

Proof of the Kan Property (Lecture 9)

February 11, 2011

Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic functor $Q : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}p$. Let B be the polarization of Q and \mathbb{D} the associated duality functor. Our goal in this lecture is to prove the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a stable subcategory which is closed under \mathbb{D} . Then the canonical map $\text{Poinc}(\mathcal{C}, Q)_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Poinc}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0, Q'')_\bullet$ is a Kan fibration of simplicial spaces (here Q'' is the quadratic functor on $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0$ defined in the previous lecture).*

Before giving the proof, we embark on two digressions.

Notation 2. We let $\text{Lagr}(\mathcal{C}, Q)$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{[1]}$ spanned by those diagrams

$$X_0 \leftarrow X_{01} \rightarrow X_1$$

where $X_1 = 0$, and endow $\text{Lagr}(\mathcal{C}, Q)$ with the quadratic functor Q_{Lagr} given by the restriction of $Q_{[1]}$.

Lemma 3. *We have $L_0(\text{Lagr}(\mathcal{C}, Q), Q_{\text{Lagr}}) = 0$.*

Remark 4. Lemma 3 can be regarded as an algebraic analogue of the following topological fact: for any topological space X with a base point $x \in X$, the space of paths in X ending in x is contractible.

Proof. Fix a Poincare object (X, q) of $\text{Lagr}(\mathcal{C}, Q)$, so that X is given by a diagram

$$X_0 \leftarrow X_{01} \rightarrow 0$$

Let $L \in \text{Lagr}(\mathcal{C}, Q)$ be the diagram

$$X_{01} \leftarrow X_{01} \rightarrow 0.$$

There is a canonical map $L \rightarrow X$. Note that $Q_{\text{Lagr}}(L) = Q(0) \times_{Q(X_{01})} Q(X_{01}) \simeq 0$, so that the restriction of q to L is canonically nullhomotopic. A simple calculation shows that L is a Lagrangian in X , so that (X, q) represents $0 \in L_0(\text{Lagr}(\mathcal{C}, Q), Q_{\text{Lagr}})$. \square

We now discuss the classification of *quadratic objects* of (\mathcal{C}, Q) which are not necessarily Poincare. Let us begin with an example for motivation. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^{\text{perf}}(\mathbf{Z})$, let B be the symmetric bilinear functor associated to the duality functor

$$P_\bullet \mapsto \text{Hom}(P_\bullet, \mathbf{Z}[-n])$$

and let $Q(X) = B(X, X)^{h\Sigma_2}$ be the associated quadratic functor. Let M be a compact oriented n -manifold with boundary. Then we have an intersection form

$$C^*(M, \partial M) \otimes C^*(M, \partial M) \xrightarrow{[M]} \mathbf{Z}[-n]$$

which determines a quadratic object $(C^*(M, \partial M), q_M)$ of \mathcal{C} . If M is a closed manifold, then this object is Poincare. In general it is not: the point q_M determines a map $C^*(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}C^*(M, \partial M) \simeq C^*(M)$, which can be identified with the standard inclusion of relative cochains into cochains. The cofiber of this map

is $C^*(\partial M)$. Note that this cofiber is itself described as a complex of cochains on a manifold (of dimension $n-1$ rather than n), and so determines a Poincare object of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma Q)$. We will now show that this is a general phenomenon.

So far, we have not used the full strength of our assumption that Q is a *quadratic* functor. The next result remedies this:

Proposition 5. *Suppose we are given a fiber sequence*

$$X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X''$$

in \mathcal{C} . Then $Q(X'')$ is the total homotopy fiber of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q(X) & \longrightarrow & Q(X') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(X', X) & \longrightarrow & B(X', X') \end{array}$$

In other words, $Q(X'')$ is equivalent to the fiber of the map

$$Q(X') \times_{B(X', X')} B(X', X).$$

Remark 6. Let us state this result more informally. Think of $Q(X)$ as a spectrum parametrizing “quadratic forms” on X . The Proposition addresses the following question: given a quadratic form on X , when does it descend to $X'' = X/X'$? An obvious necessary condition is that it should vanish on X' . This implies that the associated bilinear form vanishes on X' . But we need a bit more: namely, to know that X' lies in the kernel of the associated bilinear form.

