

# Orientations of L-Theory (Lecture 23)

March 24, 2011

In the last lecture, we introduced the  $L$ -theory spectra  $\mathbb{L}^q(X, \zeta, R)$  and  $\mathbb{L}^s(X, \zeta, R)$ , where  $R$  is an  $A_\infty$ -ring with involution,  $X$  is a finite polyhedron, and  $\zeta$  is a spherical fibration on  $X$ . When  $\zeta$  is trivial, these spectra are given simply by  $X \wedge \mathbb{L}^q(R)$  and  $X \wedge \mathbb{L}^s(R)$ , respectively. In general, they depend on the spherical fibration  $\zeta$ . However, our excision argument generalizes to show that  $\mathbb{L}^q(X, \zeta, R)$  is given by the homotopy colimit

$$\varinjlim_{\tau \in T} \mathbb{L}(\mathrm{LMod}_R^{\mathrm{fp}}, \zeta(\tau) \wedge Q^q)$$

where  $T$  denotes any triangulation of  $X$ . In other words, the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{L}^q(X, \zeta, R)$  are given by the homology of  $X$  with coefficients in a local system of spectra, given by  $(x \in X) \mapsto \mathbb{L}(\mathrm{LMod}_R^{\mathrm{fp}}, \zeta(x) \wedge Q^q)$ . This raises the following general question:

**Question 1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a stable  $\infty$ -category equipped with a nondegenerate functor  $Q$ , and let  $E$  be an invertible spectrum. What is the relationship between the  $L$ -theory spectra  $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q)$  and  $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, E \wedge Q)$ ?

In the situation of Question 1, we can write  $E \simeq S^{-n}$  for some integer  $n$ . We have seen that there is a canonical isomorphism  $L_k(\mathcal{C}, \Omega^n Q) = L_{k+n}(\mathcal{C}, Q)$ , suggesting that we should have an equivalence of  $L$ -theory spectra  $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, \Omega^n Q) \simeq \Omega^n \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q)$ . In other words, we have a homotopy equivalence

$$\theta_E : \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, E \wedge Q) \simeq E \wedge \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q).$$

For our purposes, we need to know this not just for an individual invertible spectrum  $E$ , but in the case where  $E$  ranges over the fibers of some spherical fibration. It is therefore important that our analysis be functorial with respect to automorphisms of  $E$ . In fact, it is not possible to choose  $\theta_E$  to be functorial with respect to all automorphisms of  $E$ . However, we will show that it can be chosen to depend naturally on automorphisms which are of geometric origin.

**Definition 2.** Let  $M$  be PL manifold, and let  $\underline{S}$  denote the local system of spectra on  $M$  taking the constant value  $S$  (where  $S$  is the sphere spectrum). The Verdier dual  $\mathbb{D}(\underline{S})$  is a spherical fibration over  $M$ . We will denote the *inverse* of this spherical fibration by  $\zeta_M$ . We refer to  $\zeta_M$  as the *normal spherical fibration of  $M$* . Unwinding the definitions, it can be described by the formula

$$\zeta_M(x) = (\Sigma^\infty(M/M - \{x\}))^{-1}.$$

There is a canonical map of spectra  $S \rightarrow \Gamma(M; \underline{S})$ . If  $M$  is compact, this dualizes to give a map

$$\Gamma(M; \mathbb{D}\underline{S}) \simeq \mathbb{D}\Gamma(M; \underline{S}) \rightarrow S.$$

This map gives a point in the zeroth space of the spectrum

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\varinjlim_{\tau \in T} (\mathbb{D}\underline{S})(\tau), S) \simeq \varinjlim_{\tau \in T} \zeta_M(\tau)$$

where  $T$  denotes some triangulation of  $M$ . We will denote this point by  $[M]$  and refer to it as the *fundamental class* of  $M$ .

