## Surgery (Lecture 32)

April 14, 2011

Our goal today is to begin the proof of the following:
Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a Poincare pair of dimension $n \geq 5$, $\zeta$ a stable $P L$ bundle on $X$, and $f: M \rightarrow X$ a degree one normal map, where $M$ is a PL manifold. Let $\sigma_{f}^{v q} \in \Omega^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{v q}\left(X, \zeta_{X}\right)$ be the relative signature of $f$, and suppose we are given a path $p$ from $\sigma_{f}^{v q}$ to the base point of $\Omega^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{v q}\left(X, \zeta_{X}\right)$. (We can identify such a path with a Lagrangian in the Poincare object representing $\sigma_{f}^{v q}$, which is well-defined up to bordism). Then there exists a $\Delta^{1}$-family of degree one normal maps $F: B \rightarrow X \times \Delta^{1}$, where $B$ is a bordism from $M=F^{-1}(X \times\{0\})$ to a $P L$ manifold $N=F^{-1}(X \times\{1\})$ such that $F$ induces a homotopy equivalence $f^{\prime}: N \rightarrow X$. Moreover, we can arrange that $F$ determines a path from $\sigma_{f}^{v q}$ to $\sigma_{f^{\prime}}^{v q}=0$ which is homotopic to $p$.
Remark 2. In the last lecture, we sketched the formulation of a more general version of Theorem 1, where we replace $X$ by a Poincare pair $(X, \partial X)$ where $\partial X$ is already a PL manifold. To simplify the discussion, we will restrict our attention to the case where $\partial X=\emptyset$, but the ideas introduced in this lecture generalize to the relative case.

To prove Theorem 1, we need a method for producing bordisms between PL manifolds. For this, we will use the method of surgery. Fix a PL manifold $M$ of dimension $n$. Write $n=p+q+1$. Let $D^{p+1}$ and $D^{q+1}$ denote PL disks of dimension $p+1$ and $q+1$, respectively. Let $S^{p}$ and $S^{q}$ denote their boundaries (spheres of dimension $p$ and $q$, respectively.
Definition 3. A p-surgery datum on $M$ is a PL embedding $\alpha: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$.
To a first approximation, a $p$-surgery datum $\alpha$ on $M$ is given by an embedding of $P L$ manifolds $\alpha_{0}$ : $S^{p} \hookrightarrow M$ (given by restricting $\alpha$ to the product of $S^{p}$ with the center of $D^{q+1}$ ). To obtain a surgery datum from $\alpha_{0}$, we must additionally specify that $\alpha_{0}$ extends to a PL homeomorphism between $S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$ and a neighborhood of the image of $\alpha_{0}$. Such a homeomorphism determines a smooth structure on $M$ along the image of $\alpha_{0}$, with respect to which $\alpha_{0}$ is a smooth embedding with trivialized normal bundle. Conversely, suppose we are given an embedding $\alpha_{0}: S^{p} \rightarrow M$ and a smoothing of $M$ along the image of $\alpha_{0}$, such that $\alpha_{0}$ is a smooth map. Then $\alpha_{0}$ has a normal bundle $N_{\alpha_{0}}$, and there is a neighborhood of $\alpha_{0}\left(S^{p}\right)$ in $M$ which is diffeomorphic to the unit sphere bundle of $N_{\alpha_{0}}$. In particular, if $N_{\alpha_{0}}$ is trivial, we obtain a diffeomorphism (and therefore a PL homeomorphism) of a neighborhood of $\alpha_{0}\left(S^{p}\right)$ with $S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$. This argument shows that we can identify a $p$-surgery datum on $M$ with three pieces of data:
(i) A PL embedding $\alpha_{0}: S^{p} \rightarrow M$.
(ii) A smoothing of $M$ along the image of $\alpha_{0}$ (with respect to which $\alpha_{0}$ is a smooth map).
(iii) A trivialization of the normal bundle to $\alpha_{0}$ (as a vector bundle).

Construction 4. Let $M$ be a PL manifold of dimension $n=p+q+1$ and let $\alpha: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow M$ be a $p$-surgery datum. We let $B(\alpha)$ denote the polyhedron given by

$$
(M \times[0,1]) \coprod_{\{1\} \times S^{p} \times D^{q+1}}\left(D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1}\right)
$$

Then $B(\alpha)$ is a PL manifold with boundary, given by the disjoint union of $M \times\{0\}$ and

$$
N=M-\left(S^{p} \times\left(D^{q+1}\right)^{o}\right) \coprod_{S^{p} \times S^{q}}\left(D^{p+1} \times S^{q}\right) .
$$

We refer to $N$ as the PL manifold obtained from $M$ via surgery along $\alpha$, and to $B(\alpha)$ as the trace of the surgery.

