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Let $A$ be a factor. In the last lecture, we associated to $A$ a linearly ordered abelian group $R(A)$ : the collection $R(A)_{+}$of nonnegative elements of $R(A)$ can be identified with the set of isomorphism classes of finite representations of $A$. Our first goal in this lecture is to determine the possible structures on $R(A)$. There are three cases to consider:
(a) The group $R(A)$ is trivial: that is, $A$ has no nontrivial finite representations. In this case, we say that $R(A)$ is a type III factor.
(b) There exists a smallest positive element of $R(A)$. This element corresponds to a representation $V$. Let $W \subset V$ be a proper $A$-submodule. Since $V$ is finite, we must have $W<V$. Since $V$ is a least positive element of $R(A)$, we have $W \simeq 0$. This proves that $V$ is irreducible, so that the von Neumann algebra $A$ is type $I$.
(c) Suppose that $R(A)$ is nontrivial, but has no least positive element. Fix a positive element $V \in R(A)$. We define a map $\phi: R(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. Given $W \in R(A)$, let

$$
\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W}=\left\{\frac{p}{q}:(q>0) \wedge p V \leq q W\right\} \quad \mathbf{Q}_{<W}=\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W}=\left\{\frac{p}{q}:(q>0) \wedge p V<q W\right\}
$$

Since $V$ is positive, the Archimedean property of $R(A)$ implies that

$$
-n V<W<n V
$$

for $n$ sufficiently large. It follows that the sets $\mathbf{Q}_{<W}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W}$ are nonempty and bounded above. Since they differ by at most a single rational number, they have the same supremum, which we will denote by $\phi(W)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\phi(W) & =-\sup \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} \\
& =\inf (-1) \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} \\
& =\inf \left(\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Q}_{<-W}\right) \\
& =\sup \mathbf{Q}_{<-W} \\
& =\phi(-W) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\phi$ is monotone: if $W \leq W^{\prime}$, then $\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W^{\prime}}$ so that $\phi(W) \leq \phi\left(W^{\prime}\right)$. We next show that $\phi$ is a group homomorphism. Let $W, W^{\prime} \in R(A)$. If $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W}$ and $\frac{p^{\prime}}{q^{\prime}} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W^{\prime}}$, then we have

$$
p V \leq q W \quad p^{\prime} V \leq q^{\prime} W^{\prime}
$$

so

$$
\begin{gathered}
p q^{\prime} V \leq q q^{\prime} W \quad p^{\prime} q V \leq q q^{\prime} W^{\prime} \\
\left(p q^{\prime}+p^{\prime} q\right) V \leq q q^{\prime}\left(W+W^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\frac{p}{q}+\frac{p^{\prime}}{q^{\prime}} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W+W^{\prime}}
$$

This proves that $\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W}+\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W^{\prime}} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W+W^{\prime}}$ so that $\phi(W)+\phi\left(W^{\prime}\right) \leq \phi\left(W+W^{\prime}\right)$. The reverse inequality then follows by applying the same arguments to $-W$ and $-W^{\prime}$.
We now claim that $\phi$ is injective. Assume otherwise; then there exists a positive element $W \in R(A)$ such that $\phi(W)=0$. Using the Archimedean property, we deduce that there exists an integer $n$ such that $V<n W$. Then $\frac{1}{n} \in \mathbf{Q}_{W}$, contradicting the assumption that $\phi(W)=0$.
It remains to prove that $\phi$ is surjective. Let us denote the image of $\phi$ by $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We wish to show that $K=\mathbb{R}$. Since $K$ is a nontrivial subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ with no least element, it is dense in $\mathbb{R}$. It will therefore suffice to show that $K$ is closed. Let $t \in \bar{K}$; we wish to show that $x \in K$. We can write $t$ as the limit of a sequence of elements $t_{0}=t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots \in K$ which is either increasing or decreasing; we will assume without loss of generality that the sequence is increasing. Then we can write $t_{i+1}-t_{i}=\phi\left(W_{i}\right)$ for some finite representations $W_{i}$ of $A$. We will show that $W=\bigoplus W_{i}$ is a finite representation of $A$ and that $x=t_{0}+\phi(W)$ belongs to $K$. To prove the second claim, it will suffice to show that $t_{0}+\phi(W) \geq r$ for every element $r \in K$ such that $r \geq x$. Writing $r-t_{0}=\phi(U)$, we are reduced to proving that $W \leq U$ (which simultaneously proves the finiteness of $W$ ).
Note that we have $\sum \phi\left(W_{i}\right) \leq \phi(U)$. In particular $\phi\left(W_{0}\right) \leq \phi(U)$, so there exists an embedding $f_{0}: W_{0} \hookrightarrow U$. Denote its orthogonal complement by $U_{1}$; then $\phi\left(W_{0}\right)+\phi\left(W_{1}\right) \leq \phi(U)$ implies that $W_{1} \leq U_{1}$ so we can choose an embedding $f_{1}: W_{1} \hookrightarrow U_{1} \subseteq U$. Proceeding in this way, we obtain a collection of embeddings $f_{i}: W_{i} \rightarrow U$ with mutually disjoint images, which gives an isometric embedding $\bigoplus W_{i} \hookrightarrow U$.