More generally, if  $M$  is a PL manifold with boundary, we let  $\zeta_M$  denote the local system of spectra on  $M$  obtained by extending the normal spherical fibration from the interior of  $M$  (note that the interior of  $M$  is homotopy equivalent to  $M$ , so there exists an essentially unique extension). In this case, we have a fundamental class

$$[M] \in \Omega^\infty \left( \varinjlim_{\tau \in T} \begin{cases} \zeta_M(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \not\subseteq \partial M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \right)$$

Let us now fix a PL manifold with boundary  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a stable  $\infty$ -category equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic functor  $Q$ . For each triangulation  $T$  of  $M$ , let

$$Q_{\zeta_M, T} : \mathrm{Shv}_T(M, \partial M; \mathcal{C})^{op} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$$

be given by the formula

$$\varinjlim_{\tau} \begin{cases} Q_{\zeta_M, T}(\mathcal{F}(\tau)) \wedge \zeta_M(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \not\subseteq \partial M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  be an object, and let  $\underline{C}$  denote the constant sheaf on  $M$  with taking the value  $C$  (which we will identify with its image in  $\mathrm{Shv}_T(M, \partial M; \mathcal{C})$ ). We then obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$Q_{\zeta_M, T}(\underline{C}) \simeq Q(C) \wedge \varinjlim_{\tau \in T} \begin{cases} \zeta_M(\tau) & \text{if } \tau \not\subseteq \partial M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, the fundamental class  $[M]$  determines a map

$$Q(C) \rightarrow Q_{\zeta_M, T}(\underline{C}),$$

which we will denote by  $q \mapsto q_{[M]}$ . This construction carries Poincare objects to Poincare objects, and induces a map of  $L$ -theory spectra

$$\Phi : \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{const}}(M, \partial M; \mathcal{C}); Q_{\zeta_M})$$

(where  $Q_{\zeta_M}$  denotes the amalgamation of the quadratic functors  $Q_{\zeta_M, T}$  where  $T$  ranges over all triangulations of  $M$ ).

**Example 3.** Let  $M$  be a piecewise linear disk. For every point  $x$  in the interior of  $M$ , we have a canonical homotopy equivalence of pairs  $(M, \partial M) \rightarrow (M, M - \{x\})$ . Consequently,  $\zeta_M$  is canonically equivalent to the constant sheaf taking the value  $E$ , where  $E^{-1} = \Sigma^\infty(M/\partial M)$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{L}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{const}}(M, \partial M; \mathcal{C}), Q_{\zeta_M})$  is given by  $(M, \partial M) \wedge \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, E \wedge Q) \simeq E^{-1} \wedge \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, E \wedge Q)$ . We may therefore identify  $\Phi$  with a map of spectra  $E \wedge \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, E \wedge Q)$ .

Suppose  $M \simeq \Delta^n$ . Then  $\Phi$  determines maps  $L_{k+n}(\mathcal{C}, Q) \rightarrow L_k(\mathcal{C}, \Omega^n Q)$ , which can be identified with the shift isomorphisms defined earlier. It follows that  $\Phi$  is a homotopy equivalence whenever  $M$  is a piecewise linear disk.

The construction of  $\Phi$  is functorial with respect to piecewise linear homeomorphisms of the PL manifold  $M$ .

Let us now introduce some terminology to describe the situation more systematically.

Let  $X$  be a polyhedron. A *closed  $n$ -disk bundle* over  $X$  is a map of polyhedra  $q : D \rightarrow X$  such that every point  $x \in X$  has an open neighborhood  $U$  for which there is a PL homeomorphism  $q^{-1}U \simeq U \times \Delta^n$  (which commutes with the projection to  $U$ ).

There is a canonical bijection between isomorphism classes of closed  $n$ -disk bundles over  $X$  and homotopy classes of maps  $X \rightarrow B \mathrm{Disk}(n)$ , where  $B \mathrm{Disk}(n)$  denotes the classifying space of the (simplicial) group  $\mathrm{Disk}(n)$  of PL homeomorphisms of  $\Delta^n$ .

The disjoint union  $\coprod_n B \mathrm{Disk}(n)$  is equipped with a multiplication which is associative up to coherent homotopy, classifying the formation of products of closed  $n$ -disk bundles. We can describe the group completion of  $\coprod_n B \mathrm{Disk}(n)$  as a product  $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathrm{BPL}$ , where  $\mathrm{BPL}$  is the direct limit  $\varinjlim_n B \mathrm{Disk}(n)$ .