More informally: $N$ is the manifold obtained from $M$ by removing the interior of $S^{p} \times D^{q+1}$ (thereby creating a manifold with boundary $S^{p} \times S^{q}$ ) and gluing on a copy of $D^{p+1} \times S^{q}$.
Remark 5. Let $N$ be a PL manifold obtained from surgery on a PL manifold $M$ along a map $\alpha: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \hookrightarrow$ $M$. Then there is an evident embedding $\beta: D^{p+1} \times S^{q} \rightarrow N$, which is a $q$-surgery datum in $N$. Performing surgery on $N$ along $\beta$ recovers the manifold $M$.

We will be interested in using surgery to construct normal bordisms between normal maps to a Poincare complex. For this, we need a slight variation on Definition 3. Let $M$ be a PL manifold, so that the stable normal bundle of $M$ is classifies by a map $\chi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times$ BPL. If we are given a $p$-surgery datum $\alpha: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$, then $\chi \circ \alpha$ extends canonically to a map $\gamma: D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times$ BPL.

Suppose now that $X$ is a space equipped with a stable PL bundle $\zeta$, and that we are given a normal map $f: M \rightarrow X$. Then $\zeta$ is classified by a map $\chi_{X}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times$ BPL, and the normal structure on $f$ gives a homotopy $h_{0}: \chi \simeq \chi x \circ f$.
Definition 6. In the situation above, a normal p-surgery datum on $M$ consists of the following data:
(i) A p-surgery datum $\alpha: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$.
(ii) A map $\beta: D^{p+1} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow X$ extending $f \circ \alpha$.
(iii) A homotopy $h$ from $\chi_{X} \circ \beta$ to $\gamma$, extending the homotopy determined by $h$.

Given a normal $p$-surgery datum, we can use $\alpha$ to construct a bordism $B(\alpha)$ from $M$ to a PL manifold $N, \beta$ to construct a map $F: B(\alpha) \rightarrow X$ extending $f: M \rightarrow X$, and $h$ to endow $F$ with the structure of a $\Delta^{1}$-family of normal maps.
Remark 7. Let us think of a $p$-surgery datum on a PL manifold $M$ as an embedding $\alpha_{0}: S^{p} \rightarrow M$, together with a choice of trivial normal bundle to $\alpha_{0}$. If $f: M \rightarrow X$ is a degree one normal map, then to obtain a normal $p$-surgery datum we need to choose a nullhomotopy of the composite map $\left(f \circ \alpha_{0}\right): S^{p} \rightarrow X$, which is compatible with the nullhomotopy of the map

$$
S^{p} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\rho}} M \xrightarrow{f} X \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{BPL}
$$

determined by the choice of trivial normal bundle.
Let us now see what surgery can do for us in low degrees. Assume that $X$ is a Poincare space of dimension $n \geq 5, \zeta$ a stable PL bundle on $X$, and $f: M \rightarrow X$ is a degree one normal map.

Let us begin by doing surgery in the case $p=-1$. In this case, $S^{p}$ is empty and therefore a surgery datum $\alpha: S^{p} \times D^{q+1} \rightarrow M$ is unique. To promote $\alpha$ to a normal surgery datum, we need to choose a map $\beta: D^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ (up to homotopy, this a point $x \in X$ ), together with a trivialization of $\beta^{*} \zeta$. Unwinding the definitions, we see that $B(\alpha)$ is the disjoint union $(M \times[0,1]) \amalg D^{n+1}$, regarded as a bordism from $M$ to $M \amalg S^{n}$. If we have chosen $\beta$ and the trivialization of $\beta^{*} \zeta$, then we can regard this as a normal bordism from $f$ to a map $M \amalg S^{n} \rightarrow X$, whose restriction to $S^{n}$ is determined by $\beta$. By performing surgeries of this type, we can always arrange that the map $M \rightarrow X$ is surjective on connected components.

Now suppose that $f: M \rightarrow X$ fails to be injective on connected components. Then we can choose two points $x, y \in M$ belonging to different components of $M$ and a path joining $f(x)$ to $f(y)$. Choosing small
disks around the points $x$ and $y$, we obtain a 0 -surgery datum $\alpha: S^{0} \times D^{n} \hookrightarrow M$. A choice of path $p$ from $f(x)$ to $f(y)$ determines the datum (ii) required by Definition 6. We cannot always extend $\alpha$ to a normal surgery datum: our choice of disks determines trivializations of the fibers $\zeta_{f(x)}$ and $\zeta_{f(y)}$, which may or may not extend to a trivalization of $\zeta$ over the path $p$. However, the obstruction is slight by virtue of the following (non-obvious!) fact:

Claim 8. The fundamental group $\pi_{1}(\mathbf{Z} \times$ BPL $)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$. In other words, every orientationpreserving PL automorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is isotopic to the identity, for $n \gg 0$.

