## Math 261y: von Neumann Algebras (Lecture 25)

October 30, 2011

Our goal in this lecture is to begin the proof of the following result:

**Theorem 1.** Let A be a finite von Neumann algebra. Then A is a product of von Neumann algebras  $A_{\alpha}$ , each of which admits an ultraweakly continuous, faithful finite trace.

The proof proceeds in several steps.

**Lemma 2.** Let A be a von Neumann algebra and let  $\phi : A \to \mathbf{C}$  be an ultraweakly continuous faithful finite trace. Then A factors as a product  $A' \times A''$ , where  $\phi$  is a faithful finite trace on A' and vanishes on A''.

Proof. Let  $V_{\phi}$  denote the cyclic representation of A determined by  $\phi$  and  $v \in V_{\phi}$  its cyclic vector, so that  $(xv, yv) = \phi(y^*x)$ . Let  $I \subseteq A$  be the subset consisting of elements  $x \in A$  such that xv = 0. Then I is an ultraweakly closed left ideal of A. Note that  $I = \{x \in A : \phi(x^*x) = 0\}$ . Since  $\phi$  is a trace, we have  $\phi(x^*x) = \phi(xx^*)$ . It follows that I is a \*-ideal of A. It follows that I = eA for some central projection  $e \in A$ . We claim that the decomposition  $A = (1 - e)A \times eA$  has the desired property. It is clear that  $\phi$  vanishes on eA (since eV = 0). To see that  $\phi$  is faithful on (1 - e)A, suppose that  $h \in (1 - e)A$  is a positive element with  $\phi(h) = 0$ . Writing  $h = x^*x$  for  $x \in (1 - e)A$ , we obtain

$$\phi(x^*x) = (xv, xv) = 0,$$

so that  $x \in I = eA$  and therefore x = 0.

Let A be an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. Choose a maximal collection of mutually orthogonal central projections  $e_{\alpha} \in A$  such that each  $e_{\alpha}A$  admits an ultraweakly continuous faithful finite trace. Then  $A = A' \times \prod_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}A$ . By maximality, the von Neumann algebra A' does not admit any ultraweakly continuous finite traces. Consequently, Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following:

**Proposition 3.** Let A be a nonzero finite von Neumann algebra. Then A admits an ultraweakly continuous faithful finite trace.

To prove this, let S(A) denote the collection of all ultraweakly continuous states on A, and let U(A) denote the unitary group of A. Then U(A) acts on S(A), via the formula  $\phi^u(x) = \phi(u^{-1}xu)$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let A be a von Neumann algebra and let  $\phi : A \to \mathbf{C}$  be a state. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The state  $\phi$  is a trace.
- (2) The state  $\phi$  is a fixed point for the action of U(A). That is, we have  $\phi^u = \phi$  for each unitary element  $u \in A$ .

*Proof.* If (1) is satisfied, then we have

$$\phi^{u}(x) = \phi(u^{-1}xu) = \phi(uu^{-1}x) = \phi(x)$$

so that condition (2) is also satisfied. Conversely, suppose that  $\phi$  satisfies (2). Then  $\phi(x) = \phi(u^{-1}xu)$  for all  $u \in U(A), x \in A$ . Taking x = uy, we obtain  $\phi(uy) = \phi(yu)$  for each  $y \in A$  and each  $u \in U(A)$ . We wish to prove that the analogous assertion holds for an arbitrary element  $u \in A$ . To prove this, it suffices to observe that A is spanned by U(A) as a complex vector space. That is, every element of  $z \in A$  can be written as a complex linear combination of unitary elements (to prove this, we may assume that z is Hermitian. In this case, we may as well restrict to the commutative von Neumann subalgebra of A generated by z. We may therefore assume that  $A \simeq L^{\infty}(X)$  for some measure space X, in which case the desired result is not difficult).

To find our trace, we would like to prove that there exists a fixed point for the action of U(A) on the set S(A). Here we need the following result from functional analysis, which we will prove in the next lecture:

**Theorem 5** (Ryll-Nardzewski). Let M be a Banach space, let K be a convex subset of M which is compact with respect to the weak topology on M, and let G be a group of bounded operators on M which preserves K. Then there is an element of K which is fixed by the action of G.

To apply this theorem to our situation, we will take M to be the predual of A: that is, the space of ultraweakly continuous linear functionals on M. Choose an ultraweakly continuous state  $\phi \in S(A) \subseteq M$ . Let  $K_0 = \{\phi^u : u \in U(M)\}$ , and let K denote the closed convex hull of  $K_0$  in M (where we regard M as endowed with the weak topology). We will prove:

**Proposition 6.** In the situation above, the set K is compact with respect to the weak topology on M.

Assuming this result for the moment, it follows from Theorem 5 that the group U(A) has a fixed point on K. Since  $K \subseteq S(A)$ , this fixed point is an ultraweakly continuous state on A. Lemma 4 then implies that this state is a trace, and the proof of Proposition 3 will be complete.

