

The Setup (Lecture 35)

February 6, 2015

Let us begin by recalling some of our main characters.

Notation 1. We let \mathcal{M} denote the “classifying space” for simple homotopy types: that is, the Kan complex whose k -simplices are finite polyhedra $E \subseteq \Delta^k \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ for which the projection map $E \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is a fibration.

For each integer $d \geq 0$, we let Man^d denote the Kan complex whose k -simplices are pairs (E, ρ) , where $E \subseteq \Delta^k \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ is a finite polyhedron, the projection $E \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is a PL fiber bundle whose fibers are PL manifolds of dimension d (possibly with boundary), and ρ is a trivialization of the (relative) tangent microbundle T_{E/Δ^k} . Note that the construction $(E, \rho) \mapsto E$ determines a map of simplicial sets $\theta_d : \text{Man}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

Forming the product with $[0, 1]$ (and composing with an embedding $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$) we obtain stabilization maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \dots \\ \text{Man}^0 &\rightarrow \text{Man}^1 \rightarrow \text{Man}^2 \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

which are compatible with the forgetful maps θ_d . We therefore obtain a map of simplicial sets

$$\theta_\infty : \text{Man}^\infty = \varinjlim_d \text{Man}^d \rightarrow \varinjlim_d \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}.$$

Variation 2. For each integer $d \geq 0$, we define simplicial sets A^d , B^d , C^d , and D^d as follows:

- (a) A k -simplex of A^d is a k -simplex (E, ρ) of Man^d having the property that each component of each fiber of E has nonempty boundary.
- (b) A k -simplex of A^d is a pair (E, ρ) where $E \subseteq \Delta^k \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ is a finite polyhedron, the projection $E \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is a fiber bundle whose fibers are PL manifolds of dimension d , each component of which has nonempty boundary, and ρ is a PL immersion from E to $\Delta^k \times \mathbb{R}^d$ which commutes with the projection to Δ^k .
- (c) A k -simplex of C^d is a k -simplex (E, ρ) of B^d where the map ρ is an embedding.
- (d) A k -simplex of D^d is a finite polyhedron $E \subseteq \Delta^k \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for which the projection $E \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is a fiber bundle whose fibers are PL manifolds of dimension d .

Note that every immersion of a PL d -manifold into \mathbb{R}^d determines a trivialization of its tangent microbundle. We therefore have canonical maps of simplicial sets

$$D^d \leftarrow C^d \subseteq B^d \rightarrow A^d \subseteq \text{Man}^d.$$

Moreover, there are evident stabilization maps for each of these simplicial sets (which increase d by 1), given by forming the product with $[0, 1]$. We make the following observations:

- The map $\varinjlim A^d \rightarrow \varinjlim \text{Man}^d$ is an isomorphism of simplicial sets. This follows from the observation that each of the stabilization maps $\text{Man}^d \rightarrow \text{Man}^{d+1}$ factors through $A^{d+1} \subseteq \text{Man}^{d+1}$ (since a product $M \times [0, 1]$ always has nonempty boundary).

- Each of the maps $B^d \rightarrow A^d$ is a homotopy equivalence of Kan complexes. This follows from the work of Haefliger-Poenaru on piecewise linear immersion theory ([1]).
- Each of the maps $C^d \rightarrow D^d$ is a trivial Kan fibration (this is trivial: it essentially amounts to the observation that the space of embeddings from a PL manifold M into \mathbb{R}^∞ is contractible).
- The inclusion $\varinjlim B^d \hookrightarrow \varinjlim C^d$ is a homotopy equivalence. This follows from elementary general position arguments. For example, suppose that we wish to prove surjectivity on π_0 . Unwinding the definitions, we wish to show that if M is a PL d -manifold equipped with an immersion $\rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, then after replacing M by some product $M \times [0, 1]^k$ we can arrange that ρ is isotopic (through immersions) to an embedding. To prove this, we choose $k \gg 0$ and an embedding $e = (e_1, \dots, e_k) : M \rightarrow [0, 1]^k$. Using the fact that ρ is an immersion, we deduce that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ for which the map

$$M \times [0, 1]^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+k}$$

$$(x, t_1, \dots, t_k) \mapsto (\rho(x), e_1(x) + \epsilon t_1, \dots, e_k(x) + \epsilon t_k)$$

is an embedding, and it is not difficult to check that this embedding is PL isotopic (through immersions) to the $\rho \times \text{id}$.

It follows that we obtain homotopy equivalences

$$\varinjlim D^d \leftarrow \varinjlim C^d \subseteq \varinjlim B^d \rightarrow \varinjlim A^d \subseteq \varinjlim \text{Man}^d.$$

In other words, the direct limit $\text{Man}^\infty = \varinjlim \text{Man}^d$ can be identified with a classifying space for embedded PL submanifolds $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ (stabilized by taking the dimension d to infinity).