We say that a factor $A$ is type $I I$ if the third case occurs: that is, if $A$ has finite representations but no irreducible representations.

Definition 1. Let $A \subseteq B(V)$ be a von Neumann algebra with commutant $A^{\prime}$. We will say that $A$ is finite if $V$ is finite when regarded as an $A^{\prime}$-module.

Remark 2. In the situation of Definition 1, there is a bijective correspondence between closed $A^{\prime}$-submodules of $V$ and projections in $A$. Moreover, if $e \in A$ is a projection, then an isomorphism of $V$ with $e V$ (as $A^{\prime}$ modules) can be identified with an operator $u \in A$ satisfying $u u^{*}=e, u^{*} u=1$. Note that the second condition implies that

$$
\left(u u^{*}\right)\left(u u^{*}=u u^{*},\right.
$$

so that $u u^{*}$ is automatically a projection. It follows that $A$ is finite if and only if the following condition is satisfied:
(*) For every element $u \in A$ satisfying $u^{*} u=1$, we have $u^{*} u=1$.
In particular, this condition is intrinsic to $A$ : it does not depend on the embedding $A \subseteq B(V)$.
We now study a mechanism for proving that a von Neumann algebra is finite.
Proposition 3. Let $A$ be a von Neumann algebra and let $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a state. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) For every $x, y \in A$, we have $\phi(x y)=\phi(y x)$.
(2) For every Hermitian element $h \in A$ and every unitary element $u \in A$, we have $\phi\left(u h u^{-1}\right)=\phi(h)$.

Proof. To show that $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$, take $x=u h$ and $y=u^{-1}$. For the converse, suppose that (2) is satisfied. Then every element $h \in A$ satisfies $\phi\left(u h u^{-1}\right)=\phi(h)$ (since the Hermitian elements generate $A$ as a $\mathbf{C}$-vector space). Taking $h=x u$, we obtain $\phi(u x)=\phi(x u)$ for each $x \in A$ and each unitary element $u \in A$. To prove (1), it suffices to show that $A$ is the $\mathbf{C}$-linear span of its unitary elements. It suffices to prove that every

Hermitian element $y \in A$ belongs to this span. Replacing $A$ by the abelian von Neumann algebra generated by $y$, we can reduce to the case where $A=L^{\infty}(X)$, in which case the desired result follows from elementary considerations.

Definition 4. Let $A$ be a von Neumann algebra and let $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a state. We say that $\phi$ is tracial if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of 3 . In this case, we also say that $\phi$ is a finite trace. We say that $\phi$ is faithful if, for every positive element $x \in A$, either $x=0$ or $\phi(x)>0$.