In fact, more is true: the map $\pi_{i}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{BO}) \rightarrow \pi_{i}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{BPL})$ induces an isomorphism for $i \leq 6$ and a surjection when $i=7$ (using smoothing theory, this is equivalent to the assertion that there are no exotic smooth structures on piecewise linear spheres of dimensions $\leq 6$ ). In this lecture, we will need something much weaker: namely, that the above map is bijective for $i \leq 1$ and surjective for $i \leq 2$. Using smoothing theory, this is equivalent to the (reasonably obvious) claim that there are no exotic smooth structures on spheres of dimension $\leq 1$.

In our situation, we cannot necessarily extend an arbitrary $\alpha: S^{0} \times D^{n} \hookrightarrow M$ to a normal surgery datum. However, we always do so after modifying $\alpha$ by applying an orientation-reversing automorphism to one of the disks $D^{n}$. After making this modification, we obtain a normal bordism from $M$ to a PL manifold with fewer connected components. Applying this procedure finitely many tiimes, we may replace $f: M \rightarrow X$ by a degree one normal map which induces an isomorphism $\pi_{0} M \rightarrow \pi_{0} X$.

Let us now assume that $X$ and $M$ are connected, and choose a base point $x \in M$. Suppose that the map $\pi_{1} M \rightarrow \pi_{1} X$ is not surjective. Choose another point $y$ in $M$ and a path $q$ from $y$ to $x$. Choose any class $\gamma$ in $\pi_{1} X$, and a path $p$ from $f(x)$ to $f(y)$ such that the loop composing $p$ with $f(q)$ represents $\gamma$. Choosing small disks around $x$ and $y$, we obtain a surgery datum $\alpha: S^{0} \times D^{n} \hookrightarrow M$ as before. The path $p$ supplies the datum (ii) required by Definition 6, and we can argue as before (modifying $\alpha$ if necessary) to obtain the datum (iii). Let $N$ be obtained from $M$ by normal surgery along $\alpha$. Since $n \geq 3$, deleting small disks around $x$ and $y$ does not change the fundamental group of $M$. Using van Kampen's theorem, we compute that $\pi_{1} N$ is obtained from $\pi_{1} M$ by freely adjoining an additional generator, and the map $\pi_{1} N \rightarrow \pi_{1} X$ carries this generator to $\gamma$ (here we are being sloppy about base points here). Since $X$ is a finite complex, its fundamental group is finitely generated. We may therefore perform this procedure finitely many times to reduce to the situation where the degree one normal map $f: M \rightarrow X$ induces a surjection $\pi_{1} M \rightarrow \pi_{1} X$.

Now suppose that $\pi_{1} M \rightarrow \pi_{1} X$ fails to be injective. Choose an element of $\pi_{1} M$ whose image in $\pi_{1} X$ is trivial. We can represent this element by a map $\alpha_{0}: S^{1} \rightarrow M$. Since the dimension of $M$ is $\geq 3$, a general position argument allows us to assume that $\alpha_{0}$ is an embedding. The composite map $S^{1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow X$ is nullhomotopic, so that the stable normal bundle of $M$ is trivial in a neighborhood of $\alpha_{0}$ and we may therefore assume that $M$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $\alpha_{0}$. The normal bundle to $\alpha_{0}$ is stable trivial, hence orientable and therefore trivial. We may therefore extend $\alpha_{0}$ to an embedding $\alpha: S^{1} \times D^{n-1} \hookrightarrow M$. Choose a nullhomotopy of $f \circ \alpha$. As before, it is not clear that we can choose datum (iii) required by Definition 6: we encounter an obstruction in $\pi_{2}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{BPL})$. However, since the map $\pi_{2}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{BO}) \rightarrow \pi_{2}(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{BPL})$ is surjective, we can adjust our original embedding $\alpha$ (choosing a different trivialization of the normal bundle to $\alpha_{0}$ ) to make this obstruction vanish. This allows us to perform a normal surgery on the manifold $M$, thereby obtaining a cobordant degree one normal map $f^{\prime}: N \rightarrow X$. Since the dimension of $M$ is $\geq 4$, removing a neighborhood of $\alpha_{0}\left(S^{1}\right)$ does not change the fundamental group of $M$. Consequently, we can use van Kampen's theorem to compute the fundamental group of $N$ : it is obtained from the fundamental group of $M$ by killing the normal subgroup generated by $\gamma$.

Since $X$ is a finite complex, the fundamental group $\pi_{1} X$ is finitely presented. Since $\pi_{1} M$ is finitely generated, the surjective map $\pi_{1} M \rightarrow \pi_{1} X$ exhibits $\pi_{1} X$ as the quotient of $\pi_{1} M$ by the normal subgroup generated by finitely many elements of $\pi_{1} M$. It follows that, after a finite number of applications of the above procedure, we may replace $f: M \rightarrow X$ by a degree one normal map which induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups.

