equivalence relation R' generated by f^*U , as desired. \square

Remark 4. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be topoi and let $f^* : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a geometric morphism from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} . Proposition 3 implies that f^* admits a right adjoint, which we will denote by $f_* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. In this situation, the functors f^* and f_* are equivalent pieces of data: either can be recovered (up to canonical isomorphism) from the other. We will sometimes abuse terminology by saying that f_* is a *geometric morphism* from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} .

Our next goal is to understand how to compute the category $\text{Fun}^*(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ of geometric morphisms in the case where $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ is described as a category of sheaves.

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a small category which admits finite limits which is equipped with a Grothendieck topology, and let $j : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ be the composition of the Yoneda embedding $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ with the sheafification functor $L : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Then, for any topos \mathcal{X} , composition with j induces a fully faithful embedding*

$$\text{Fun}^*(\text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}),$$

whose essential image consists of those functors $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which satisfy the following pair of conditions:

- (a) The functor f is left exact: that is, it preserves finite limits.
- (b) For every covering $\{C_i \rightarrow C\}$ in the category \mathcal{C} , the maps $\{f(C_i) \rightarrow f(C)\}$ form a covering with respect to the canonical topology on \mathcal{X} .

We begin by proving Theorem 5 in a special case, where we can ignore the topology on \mathcal{C} .

Exercise 6. Let \mathcal{C} be a small category (which admits finite limits). Show that \mathcal{C} admits a Grothendieck topology where the covering families $\{f_i : C_i \rightarrow C\}$ are those collections of maps where some f_i admits a section. We will refer to this Grothendieck topology as the *trivial topology* on \mathcal{C} .

Exercise 7. Let \mathcal{C} be a small category which admits finite limits. Show that every presheaf on \mathcal{C} is a sheaf with respect to the trivial topology. That is, if we equip \mathcal{C} with the trivial topology, then we have $\text{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$.

We first prove Theorem 5 in the case where the topology on \mathcal{C} is trivial. We will use the following general fact about presheaf categories:

Proposition 8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a small category and let $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ be the Yoneda embedding. Then h exhibits the $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ as the category freely generated by \mathcal{C} under small colimits. In other words, if \mathcal{X} is any category which admits small colimits and $\text{LFun}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}), \mathcal{X})$ is the category of functors from $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ to \mathcal{X} which preserve small colimits, then composition with h induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{LFun}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}), \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}).$$

Remark 9. The inverse functor $\theta : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{LFun}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}), \mathcal{X})$ can be described explicitly as follows. Let $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be any functor. Then every object $X \in \mathcal{X}$ determines a presheaf \mathcal{F}_X on \mathcal{C} , given by the formula $\mathcal{F}_X(C) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(f(C), X)$. Then $\theta(f) : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ can be described as the left adjoint of the functor

$$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \quad X \mapsto \mathcal{F}_X.$$

Proof of Theorem 5 for a trivial topology. Let \mathcal{C} be a category which admits finite limits and let \mathcal{X} be a topos. By virtue of Proposition 8, composition with h induces an equivalence

$$\{\text{Functors } F : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \text{ preserving colimits}\} \rightarrow \{\text{All functors } f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\}$$

We wish to show that this restricts to an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}), \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \{\text{Left exact functors } f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}\}$$

(note that condition (b) of Theorem 5 is automatic when the Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{C} is trivial). In other words, we wish to show that if $F : \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a functor which preserves small colimits, then F preserves finite limits if and only if the composition $F \circ h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ preserves finite limits. The “only if” direction is clear (and does not require the assumption that \mathcal{X} is a topos), since the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{C} \mapsto h_{\mathcal{C}}$ preserves finite limits.

To prove the converse, assume that $f = F \circ h$ preserves finite limits. Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a small full subcategory which contains a set of generators for \mathcal{X} , contains the image of f , and is closed under finite limits. Then the canonical topology on \mathcal{X} determines a Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{D} , and we proved in Lecture 10 that \mathcal{X} can be identified with the category of sheaves $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{D})$. Let $h' : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set})$ be the Yoneda embedding of \mathcal{D} and let $L : \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the sheafification functor. Using Proposition 8, we see that the functor $h' \circ f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set})$ admits an essentially unique extension to a functor $F' : \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set})$ which preserves small colimits. Moreover, the functor $L \circ F' : \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ preserves small colimits, and $L \circ F' \circ h \simeq L \circ h' \circ f \simeq f$. Using Proposition 8 again, we can identify $L \circ F'$ with F . We wish to show that F preserves finite limits. Since the sheafification functor L preserves finite limits, we are reduced to proving that F' preserves finite limits. Concretely, the functor F' is given by left Kan extension along the functor $f^{\mathrm{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$. In particular, if \mathcal{F} is a presheaf on \mathcal{C} , then $F'(\mathcal{F})$ is the presheaf on \mathcal{D} given by the formula

$$F'(\mathcal{F})(D) = \varinjlim_{D \rightarrow f(C)} \mathcal{F}(C).$$

We wish to show that, for each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the construction $\mathcal{F} \mapsto F'(\mathcal{F})(D)$ preserves finite limits. This follows from the observation that the colimit $\varinjlim_{D \rightarrow f(C)} \mathcal{F}(C)$ is indexed by a *filtered* diagram (in fact, the category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}} (\mathcal{D}_{D/\cdot})^{\mathrm{op}}$ admits finite colimits, since \mathcal{C} has finite limits which are preserved by the functor f). \square

Proof of Theorem 5 in general. Now suppose that \mathcal{C} is a category which admits finite limits which is equipped with an arbitrary Grothendieck topology. Let $L : \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ be the sheafification functor and let $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set})$ be the Yoneda embedding. For any topos \mathcal{X} , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\circ j} & \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \\ \downarrow \circ L & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \\ \mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}), \mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\circ h} & \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \end{array}$$

The first part of the proof shows that the lower horizontal map is a fully faithful embedding, whose essential image consists of those functors $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which preserve finite limits. Moreover, the left vertical map is also fully faithful; its essential image consists of those geometric morphisms $F^* : \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ having the property that the right adjoint $F_* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set})$ factors through the full subcategory $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set})$. Using the description of F_* given in Remark 9, we see that the upper vertical map induces an equivalence from $\mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{X})$ to the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$ spanned by those functors $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ which satisfy the following pair of conditions:

- (a) The functor f preserves finite limits.
- (b') For each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$, the functor $\mathcal{F}_X : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ given by $\mathcal{F}_X(C) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(f(C), X)$ is a sheaf.

Unwinding the definitions, we can rephrase (b') as follows:

(b'') For each object $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and each covering $\{C_i \rightarrow C\}$ in \mathcal{C} , the diagram

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(f(C), X) \rightarrow \prod_i \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(f(C_i), X) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(f(C_i \times_C C_j), X)$$

is an equalizer.

Allowing X to vary, we can rephrase this as:

(b''') For each covering $\{C_i \rightarrow C\}$ in \mathcal{C} , the diagram

$$\prod_{i,j} f(C_i \times_C C_j) \rightrightarrows \prod_i f(C_i) \rightarrow f(C)$$

is a coequalizer in \mathcal{X} .

Assuming (a), we can rewrite the diagram of (b''') as

$$\prod_{i,j} f(C_i) \times_{f(C)} f(C_j) \rightrightarrows \prod_i f(C_i) \rightarrow f(C),$$

so that (b''') is equivalent to condition (b) of Theorem 5. □