

The Additivity Theorem (Lecture 17)

October 10, 2014

Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category which admits finite colimits. In the previous lecture, we introduced an infinite loop space $K(\mathcal{C})$, the *Waldhausen K -theory space* of \mathcal{C} . Note that the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ of arrows in \mathcal{C} satisfies the same hypotheses as \mathcal{C} , so we can also consider the K -theory space $K(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}))$. Our goal in this lecture is to prove the following fundamental result:

Theorem 1 (Additivity Theorem). *The functor*

$$F : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$$

$$F(\alpha : C \rightarrow D) = (C, \text{cofib}(\alpha))$$

induces a homotopy equivalence

$$K(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}) \simeq K(\mathcal{C}) \times K(\mathcal{C}).$$

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1, let us describe some of its consequences. We first note that the functor $F : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ admits a right homotopy inverse, given by the construction

$$(C, D) \mapsto (C \rightarrow C \vee D).$$

We therefore obtain:

Corollary 2. *The functor $(C, D) \mapsto (C \rightarrow C \vee D)$ induces a homotopy equivalence*

$$K(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})).$$

To state the next Corollary, we will need a bit of notation. Suppose that \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are pointed ∞ -categories which admit finite colimits, and that $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor which preserves finite colimits. We let G_* denote the associated map (of infinite loop spaces) from $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ to $K_0(\mathcal{D})$.

Corollary 3. *Let $G', G, G'' : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the functors given by*

$$G'(C \rightarrow D) = C \quad G(C \rightarrow D) = D \quad G''(C \rightarrow D) = D/C.$$

Then we have $G_ = G'_* + G''_*$ (in the abelian group of homotopy classes of maps from $K_0(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}))$ to $K_0(\mathcal{C})$).*

Proof. By virtue of Corollary 2, it will suffice to show that the corresponding equality holds in the space of maps from $K(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})$ to $K(\mathcal{C})$, which follows immediately from the definition of the addition law on $K(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Corollary 4. *Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be pointed ∞ -categories which admit finite colimits, and suppose we are given a cofiber sequence*

$$F' \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \rightarrow F''$$

of functors from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{C} which preserve finite colimits. Then $F_ = F'_* + F''_*$.*

Proof. The natural transformation α determines a functor $H : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})$ which preserves finite colimits. Unwinding the definitions, we have

$$F'_* = G'_* H_* \quad F_* = G_* H_* \quad F''_* = G''_* H_*$$

where $G', G, G'' : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are defined as in Corollary 3. The desired result now follows from the equality $G_* = G'_* + G''_*$. \square

Corollary 5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category which admits finite colimits. Then the suspension functor $\Sigma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces the map $K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C})$ given by multiplication by -1 .*

Proof. Apply Corollary 4 to the cofiber sequence of functors

$$\text{id} \rightarrow * \rightarrow \Sigma.$$

\square

Corollary 6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category which admits finite colimits. Then the canonical map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow SW(\mathcal{C})$ induces a homotopy equivalence $K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(SW(\mathcal{C}))$*

Proof. The K -theory space of $SW(\mathcal{C})$ can be identified with the direct limit of the sequence

$$K(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_*} K(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma_*} K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \dots$$

\square

It follows from Corollary 6 that as far as Waldhausen K -theory is concerned, we might as well always be working with stable ∞ -categories (which will be the focus of our attention in the next several lectures).

Let us now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. We have an evident evaluation functor

$$e : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

$$e(C \rightarrow D) = C.$$

Fix a zero object $* \in \mathcal{C}$. The fiber of e over $*$ can be identified with the ∞ -category \mathcal{C}_* of pointed objects of \mathcal{C} (that is, objects $D \in \mathcal{C}$ equipped with a map $* \rightarrow D$). Since \mathcal{C} is pointed, this ∞ -category is equivalent to \mathcal{C} itself. We therefore have a fiber sequence of ∞ -categories,

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{C}.$$

which gives a diagram of K -theory spaces

$$K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{e_*} K(\mathcal{C}).$$

Theorem 1 is equivalent to the assertion that this diagram is again a fiber sequence.