Our goal over the next several lectures is to prove the following:

Theorem 3. *The map θ_∞ is a homotopy equivalence of Kan complexes.*

Let us begin by trying to analyze an individual map θ_d . By construction Man^d is a classifying space for compact framed PL manifolds of dimension d . Consequently, Man^d is homotopy equivalent to a disjoint union

$$\coprod_M \text{BAut}(M)$$

where the disjoint union is taken over all isomorphism classes of compact framed PL manifolds M of dimension d , and $\text{Aut}(M)$ denotes the (simplicial) group of framed PL homeomorphisms of M with itself. Let us make this identification more explicit. In what follows, we will use the term “simplicial category” to mean a simplicial object in the category of categories, and we will use the term “simplicially enriched category” to mean a category enriched over simplicial sets: that is, a simplicial category where the simplicial set of objects is constant.

Notation 4. Fix an integer $d \geq 0$. For each $k \geq 0$, we let \mathcal{C}_k denote the category whose objects are pairs (E, ρ) , where $E \subseteq \Delta^k \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ is a finite polyhedron, the projection $E \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is a PL fiber bundle whose fibers are PL manifolds of dimension d (possibly with boundary), and ρ is a trivialization of the (relative) tangent microbundle T_{E/Δ^k} . A morphism from (E, ρ) to (E', ρ') is a PL homeomorphism of E with E' , compatible with the projection to Δ^k , which carries ρ to ρ' . We will regard \mathcal{C}_\bullet as a simplicial category. Let $\mathcal{C}_\bullet^\circ$ denote the “underlying” simplicially enriched category, whose objects are the objects of the category \mathcal{C}_0 (which we can identify with framed PL d -manifolds, if we ignore the data of an embedding into \mathbb{R}^∞).

It is not difficult to see that the homotopy type of the disjoint union $\coprod_M \text{BAut}(M)$ is modeled by the bisimplicial set $N_\bullet(\mathcal{C}_\bullet^\circ)$. On the other hand, we have a canonical isomorphism of simplicial sets $\text{Man}^d \simeq N_0(\mathcal{C}_\bullet)$. The existence of a homotopy equivalence $\text{Man}^d \simeq \coprod_M \text{BAut}(M)$ is a consequence of the following:

Proposition 5. *The canonical maps*

$$N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}^{\circ}) \hookrightarrow N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}) \hookrightarrow N_0(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}) = \text{Man}^d$$

are weak homotopy equivalences (of bisimplicial sets).

Proof. The first map is a weak homotopy equivalence because for each integer k , the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_k^{\circ} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_k$ is an equivalence of categories (this follows from the observation that any PL fiber bundle $E \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is trivial, because Δ^k is contractible). To show that the second map is a weak homotopy equivalence, it will suffice to show that for each integer n , the degeneracy map

$$N_0(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}) \hookrightarrow N_n(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet})$$

is a homotopy equivalence of Kan complexes. This map has a left inverse q , given by evaluation at any choice of vertex in Δ^n . It now suffices to show that the map $q : N_n(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}) \rightarrow N_0(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet})$ is a trivial Kan fibration. This follows from the contractibility of the space of embeddings of a PL manifold M into \mathbb{R}^{∞} ; we leave the details to the reader. \square

We now consider a variant of Notation 4.

Notation 6. For each $k \geq 0$, we let \mathcal{D}_k denote the category whose objects are finite polyhedra $E \subseteq \Delta^k \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ for which the projection $E \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is a fibration, and whose morphisms are cell-like maps $E \rightarrow E'$ which commute with the projection to Δ^k . We will regard \mathcal{D}_{\bullet} as a simplicial category. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ}$ denote the “underlying” simplicially enriched category. Ignoring the data of the PL embeddings, we can think of $\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ}$ as the simplicially enriched category whose objects are finite polyhedra K , where $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ}}(K, K')$ is the simplicial set parametrizing cell-like maps from K to K' .

Note that we have a canonical isomorphism of simplicial sets $\mathcal{M} \simeq N_0(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet})$. We have the following analogue of Proposition 5:

Proposition 7. *The canonical maps*

$$N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}) \xleftarrow{\beta} N_0(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}) = \mathcal{M}$$

are weak homotopy equivalences (of bisimplicial sets).

Unlike Proposition 5, Proposition 7 is not a triviality. The first part of the proof breaks down because the inclusions $\mathcal{D}_k^{\circ} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_k$ are not equivalences of categories (a PL fibration $E \rightarrow \Delta^k$ need not be a fiber bundle), and the second part of the proof breaks down because cell-like maps need not be invertible. We will give the proof of Proposition 7 in the next lecture. For the moment, let us study its consequences.

For fixed $d \geq 0$, we have a commutative diagram (of bisimplicial sets)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}^{\circ}) & \longrightarrow & N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}) & \longleftarrow & \text{Man}^d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_d \\ N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ}) & \longrightarrow & N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M}. \end{array}$$

Consequently, we can identify θ_d with the map of bisimplicial sets $N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}^{\circ}) \rightarrow N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ})$ induced by the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ}$ (which associates to each framed PL manifold its underlying finite polyhedron).