Proof. We wish to show that for every quadratic functor Q on \mathcal{C} , the triangle

$$Q(X'') \rightarrow Q(X) \rightarrow Q(X') \times_{B(X', X')} B(X, X')$$

is a fiber sequence. We have a fiber sequence of functors

$$Q_0(Z) \rightarrow Q(Z) \rightarrow B(Z, Z)^{h\Sigma_2}.$$

It therefore suffices to prove the result after replacing Q by Q_0 or $B(Z, Z)^{h\Sigma_2}$. In the first case, the polarization of Q_0 vanishes and the desired result follows from the fact that Q_0 is exact. Let us therefore assume that $Q(Z) = B(Z, Z)^{h\Sigma_2}$. Since B is exact in each variable, we can identify $B(X'', X'')$ with the total homotopy fiber of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(X, X) & \longrightarrow & B(X, X') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B(X', X) & \longrightarrow & B(X', X'). \end{array}$$

In other words, $B(X'', X'')$ is the fiber of the map

$$B(X, X) \rightarrow B(X, X') \times_{B(X', X')} B(X', X) \simeq (B(X, X') \times B(X', X)) \times_{B(X', X') \times B(X', X')} B(X', X').$$

Taking homotopy fixed points with respect to Σ_2 , we get a fiber sequence

$$Q(X'') \rightarrow Q(X) \rightarrow B(X, X') \times_{B(X', X')} Q(X').$$

□

Let us rewrite the fiber sequence of Proposition 5 as

$$Q(X') \times_{B(X', X')} B(X', X) \rightarrow \Sigma Q(X'') \rightarrow \Sigma Q(X).$$

Suppose that (Y, q) is a quadratic object of (\mathcal{C}, Q) . There is a canonical point $\eta \in \Omega^\infty B(Y, \mathbb{D}Y)$ (corresponding to the identity map from Y to itself). Then q determines a point of $\Omega^\infty B(Y, Y)$, which is the image of η under the map $B(Y, \mathbb{D}Y) \rightarrow B(Y, Y)$ for some essentially unique map $u : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{D}Y$. Form a fiber sequence $Y \xrightarrow{u} \mathbb{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(Y)/Y$. Then the pair (q, η) determines a point of $\Omega^\infty(Q(Y) \times_{B(Y, Y)} B(Y, \mathbb{D}Y))$. According to the above analysis, this is the 0th space of the fiber of the map of spectra

$$\Sigma Q(\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y) \rightarrow \Sigma Q(\mathbb{D}Y).$$

In particular, q determines a quadratic object of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma Q)$, which we will denote by $(\mathbb{D}Y/Y, \bar{q})$.

The point \bar{q} determines a map

$$v : \mathbb{D}Y/Y \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}Y/Y) \simeq \Sigma \text{fib}(\mathbb{D}^2(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}Y) \simeq \Sigma \text{fib}(u) \simeq \text{cofib}(u) = \mathbb{D}Y/Y.$$

Unwinding the definitions, one sees that this is the identity map (up to a sign). Consequently, $(\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y, \bar{q})$ is a Poincare object of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma Q)$. We have a canonical map $w : \mathbb{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(Y)/Y$, and a canonical nullhomotopy of the image of \bar{q} in $Q(\mathbb{D}(Y))$. This data determines a map

$$\mathbb{D}(Y) \rightarrow (\Sigma \mathbb{D})(\text{cofib}(W)) \simeq \Sigma \mathbb{D} \Sigma Y \simeq \Sigma \Omega \mathbb{D}(Y) \simeq \mathbb{D}(Y),$$

which is also the identity map (up to sign). Consequently, we can regard $\mathbb{D}(Y)$ as a Lagrangian in $(\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y, \bar{q})$.

We can summarize our discussion as follows:

- (*) Given a quadratic object (Y, q) of (\mathcal{C}, Q) , we can construct a Poincare object $(\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y, \bar{q})$ of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma Q)$ and a Lagrangian $\mathbb{D}(Y)$ in $(\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y, \bar{q})$.

Remark 7. The converse to (*) is true as well: given a Poincare object (Z, \bar{q}) in (\mathcal{C}, Q) and a Lagrangian $f : L \rightarrow Z$, we can equip the fiber $\text{fib}(f)$ with the structure of a quadratic object of (\mathcal{C}, Q) .

Let us now suppose that $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a stable subcategory which is closed under duality. Suppose we are given a quadratic object (Y, q) of (\mathcal{C}, Q) whose image in $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}_0$ is a Poincare object. Then the canonical map $u : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(Y)$ becomes invertible in $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}_0$, so the cofiber $\text{cofib}(u) = \mathbb{D}(Y)/Y$ belongs to \mathcal{C}_0 . Consequently, the above construction produces a Poincare object $(\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y, \bar{q})$ of $(\mathcal{C}_0, \Sigma Q')$ (where $Q' = Q|_{\mathcal{C}_0}$). Suppose that this Poincare object is nullcobordant: that is, we can choose a Lagrangian $L \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(Y)/Y$ in $(\mathcal{C}_0, \Sigma Q')$. Then $(\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y, \bar{q})$ has *two* Lagrangians in the ∞ -category \mathcal{C} : L and $\mathbb{D}(Y)$. Each of these provides a cobordism of $(\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y, \bar{q})$ with the zero object. We have seen that cobordisms can be composed: if we compose these cobordisms, we obtain a cobordism $L \times_{\mathbb{D}(Y)/Y} \mathbb{D}(Y)$ from the zero Poincare object of $(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma Q)$ to itself. Such a cobordism can be regarded as a Poincare object (Y', q') of $(\mathcal{C}, \Omega \Sigma Q) = (\mathcal{C}, Q)$. Moreover, since L vanishes in $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}_0$, we note that (Y', q') and (Y, q) determine the same quadratic object of $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}_0$.