It follows immediately from the definitions that for every integer $n \geq 0$, we have a fiber sequence of ∞ -categories

$$\text{Gap}_{[n]}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Gap}_{[n]}(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Gap}_{[n]}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Passing to underlying Kan complexes and allowing n to vary, we obtain a fiber sequence of simplicial spaces

$$S_\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S_\bullet(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow S_\bullet(\mathcal{C}).$$

We would like to show that the induced diagram of geometric realizations remains a fiber sequence. This is not obvious: in general, a fiber sequence of simplicial pointed spaces

$$X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet \rightarrow Z_\bullet$$

need not yield a fiber sequence $|X_\bullet| \rightarrow |Y_\bullet| \rightarrow |Z_\bullet|$. One can show that this holds whenever each of the spaces Z_n is connected, but this hypothesis is far too strong for our situation (remember that the connected components of $S_1(\mathcal{C})$ can be identified with the equivalence classes of objects in \mathcal{C} ; in particular, $S_1(\mathcal{C})$ is never connected unless \mathcal{C} is trivial). We will instead use the following criterion:

Proposition 7. Let \mathcal{S} denote the ∞ -category of spaces and let \mathcal{J} be a small category (or ∞ -category). Suppose we are given a natural transformation $X \rightarrow Y$ of functors from \mathcal{J} to \mathcal{S} which satisfies the following condition:

(*) For every morphism $I \rightarrow J$ in \mathcal{J} , the associated map

$$\varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I)$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Suppose we have a pullback diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & Y. \end{array}$$

Then:

- (a) The map $X' \rightarrow Y'$ also satisfies (*).
- (b) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim X' & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim Y' & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim Y \end{array}$$

is also a pullback square.

Proof. We first prove (a). Fix a morphism $I \rightarrow J$ in \mathcal{J} . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X'(K) \times_{Y'(K)} Y'(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X'(K) \times_{Y'(K)} Y'(I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X'(K) \times_{Y'(K)} Y(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X'(K) \times_{Y'(K)} Y(I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) \end{array}$$

where each square is a pullback. Since the lower horizontal map is a homotopy equivalence, so is the upper horizontal map.

For every morphism $I \rightarrow J$ in \mathcal{J} , let $F(I \rightarrow J)$ denote the colimit $\varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I)$. *A priori*, the functor F is covariant in I and contravariant in J . However, condition (*) implies that F is actually independent of J . More precisely, we can write $F(I \rightarrow J) = F_0(I)$ for some functor $F_0 : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Abstractly, we can describe F_0 as the left Kan extension of F along the forgetful functor $(I \rightarrow J) \mapsto I$ (from the twisted arrow category of \mathcal{J} to \mathcal{J}). Concretely, we can write $F_0(I) = F(I \rightarrow I) = \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I)$. Note that we have an evident projection map $F_0(I) \rightarrow Y(I)$, and condition (*) implies that for every map $I \rightarrow J$, the associated map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_0(I) & \longrightarrow & F_0(J) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y(I) & \longrightarrow & Y(J) \end{array}$$

is a pullback square. It follows that for each $I \in \mathcal{J}$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_0(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim F_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim Y \end{array}$$

is a pullback square.

Using (a), we can apply the same reasoning to the natural transformation $X' \rightarrow Y'$ to obtain a functor $F'_0 : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. The proof of (a) shows that for each $I \in \mathcal{J}$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F'_0(I) & \longrightarrow & F_0(I) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y'(I) & \longrightarrow & Y(I) \end{array}$$

is a pullback square. It follows that we also have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F'_0(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim F_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y'(I) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim Y. \end{array}$$

Passing to the colimit over I (and using the fact that colimits in \mathcal{S} commute with base change), we obtain a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim F'_0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim F_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \varinjlim Y' & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim Y. \end{array}$$

We conclude by observing that there are canonical equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim F_0 &\simeq \varinjlim F \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow J} \varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_K X(K) \times_{Y(K)} \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow J \rightarrow K} Y(I) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_K X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(K) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim X, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\varinjlim F'_0 \simeq \varinjlim X'$. □

Exercise 8. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Let us say that a morphism $f : I \rightarrow J$ in \mathcal{J} is *good* if the natural map

$$\varinjlim_{J \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{I \rightarrow K} X(K) \times_{Y(K)} Y(I)$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Show that if we are given a pair of morphisms

$$I \xrightarrow{f} J \xrightarrow{g} K$$

in \mathcal{J} such that g is good, then f is good if and only if the composition $g \circ f$ is good.

In order to prove Theorem 1, it will suffice to show that the evaluation map e induces a map of simplicial spaces $S_\bullet(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow S_\bullet(\mathcal{C})$ which satisfies the requirements of Proposition 7 (where we take $\mathcal{J} = \Delta^{\text{op}}$ to be the opposite of the category of nonempty finite linearly ordered sets). Fix a map of linearly ordered sets $\alpha : [n'] \rightarrow [n]$; we wish to show that the induced map

$$\lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [n']} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} S_n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [n]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} S_n(\mathcal{C})$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Using Exercise 8, we may reduce to the case where $n' = 0$.