Proposition 5. Let $A$ be a von Neumann algebra. If $A$ admits a faithful finite trace, then $A$ is finite.
Proof. Let $u \in A$ be a partial isometry satisfying $u^{*} u=1$; we wish to show that $u u^{*}=1$. Write $e=u u^{*}$. Then $e$ is a projection, and we have $\phi(e)=\phi\left(u u^{*}\right)=\phi\left(u^{*} u\right)=\phi(1)$. Thus $\phi(1-e)=0$. Since $1-e$ is positive and $\phi$ is faithful, this implies that $1-e=0$, so that $e=u u^{*}=1$ as desired.

We have the following converse:
Theorem 6. Let $A$ be a finite von Neumann algebra. Then $A$ can be written as a (von Neumann algebra) product $\prod A_{\alpha}$, where each $A_{\alpha}$ admits a faithful finite trace which is ultraweakly continuous.

Remark 7. From the characterization given in Remark 2, it is easy to see that a product of finite von Neumann algebras is itself finite. Thus the criterion of Theorem 6 is both necessary and sufficient.

Remark 8. If $A$ is a factor, then one can prove that every tracial state is automatically ultraweakly continuous. We will not use this fact.

Here is a rough idea of why Theorem 6 should be true. Assume for simplicity that $A \subseteq B(V)$ is a factor, so that $V$ is finite when regarded as a representation of $A^{\prime}$. There is a unique order-preserving isomorphism $\rho: R\left(A^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho(V)=1$. We can think of $\rho$ as a function which assigns a "dimension" to each finite representation of $A^{\prime}$. In particular, if $e \in A$ is a projection, then $e V$ is a closed $A^{\prime}$-submodule of $V$, hence finite as a representation of $A^{\prime}$. It therefore has a well-defined dimension $\rho(e A)$. We would like to define a tracial state $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by the formula

$$
\phi(e)=\rho(e A)
$$

Unfortunately, this formula only makes sense when $e$ is a projection: to get a state, we need to define $\phi$ on arbitrary elements of $A$. However, since $A$ is generated by its projections, any (ultraweakly continuous) state $\phi$ is determined by its restriction to the projections. We might then hope to show that the above prescription extends uniquely to a state $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We postpone giving a real proof for the moment; we will return to the matter next week.

Let's explore some of the consequences of having a faithful finite trace. Recall that for any state $\phi: A \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{C}$, we can associate an inner product on $A$, given by $(x, y)=\phi\left(y^{*} x\right)$. We then have

$$
(z x, y)=\phi\left(y^{*} z x\right)=\phi\left(\left(z^{*} y\right)^{*} x\right)=\left(x, z^{*} y\right)
$$

In other words, the action of $A$ on itself by left multiplication is a $*$-homomorphism. However, it is not at all obvious that the same is true for right multiplication: we have

$$
(x z, y)=\phi\left(y^{*} x z\right) \quad\left(x, y z^{*}\right)=\phi\left(z y^{*} x\right)
$$

To say that these expressions are the same (for all $x, y$, and $z$ ) is to say that the right action of $A$ on itself is via $*$-homomorphisms. If we let $V_{\phi}$ denote the Hilbert space completion of $A$ with respect to the inner product (, ), this implies that the right action of $A$ on itself extends to a right action of $A$ on $V_{\phi}$ (note that if $z \in A$ has norm $\leq 1$, then we can write $1=z^{*} z+z^{\prime *} z^{\prime}$ for some $z^{\prime} \in A$. If we let $r_{z}$ and $r_{z^{\prime}}$ denote right multiplication by $z$ and $z^{\prime}$, we get $r_{z}^{*} r_{z}+r_{z^{\prime}}^{*} r_{z}=1$, which forces $r_{z}$ to have operator norm $\leq 1$ ).

Proposition 9. Let $A$ be a von Neumann algebra, let $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a faithful finite trace whichi is ultraweakly continuous, and let $V_{\phi}$ denote the Hilbert space associated to $\phi$. The left action of $A$ on itself induces an embedding $\rho: A \hookrightarrow B\left(V_{\phi}\right)$. Let $A^{\prime}$ denote its commutant. Then the right action of $A$ on $V_{\phi}$ induces an isomorphism $\rho^{\prime}: A^{o p} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$.

We will give the proof of this (and deduce some consequences) in the next lecture.