Let  $\text{Pic}(S)$  denote the classifying space for invertible spectra (so that homotopy classes of maps  $X \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$  correspond to equivalence classes of spherical fibrations over  $X$ ). Every closed disk bundle  $q : D \rightarrow X$  has an associated spherical fibration, given by  $x \mapsto \Sigma^\infty(D_x/\partial D_x)$ . This construction determines a map  $\coprod_n B\text{Disk}(n) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$ , which is multiplicative up to coherent homotopy and therefore extends to a map  $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BPL} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$ .

Fix  $(\mathcal{C}, Q)$  as above. Over the space  $\text{Pic}(S)$ , we have two local systems of spectra: one given by the formula  $E \mapsto \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, E \wedge Q)$  and one given by the formula  $E \mapsto E \wedge \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q)$ . The above analysis implies that these two local systems are canonically equivalent when restricted to  $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BPL}$ . This proves the following:

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $X$  be a finite polyhedron with triangulation  $T$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  a stable  $\infty$ -category equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic functor  $Q$ , and  $\zeta$  a spherical fibration on  $X$ , classified by a map  $X \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$ . Suppose that this classifying map factors through  $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BPL}$  (that is, that the spherical fibration  $\zeta$  arises from a closed disk bundle, at least stably). Then there is a homotopy equivalence (depending canonically on the factorization)*

$$\mathbb{L}(\text{Shv}_{\text{const}}(X; \mathcal{C}), Q_\zeta) \simeq \varinjlim_{\tau \in T} \zeta(\tau) \wedge \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q).$$

We can also make the analysis of the preceding discussion read in a different way. Let us suppose that  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^{\text{fp}}(\mathbf{Z})$  is the  $\infty$ -category of perfect complexes of  $\mathbf{Z}$ -modules, and let  $Q$  be either  $Q^q$  or  $Q^s$ . Then  $Q$  is a spectrum valued functor which factors through the  $\infty$ -category of  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module spectra. It follows that for every spectrum  $E$ , we can write  $E \wedge Q \simeq (E \wedge \mathbf{Z}) \wedge_{\mathbf{Z}} Q$ , so that  $E \wedge Q$  depends only on the generalized Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum  $E \wedge \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\zeta$  be a spherical fibration on a polyhedron  $X$ , and suppose that  $\zeta$  assigns to each point  $x \in X$  a spectrum  $\zeta(x)$  which is homotopy equivalent to  $\Sigma^n S$ . Suppose further that  $\zeta$  is orientable. A choice of orientation determines a canonical homotopy equivalence of each  $\zeta(x) \wedge \mathbf{Z}$  with  $\Sigma^n \mathbf{Z}$ , and therefore a natural isomorphism  $Q_\zeta \simeq \Sigma^n Q$ . It follows that we obtain a canonical homotopy equivalence

$$\varinjlim_{\tau \in T} \zeta(\tau) \wedge \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q) \simeq \mathbb{L}(\text{Shv}_{\text{const}}(X; \mathcal{C}), Q_\zeta) \simeq \mathbb{L}(\text{Shv}_{\text{const}}(X; \mathcal{C}), \Sigma^n Q) \simeq \Sigma^n \mathbb{L}(\text{Shv}_{\text{const}}(X; \mathcal{C}), Q) \simeq \Sigma^n (X \wedge \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{C}, Q)).$$

This proves:

**Proposition 5.** *If  $\zeta$  is an oriented spherical fibration (of dimension  $n$ ) on  $X$  classified by a map  $X \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$  which factors through  $\mathbf{Z} \times \text{BPL}$ , then we have homotopy equivalences (depending canonically on the choice of factorization)*

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_{\tau \in T} \zeta(\tau) \wedge \mathbb{L}^q(\mathbf{Z}) &\simeq \Sigma^n (X \wedge \mathbb{L}^q(\mathbf{Z})) \\ \varinjlim_{\tau \in T} \zeta(\tau) \wedge \mathbb{L}^s(\mathbf{Z}) &\simeq \Sigma^n (X \wedge \mathbb{L}^s(\mathbf{Z})) \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 6.** Proposition 5 can be interpreted as saying that every orientable PL bundle is *oriented* with respect to the ring spectrum  $\mathbb{L}^s$ . We will return to this point in the next lecture.