It follows from general nonsense that the homotopy type of $N_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{\bullet}^{\circ})$ can be expressed as an iterated homotopy colimit

$$\text{hocolim}_{K \in \mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ}} (\text{hocolim}_{M \in \mathcal{C}_{\bullet}^{\circ}} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\circ}}(M, K)).$$

Let us fix an object $K \in \mathcal{D}_\bullet^\circ$ for the moment. It follows from Proposition 5 that we can identify the nerve $N_\bullet(\mathcal{C}_\bullet^\circ)$ with the classifying space Man^d for framed PL manifolds of dimension d . The homotopy colimit $\text{Man}_K^d = (\text{hocolim}_{M \in \mathcal{C}_\bullet^\circ} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}^\circ}(M, K))$ is equipped with a canonical map

$$\text{Man}_K^d \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{M \in \mathcal{C}_\bullet^\circ} * \simeq \text{Man}^d,$$

which is a fibration classified by the functor $M \mapsto \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}^\circ}^\circ(M, K)$. More explicitly, we can identify Man_K^d with the simplicial set whose k -simplices are triples (E, ρ, q) , where (E, ρ) is a k -simplex of Man^d and $q : E \rightarrow K$ is a PL map which is cell-like on each fiber of E . The above analysis then gives

$$\text{Man}^d \simeq \text{hocolim}_{K \in \mathcal{D}_\bullet^\circ} \text{Man}_K^d.$$

Note that for each $d \geq 0$, the construction $M \mapsto M \times [0, 1]$ determines a stabilization map $\text{Man}_K^d \rightarrow \text{Man}_K^{d+1}$, depending functorially on K . Set $\text{Man}_K^\infty = \varinjlim_{d \geq 0} \text{Man}_K^d$. It follows from the above analysis that the canonical map

$$\text{hocolim}_{K \in \mathcal{D}_\bullet^\circ} \text{Man}_K^\infty \rightarrow \text{Man}^\infty$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, the composite map

$$\text{hocolim}_{K \in \mathcal{D}_\bullet^\circ} \text{Man}_K^\infty \rightarrow \text{Man}^\infty \xrightarrow{\theta_\infty} \varinjlim_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{M}$$

is given by the composition

$$\text{hocolim}_{K \in \mathcal{D}_\bullet^\circ} \text{Man}_K^\infty \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{K \in \mathcal{D}_\bullet^\circ} * \simeq \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{M},$$

where the last map is a homotopy equivalence (since the stabilization map $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\times[0,1]} \mathcal{M}$ is a homotopy equivalence). Consequently, to prove Theorem 3, it will suffice to verify the following:

Proposition 8. *For every finite polyhedron K , the Kan complex Man_K^∞ is contractible.*

Warning 9. It is natural to try to prove Proposition 8 by showing that the spaces Man_K^d become highly connected as d becomes large. However, this is not necessarily true. For example, take K to be a single point, so that Man_K^d is a classifying space for compact contractible framed PL manifolds. Note that any compact contractible PL d -manifold M admits a framing; if Man_K^d were connected, then M would need to be PL homeomorphic to a disk of dimension d . However, this is not necessarily the case: if $d \geq 6$, then any closed PL manifold B with the homology of a $(d-1)$ -sphere bounds a contractible PL manifold M of dimension d ([2]). If B is not simply connected, then M cannot be homeomorphic to a disk.

Following [3], we introduce an auxiliary condition to rule out the behavior of Warning 9.

Definition 10. Let K be a finite polyhedron. A d -manifold thickening of K is a cell-like PL map $\pi : M \rightarrow K$, where M is a framed PL manifold of dimension d , having the additional property that for each point $x \in K$, the intersection $\partial M \cap \pi^{-1}\{x\}$ is simply connected. We let $T^d(K)$ denote the simplicial subset of Man_K^d whose k -simplices are triples (E, ρ, q) where $q : E \rightarrow K$ is a d -manifold thickening of K on each fiber.

Note that if $\pi : M \rightarrow K$ is any cell-like map and $\pi' : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ is the composition of π with the projection, then $\partial(M \times [0, 1]) \cap \pi'^{-1}\{x\}$ is homotopy equivalent to the suspension of $\partial M \cap \pi^{-1}\{x\}$ for each $x \in K$. It follows that the stabilization map $\text{Man}_K^d \rightarrow \text{Man}_K^{d+1}$ carries $T^d(K)$ into $T^{d+1}(K)$, and that the three-fold iterate of the stabilization map carries all of Man_K^d into $T^{d+3}(K)$. We may therefore identify Man_K^∞ with the direct limit $\varinjlim_{d \geq 0} T^d(K)$. The main step in the proof of Theorem 3 will be to verify the following:

Proposition 11. *Let K be a finite polyhedron and let n be an integer. Then for every sufficiently large integer d (where the meaning of “sufficiently large” depends on K and n), the space of d -manifold thickenings $T^d(K)$ is n -connected.*

References

- [1] Haefliger, A. and V. Poenaru. *La classification des immersions combinatoires*. Publ. Math. IHES, no. 23, pp. 75-91.
- [2] Price, T. M. *Compact, contractible n -manifolds and their boundaries*. Michigan Math. J. Volume 18, Issue 4 (1971), 331-341.
- [3] Waldhausen, F., B. Jahren and J. Rognes. *Spaces of PL Manifolds and Categories of Simple Maps*.