

# Math 261y: von Neumann Algebras (Lecture 3)

September 7, 2011

In the last lecture, we introduced the notion of a *positive* element of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Our first goal in this lecture is to prove the following:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then an element  $x \in A$  is positive if and only if we can write  $x = y^*y$  for some  $y \in A$ .*

*Proof.* The “if” direction is obvious (in fact, we can take  $y = y^*$  to be another positive element of  $A$ , as we saw in the last lecture). So let us suppose that  $x = y^*y$ ; we wish to show that  $x$  is positive. Write  $x = x_+ + x_-$  as in the previous lecture; we wish to prove that  $x_- = 0$ . Let  $y' = yx_-$ , so that

$$y'^*y' = x_-^*y^*yx_- = x_-(x_+ + x_-)x_- = x_-^3.$$

Note that  $x_-^3$  is negative, and vanishes if and only if  $x_-$  vanishes (we can check this in the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $x_-$ ). Replacing  $y$  by  $y'$ , we are reduced to proving that if the product  $y^*y$  is negative, then  $y^*y = 0$ .

We next observe that our claim does not depend on whether we consider the product  $y^*y$  or  $yy^*$ . This is a consequence of the following more general observation:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra (or any algebra) containing elements  $a$  and  $b$ . Then  $\sigma(ab) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(ba) \cup \{0\}$*

*Proof.* We must show that if  $\lambda$  is a nonzero complex number, then  $ab - \lambda$  is invertible if and only if  $ba - \lambda$  is invertible. We will prove that “if” direction; the converse follows by symmetry. Dividing by  $\lambda$ , we can reduce to the case  $\lambda = 1$ . Let  $ba - 1$  be invertible, so that there is an element  $u \in A$  with

$$bau = u + 1 = uba.$$

Let  $v = aub - 1$ . Then

$$abv = ab(aub) - ab = a(bau)b - ab = a(u + 1)b - ab = aub = v + 1$$

$$vab = (aub)ab - ab = a(uba)b - ab = a(u + 1)b - ab = aub = v + 1$$

so that  $v$  is an inverse of  $ab - 1$ . □

It follows that if  $y^*y$  is negative,  $yy^*$  is also negative. Then  $y^*y + yy^*$  is also negative. However, writing  $y = \Re(y) + i\Im(y)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y^*y + yy^* &= (\Re(y) - i\Im(y))(\Re(y) + i\Im(y)) + (\Re(y) + i\Im(y))(\Re(y) - i\Im(y)) \\ &= 2\Re(y)^2 + 2\Im(y)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\Re(y)$  and  $\Im(y)$  are Hermitian, so that  $\Re(y)^2$  and  $\Im(y)^2$  are positive (this can be checked by reducing to the commutative  $C^*$ -algebras generated by  $\Re(y)$  and  $\Im(y)$ , respectively). It follows that

$$y^*y = 2\Re(y)^2 + 2\Im(y)^2 - yy^*$$

is also positive, proving that  $y^*y = 0$  as desired. □

**Example 3.** Let  $V$  be a Hilbert space and let  $A = B(V)$  be the algebra of bounded operators on  $V$ . Then an element  $f \in A$  is positive if and only if it is positive when regarded as an operator: that is, if

$$(fv, v) \geq 0$$

for all  $v \in V$ . One direction is clear: if  $f$  is positive, we can write  $f = g^*g$  for some operator  $g$ , so that

$$(fv, v) = (g^*gv, v) = (gv, gv) = \|gv\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Conversely, suppose that  $f$  is positive when regarded as an operator. Then

$$(fv, v) = \overline{(fv, v)} = (v, fv) = (\bar{f}v, v)$$

for all  $v \in V$ , so that  $f$  is Hermitian. Write  $f = f_+ + f_-$  as in Proposition ??; we wish to prove that  $f_- = 0$ . For every  $v \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (ff_-v, f_-v) \\ &= (f_-^2v, f_-v) \\ &= (f_-^3v, v). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_-$  is negative, we can write  $f_- = -g^2$  for some Hermitian  $g$ . Then

$$0 \leq (f_-^3v, v) = -(g^6v, v) = -\|g^3(v)\|,$$

so that  $g^3 = 0$ , hence  $g = 0$  and therefore  $f_- = -g^2 = 0$ .