Remark 8. Let (Y_0, q_0) be any Poincare object of $\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}_0$. By construction, we can always lift (Y_0, q_0) to a quadratic object (Y, q) of \mathcal{C} . The above discussion shows that we can adjust (Y, q) to be a Poincare object of \mathcal{C} if and only if a certain obstruction in $L_0(\mathcal{C}_0, \Sigma Q')$ vanishes. Once we have proven the theorem, we can identify this obstruction with the image of (Y_0, q_0) under the boundary map

$$\pi_1 L(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}_0, \Sigma Q'') \rightarrow \pi_0 L(\mathcal{C}_0, \Sigma Q')$$

determined by the fiber sequence of spaces

$$L(\mathcal{C}_0, \Sigma Q') \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C}, \Sigma Q) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}_0, \Sigma Q'').$$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. We must show that every point η of

$$\mathrm{Poinc}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0, Q'')_{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \times_{\mathrm{Poinc}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0, Q'')_{\bullet}(\Lambda_i^n)} \mathrm{Poinc}(\mathcal{C}, Q)_{\bullet}(\Lambda_i^n)$$

can be lifted (up to homotopy) to a point of $\mathrm{Poinc}(\mathcal{C}, Q)_{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$. The point η determines a Poincare object (X_0, q_0) of $(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0)_{[n]}$. Here we think of X_0 as a contravariant functor from the collection of nonempty subsets of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ into $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0$. Let $\sigma = [n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$ and let $\tau = [n] - \{i\} \subseteq \sigma$. Then η determines objects $X(S) \in \mathcal{C}$ lifting $X_0(S)$ for $S \notin \{\sigma, \tau\}$, together with a point of

$$q_1 \in \Omega^\infty \varprojlim_{S \notin \{\sigma, \tau\}} Q(X(S))$$

which is nondegenerate and compatible with q_0 . Using the construction of $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0$ and Q'' , we can extend X to a functor defined on all nonempty subsets of $[n]$ and q_1 to a point $q \in \Omega^\infty Q_{[n]}(X)$ (compatible with q_0). What is not clear is that (X, q) is a Poincare object of $(\mathcal{C}_{[n]}, Q_{[n]})$. The point q determines a map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{[n]}(X)$, which may fail to be invertible when evaluated at σ and τ .

Let \mathcal{D} be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{[n]}$ spanned by those functors Z such that $Z(S) \simeq 0$ for $S \notin \{\sigma, \tau\}$, and $Z(\sigma), Z(\tau) \in \mathcal{C}_0$. We can identify objects of \mathcal{D} with morphisms $Z(\sigma) \rightarrow Z(\tau)$ in \mathcal{C}_0 , and so have an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\mathcal{D} \simeq \mathrm{Lagr}(\mathcal{C}_0, Q')$. When $n = 1$, the quadratic functor $Q_{[n]}|_{\mathcal{D}^{op}}$ is precisely the quadratic functor Q_{Lagr} appearing in Lemma 3. In the general case, a simple calculation gives $Q_{[n]}|_{\mathcal{D}^{op}} \simeq \Omega^{n-1} Q_{\mathrm{Lagr}}$.

Let Y denote the cofiber of the map $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{[n]}(X)$, so that Y has the structure of a Poincare object of $(\mathcal{D}, (\Sigma Q_{[n]}|_{\mathcal{D}^{op}}) = (\mathrm{Lagr}(\mathcal{C}, Q), \Omega^{n-2} Q_{\mathrm{Lagr}})$. Invoking Lemma 3, we deduce that every Poincare object of $(\mathcal{D}, (\Sigma Q_{[n]}|_{\mathcal{D}}))$ is nullcobordant. In particular, Y is nullcobordant. Choosing a Lagrangian in Y , we obtain a procedure for modifying (X, q) to obtain a Poincare object of $(\mathcal{C}_{[n]}, Q_{[n]})$, which gives the desired lift of η .