It remains to prove that the set K is weakly compact. Let  $A^{\vee}$  denote the Banach space dual of A, endowed with the weak \*-topology. We will regard M as a subspace of  $A^{\vee}$ . Let  $\overline{K}$  denote the closure of Kin  $A^{\vee}$ . Then  $\overline{K}$  is a weak \*-closed subset of the unit ball of  $A^{\vee}$ , hence compact for the weak \*-topology. To prove the compactness of K, it will suffice to show that  $K = \overline{K}$ : that is, that every functional  $\rho \in \overline{K}$  is automatically ultraweakly continuous.

Since each  $\rho \in \overline{K}$  is a state, the ultraweak continuity of  $\rho$  is equivalent to complete additivity. Let  $\{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a collection of mutually orthogonal projections of A. We have an inequality

$$\sum \rho(e_{\alpha}) \leq \rho(\sum e_{\alpha}),$$

and we wish to prove that it is an equality. In other words, we wish to show that for every positive real number  $\epsilon$ , there exists a finite set  $I_0 \subseteq I$  such that  $\rho(\sum_{\alpha \notin I_0} e_\alpha) \leq \epsilon$ .

Assume otherwise. Then, in particular, we have

$$\rho(\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon.$$

It follows that there exists  $\rho_0 \in K_0$  such that  $\rho_0(\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon$ . Since  $\rho_0$  is completely additive, there is a finite set  $I_0 \subseteq I$  such that  $\rho_0(\sum_{\alpha \in I_0} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon$ . Since  $\rho(\sum_{\alpha \notin I_0} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon$ , we can find another functional  $\rho_1 \in K_0$  such that  $\rho_1(\sum_{\alpha \notin I_0} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon$ . Using the complete additivity of  $\rho_1$ , we can choose a finite subset  $I_1 \subseteq I$  disjoint from  $I_0$ , such that  $\rho_1(\sum_{\alpha \in I_1} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon$ . Since  $\rho(\sum_{\alpha \notin I_0 \cup I_1} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon$ , we can find a functional  $\rho_2 \in K_0$  such that  $\rho_2(\sum_{\alpha \notin I_0 \cup I_1} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon$ . Using the complete additivity of  $\rho_2$ , we obtain a finite subset  $I_2 \subseteq I$  disjoint from  $I_0$  and  $I_1$  such that  $\rho_2(\sum_{\alpha \in I_2} e_{\alpha}) > \epsilon$ , and so forth. Write  $\rho_j = \phi^{u_j}$  for unitary elements  $u_j \in U(A)$ , and let  $f_j = \sum_{\alpha \in I_j} e_{\alpha}$ . We then have a sequence of unitary elements

$$u_0, u_1, u_2, \ldots \in U(A)$$

and a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections

$$f_0, f_1, f_2 \in A$$

such that  $\phi(u_i^{-1}f_ju_j) > \epsilon$  for all j. Since  $\phi$  is ultraweakly continuous, this contradicts the following claim:

**Proposition 7.** Suppose we are given an infinite sequence  $f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots \in A$  of mutually orthogonal projections. Let  $g_0, g_1, \ldots \in A$  be a collection of projections such that each  $g_i$  is conjugate to  $f_i$  (by a unitary element of A). If A is finite, then the sequence  $g_i$  converges to zero in the ultraweak topology (or even in the ultrastrong topology).

*Proof.* We show that for every embedding  $A \subseteq B(V)$ , the sequence  $g_i$  converges strongly to zero in B(V). Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\sum f_i = 1$  (otherwise, set  $e = 1 - \sum f_i$ , and adjoint e to the beginning of both sequences). For each  $m \ge 0$ , let V(m) denote the closed subspace of V generated by the subspaces  $g_i V$  for  $i \ge m$ . We have a decreasing sequence of closed subspaces

$$V(0) \supseteq V(1) \supseteq V(2) \supseteq \cdots$$

of V. Let  $p_m$  denote the orthogonal projection from V onto V(m). For each  $v \in V$ , we have  $||g_m(v)|| \leq ||p_m(v)||$ . It will therefore suffice to show that the operators  $p_m$  converge strongly to zero. Equivalently, it will suffice to show that the intersection  $\bigcap_{m>0} V(m)$  is zero.

If  $m \leq n$ , let V(m, n) denote the subspace of V generated by  $g_i V$  for  $m \leq i \leq n$ . Let W denote the orthogonal complement of V(m, n-1) in V(m, n). The composite map

$$g_n V \to V(m,n) \to W$$

is surjective and A'-linear. It follows that  $W \leq g_n V \simeq f_n V$  as representations of A'. Applying this observation repeatedly, we obtain

$$V(m) \le \bigoplus_{i \ge m} f_i V$$

. Taking orthogonal complements, we obtain

$$\bigoplus_{i < m} f_i V = (\bigoplus_{i \ge m} f_i V)^{\perp} \le V(m)^{\perp}.$$

It follows that

$$(\bigcap_{m\geq 0}V(m))^{\perp}=\overline{\bigcup_{m\geq 0}V(m)^{\perp}}$$

is  $\geq \bigoplus_{i < m} f_i V$  for each m, and is therefore  $\geq \bigoplus f_i V = V$ . Since V is finite as a representation of A', this implies that  $(\bigcap_{m \geq 0} V(m))^{\perp} = V$ : that is,  $\bigcap_{m \geq 0} V(m) = 0$  as desired.  $\Box$