Given a pair of  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , a *map of  $C^*$ -algebras* from  $A$  to  $B$  is an algebra homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying  $f(x^*) = f(x)^*$  for  $x \in A$ . Any such map is automatically has norm  $\leq 1$  (and is therefore continuous): that is, we have  $\|f(x)\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in A$ . To prove this, we may replace  $x$  by  $x^*x$  and thereby reduce to the case where  $x$  is Hermitian. In this case, we have

$$\|f(x)\| = \rho(f(x)) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(f(x))\} \leq \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(x)\} = \rho(x) = \|x\|$$

**Remark 4.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an injective map of  $C^*$ -algebras. Then  $f$  is isometric: that is, we have  $\|x\| = \|f(x)\|$  for all  $x \in A$ . To prove this, we can again reduce to the case where  $x \in A$  is Hermitian. Replacing  $A$  and  $B$  by the sub- $C^*$ -algebras generated by  $x$  and  $f(x)$ , we can assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are commutative. In this case, we have seen that  $f$  induces a surjective map  $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ , so that the pullback map  $C^0(\text{Spec } A) \rightarrow C^0(\text{Spec } B)$  is an isometry.

**Definition 5.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A *representation of  $A$*  is a homomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras  $f : A \rightarrow B(V)$ , for some Hilbert space  $V$ .

Equivalently, a representation of  $A$  is a left action of  $A$  on  $V$ , satisfying  $(x^*v, w) = (v, xw)$  for  $x \in A$ . Our main goal is to prove that every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  admits a *faithful* representation:

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then there exists an injective map  $f : A \rightarrow B(V)$ , for some Hilbert space  $V$ .*

Since we can always take a (Hilbert space) direct sum of any collection of representations, Theorem 6 follows from the following simpler assertion:

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra containing a nonzero element  $x$ . Then there exists a representation  $f : A \rightarrow B(V)$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ .*

To prove Proposition 7, we need a method for constructing representations of  $A$ . Note that if we are given a representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $V$  and a unit vector  $v \in V$ , we obtain a linear functional  $\mu$  on  $A$  given by

$$\mu(x) = (xv, v).$$

This linear functional has the following properties:

- (a) We have  $\mu(1) = 1$  (since  $v$  is a unit vector).
- (b) If  $x \in A$  is Hermitian, then  $\mu(x)$  is real. Equivalently,  $\mu(x^*) = \overline{\mu(x)}$ .
- (c) If  $x \in A$  is positive, then  $\mu(x) \geq 0$  (writing  $x = y^*y$ , we get  $\mu(x) = (y^*yv, v) = (yv, yv)$ ).
- (d) The norm of  $\mu$  is  $\leq 1$  (in fact, it is exactly 1, since  $\mu(1) = 1$ ).

In fact, these observations are not independent:

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mu : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a continuous linear functional such that  $\mu(1) = 1$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The norm of  $\mu$  is  $\leq 1$ .*
- (2) *The function  $\mu$  carries positive elements of  $A$  into  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .*
- (3) *The function  $\mu$  arises via the above construction. That is, there exists a Hilbert space  $V$ , a representation of  $A$  on  $V$ , and a unit vector  $v \in V$  such that  $\mu(x) = (xv, v)$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Assume that  $\mu$  satisfies (1); we first show that  $\mu$  carries Hermitian elements of  $A$  to real numbers. Equivalently, we will show that  $\mu$  carries skew-Hermitian elements  $a \in A$  to imaginary numbers. Assume otherwise; then there exists a skew-Hermitian element  $a \in A$  such that  $\Re(\mu(a)) \neq 0$ . Replacing  $a$  by  $-a$  if necessary, we may suppose that  $\Re(\mu(a)) > 0$ . Working in the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $a$ , we see that  $\|1 + \epsilon a\|^2 \leq 1 + C\epsilon^2$  for some constant  $C$  (where  $\epsilon$  is a small real number). Then

$$(1 + \epsilon\Re(\mu(a)))^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon\Re(\mu(a)))^2 + (\epsilon\Im(\mu(a)))^2 = |\mu(1 + \epsilon(a))|^2 \leq \|1 + \epsilon(a)\|^2 \leq 1 + C\epsilon^2.$$

This is impossible if  $\Re(\mu(a)) > 0$ , for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small.