Let us fix a point of $S_n(\mathcal{C})$, corresponding to an $[n]$ -gapped object $X' \in \mathcal{C}$. Passing to the homotopy fibers over X' , we are reduced to proving that the map

$$\theta : \lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [0]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\} \rightarrow \lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [n]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\}$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Let $\text{cofib} : \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the functor given by $(f : C \rightarrow D) \mapsto \text{cofib}(f)$, so that cofib induces maps $S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow S_m(\mathcal{C})$. It follows immediately from the definitions that the composite map

$$\lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [0]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\} \xrightarrow{\theta} \lim_{\beta: [m] \rightarrow [n]} S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\} \xrightarrow{\theta'} \lim_{[m] \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} S_m(\mathcal{C})$$

is a homotopy equivalence, so that θ is a homotopy equivalence if and only if θ' is a homotopy equivalence. In particular, we see that the condition that θ is a homotopy equivalence is independent of the choice of map $\alpha : [0] \rightarrow [n]$. We may therefore assume without loss of generality that $\alpha(0) = n$.

For every map $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$, let X'_β denote the image of X' in $\text{Gap}_{[m]}(\mathcal{C})$. Unwinding the definitions, we can identify $S_m(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{C})) \times_{S_m(\mathcal{C})} \{X'\}$ with the Kan complex

$$Z_\beta = \text{Gap}_{[m]}(\mathcal{C})_{X'_\beta}^{\simeq},$$

whose vertices are maps $X'_\beta \rightarrow X$ in the ∞ -category of $[m]$ -gapped objects of \mathcal{C} . Note that if $\beta \leq \beta'$, then there is a canonical map $\tau_{\beta, \beta'} : Z_\beta \rightarrow Z_{\beta'}$ given by $X \mapsto X'_{\beta'} \amalg_{X'_\beta} X$.

Let us regard $[n]$ as fixed, and let \mathcal{J} denote the category whose objects are nonempty finite linearly ordered sets $[m]$ and monotone maps $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$. Let $\mathcal{J}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ be the full subcategory consisting of those maps $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ which take the constant value n (so that \mathcal{J}_0 is equivalent to the category Δ). The construction $\beta \mapsto Z_\beta$ determines a functor $\mathcal{J}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, and we wish to show that the canonical map

$$\lim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}_0^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta \rightarrow \lim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

The key observation is that the construction $\beta \mapsto Z_\beta$ has a little bit of extra functoriality. Let us define an enlargement \mathcal{J}_+ of \mathcal{J} as follows:

- The objects of \mathcal{J}_+ are nonempty finite linearly ordered sets $[m]$ equipped with monotone maps $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$.
- A morphism from $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ to $\beta' : [m'] \rightarrow [n]$ in \mathcal{J}_+ consists of a monotone map $\gamma : [m] \rightarrow [m']$ such that $\beta(i) \geq \beta'(\gamma(i))$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ (this is an enlargement of the collection of morphisms in \mathcal{J} , where we would require the stronger condition $\beta = \beta' \circ \gamma$).

Any morphism γ in \mathcal{J}_+ determines a map $Z_{\beta'} \rightarrow Z_\beta$, which carries a map of $[m']$ -gapped objects $X'_{\beta'} \rightarrow X$ to the map of $[m]$ -gapped objects $X'_\beta \rightarrow X$ where

$$Y(i, j) = X(\gamma(i), \gamma(j)) \amalg_{X'(\beta'(\gamma(i)), \beta'(\gamma(j)))} X'(\beta(i), \beta(j)).$$

We therefore obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \varinjlim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}_0^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \varinjlim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & \varinjlim_{\beta \in \mathcal{J}_+^{\text{op}}} Z_\beta &
 \end{array}$$

To prove that the upper horizontal map is a homotopy equivalence, it will suffice to show that the lower horizontal maps are homotopy equivalences. This follows from the following combinatorial observation:

Lemma 9. *The inclusion maps $\mathcal{J}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_+$ and $\mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_+$ are right cofinal.*

Proof. The right cofinality of $\mathcal{J}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_+$ follows from the fact that it admits a right adjoint (which carries an arbitrary map $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$ to the constant map $[m] \rightarrow \{n\}$). To prove the right cofinality of the inclusion $\mathcal{J} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_+$, we must work a little bit harder. Fix an object of \mathcal{J}_+ given by a map $\beta : [m] \rightarrow [n]$. Unwinding the definitions, we see that the overcategory $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J} \times_{\mathcal{J}_+} (\mathcal{J}_+) / \beta$ can be identified with the category whose objects are nonempty finite linearly ordered sets $[k]$ equipped with a monotone map $\gamma : [k] \rightarrow P$, where $P = \{(i, j) \in [m] \times [n] : \beta(i) \leq j\}$. This category contains as a deformation retract the full subcategory spanned by the injective maps, whose geometric realization is homeomorphic to $|\mathcal{N}(P)|$. It will therefore suffice to show that the partially ordered set P is weakly contractible, which is clear because P has a smallest element. \square

References

- [1] Waldhausen, F. *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*.