Now let  $x \in A$  be positive; we wish to prove that  $\mu(x) \geq 0$ . We have already seen that  $\mu(x)$  is real. For small positive real numbers  $\epsilon$ , we have  $\|1 - \epsilon x\| \leq 1$  (we can check this in the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $x$ ), so that

$$1 - \epsilon\mu(x) = \mu(1) - \epsilon\mu(x) = \mu(1 - \epsilon x) \leq \|1 - \epsilon x\| \leq 1,$$

which proves that  $\epsilon\mu(x) \geq 0$ . Dividing by  $\epsilon$ , we get  $\mu(x) \geq 0$ .

We now prove that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). We define a Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $A$  by the formula  $\langle x, y \rangle = \mu(y^*x)$ . Since  $x^*x$  is positive for  $x \in A$ , the quantities  $\langle x, x \rangle$  are nonnegative for  $x \in A$ . We may therefore regard the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  as making  $A$  into a *pre-Hilbert space*. Dividing out by the kernel of the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and taking the completion, we obtain a Hilbert space  $V$ . For each  $x \in A$ , left multiplication by  $x$  determines a map from  $A$  to itself. Let  $C = \|x\|$ , so that  $C^2 = \|x^*x\|$ . Since  $x^*x$  is positive, we can write  $C^2 = x^*x + z^2$  for some Hermitian  $z$ . We have

$$\langle xy, xy \rangle = \mu(y^*x^*xy) = C^2\mu(y^*y) - \mu(y^*z^2y) \leq C^2\mu(y^*y) = C^2\langle y, y \rangle.$$

It follows that left multiplication by  $x$  is bounded (by  $C = \|x\|$ ) for the norm  $\sqrt{\langle y, y \rangle}$ , and therefore induces a bounded operator from  $V$  to itself. For  $y, y' \in A$ , we have

$$\langle x^*y, y' \rangle = \mu(y'^*x^*y) = \langle y, xy' \rangle,$$

and by continuity the same equation holds for  $y$  and  $y'$  in the completion  $V$ . It follows that this construction determines a representation of  $A$  on  $V$ . By construction, the image of  $1 \in A$  is a vector  $v \in V$  satisfying

$$(xv, v) = \langle x1, 1 \rangle = \mu(x).$$

The implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) now follows from the fact that the map  $A \rightarrow B(V)$  has norm  $\leq 1$  (which follows from the above calculation, and was also proven in general above).  $\square$

**Definition 9.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A *state* is a linear functional on  $A$  satisfying the equivalent conditions of Proposition 8.

**Example 10.** Every algebra homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a state. In particular, every commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $A \simeq C^0(X)$  has plenty of states, given by evaluation at points  $x \in X$ . The representations given in the proof of Proposition 8 are not very interesting: the Hilbert spaces are one-dimensional.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be an injective map of  $C^*$ -algebras. Then any state  $\mu_0 : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  can be extended to a state  $\mu : B \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from characterization (1) of Proposition 8 and the Hahn-Banach theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $x \in A$  be a normal element, and let  $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$ . Then there exists a state  $\mu$  of  $A$  such that  $\mu(x) = \lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Using Proposition 11 we can replace  $A$  by the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $x$ , which is commutative since  $x$  is normal. The desired result now follows from Example 10.  $\square$

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $x \in A$  be a normal element, and let  $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$ . Then there exists a representation  $V$  of  $A$  containing a unit vector  $v$  with  $(xv, v) = \lambda$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 7.* Let  $x \in A$  be an arbitrary nonzero element; we wish to prove that there is a representation  $V$  of  $A$  on which  $x$  acts nontrivially. Replacing  $x$  by  $x^*x$ , we can assume that  $x$  is normal (even positive). Then  $0 < \|x\| = \rho(x)$ , so there exists a nonzero element  $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$ . Using Corollary 13, we can find a representation  $V$  and a vector  $v \in V$  such that  $(xv, v) = \lambda$ , from which it follows that  $xv \neq 0$ .  $\square$