## Math 261y: von Neumann Algebras (Lecture 22)

## October 24, 2011

Fix a von Neumann algebra A. In this lecture, we will study the category Rep(A) of (ultraweakly continuous) representations of A. Given a pair of representations V and W, we will write  $V \leq W$  if V appears as an (orthogonal) direct summand of W. This relation is evidently reflexive and transitive. It also enjoys the following asymmetry property:

**Proposition 1.** Let V and W be representations of a von Neumann algebra A. If  $V \leq W$  and  $W \leq V$ , then  $V \simeq W$ .

*Proof.* Since  $W \leq V$ , we can identify W with a closed subspace of V. The assumption that  $V \leq W$  implies that there exists an isometric A-linear embedding  $f: V \to W$ . Set  $V_0 = V$ ,  $V_1 = W$ , and  $V_i = f(V_{i-2})$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Then

$$V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq \cdots$$

Let  $U_i$  denote the orthogonal complement of  $V_{i+1}$  in  $V_i$ , so that the  $U_i$  are mutually orthogonal subspaces of V. Let  $V_{\infty} = \bigcap V_i$ , so that  $V_{\infty}$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\bigoplus U_i$ . Then we can recover V as the direct sum of  $V_{\infty}$  with  $\bigoplus_{i\geq 0} U_i$ , and W as the direct sum of  $V_{\infty}$  with  $\bigoplus_{i\geq 1} U_i$ . It will therefore suffice to show that

$$\bigoplus_{i\geq 0} U_i \simeq \bigoplus_{i\geq 1} U_i$$

This is clear, since the map f induces isomorphisms  $U_i \to U_{i+2}$  for each i.

By virtue of Proposition 1, we can regard the collection of isomorphism classes of representations of A as partially ordered via  $\leq$ .

**Remark 2.** Let V and W be representations of a von Neumann algebra A. Suppose there exists a bounded A-linear map  $f: V \to W$  which is injective (but not necessarily an isometry). We can then endow V with a new inner product, given by the inner product on W. This inner product has the form (v, Hw) for some positive operator  $H \in B(V)$ . Since f commutes with the action of A, the operator H commutes with the action of A. It follows that f factors as a composition

$$V \stackrel{\sqrt{H}}{\to} V \stackrel{u}{\to} W$$

where u is an isometry, so that  $V \leq W$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let A be a von Neumann algebra. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is a factor.
- (2) Every nonzero representation of A is faithful.
- (3) The partial ordering  $\leq$  on isomorphism classes of objects of Rep(A) is a linear ordering.

*Proof.* If V is a nonzero representation of A, then the kernel of the map  $A \to B(V)$  is an ultraweakly closed two-sided ideal of A, hence of the form eA for some central projection  $e \in A$ . If A is a factor, we conclude that either e = 0 (in which case V is faithful) or e = 1 (in which case V = 0). This proves that  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ .

We next show that  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Suppose that A is not a factor. Then there exists a central projection  $e \in A$  such that  $e \notin \{0,1\}$ . Let V be a faithful representation of A, so that V factors as an orthogonal direct sum  $eV \oplus (1-e)V$ . Since e vanishes on (1-e)V and acts by the identity on eV, we cannot have  $eV \leq (1-e)V$  or  $(1-e)V \leq eV$ .

We complete the proof by showing that  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Let V and W be representations of A. Let X be the set of all triples  $(V_0, W_0, \phi)$  where  $V_0$  is a closed submodule of V,  $W_0$  is a closed submodule of W, and  $\phi$  is an isometric A-linear isomorphism of  $V_0$  with  $W_0$ . Let us say that a pair of elements  $(V_0, W_0, \phi)$  and  $(V_1, W_1, \psi)$  are orthogonal if  $V_0 \perp V_1$  and  $W_0 \perp W_1$ . Using Zorn's lemma, we deduce that there exists a maximal collection of mutually orthogonal elements  $S = \{(V_\alpha, W_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)\}$  of X. Let V' denote the orthogonal complement of the sum  $\bigoplus V_\alpha \subseteq V$  and W' the orthogonal complement of the sum  $\bigoplus W_\alpha \subseteq W$ . If V' = 0, then the maps  $\phi_\alpha$  determine an isometric embedding of V into W, so that  $V \leq W$ . Similarly, if W' = 0, then  $W \leq V$ . Let us therefore assume that  $V', W' \neq 0$ . Assumption (2) implies that V' is a faithful representation of A. It follows that every representation of A (and in particular W') can be written as a direct summand of a direct sum of copies of V'. In particular, there exists a nonzero map  $\rho : V' \to W'$ , hence an injection  $\ker(\rho)^{\perp} \hookrightarrow W'$ . Using Remark 2 we obtain  $\ker(\rho)^{\perp} \leq W'$ , so there exists a submodule  $W'_0 \subseteq W$  and an isomorphism  $\phi : \ker(\rho)^{\perp} \to W'_0$ . This contradicts the maximality of S.

**Definition 4.** Let A be a von Neumann algebra and let V be a representation of A. We will say that V is *irreducible* if  $V \neq 0$  and for every closed A-submodule  $W \subseteq V$ , we have either W = 0 or W = V. We will say that V is *finite* if, for every closed A-submodule  $W \subseteq V$  which is isometrically isomorphic to V (as a representation of A), we have W = V.

Warning 5. The terminology of Definition 4 may be nonstandard. The standard terminology is to refer to a projection  $p \in A \subseteq B(V)$  as *finite* if the subspace  $pV \subseteq V$  is finite when regarded as a representation of A'.

Let V be a representation of A, and let A' denote the commutant of the image of A in B(V). Then there is a bijective correspondence between projections in A' and closed A-submodules of V. Since A' is generated by the projections contained in A', we see that V is irreducible if and only if  $A' \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . From this we conclude:

**Proposition 6.** Let A be a von Neumann algebra. Then A is a type I factor if and only if A has a faithful irreducible representation. If A is a factor, then A is type I if and only if it has an irreducible representation.

Let us now study the class of finite representations.

**Example 7.** Let A be a type I factor. Then Rep(A) is equivalent to the category of Hilbert spaces. It follows that a representation V of A is finite if and only if it can be written as a direct sum of finitely many irreducible representations of A.

**Proposition 8.** Let A be a von Neumann algebra and let V be a representation of A. If V is finite, then any closed A-submodule of V is finite.

*Proof.* Let  $W \subseteq V$  be a closed A-submodule and suppose that W is isomorphic to W', for some closed A-submodule  $W' \subseteq W$ . Then  $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$  is isomorphic to  $W' \oplus W^{\perp}$ . Since V is finite, we conclude that  $V = W' \oplus W^{\perp}$ , so that W' = W.

**Proposition 9.** Let A be a factor, and let V and W be finite representations of A. Then the direct sum  $V \oplus W$  is finite.

**Lemma 10.** Let A be a von Neumann algebra and let V be a representation of A. If V is infinite, then there exists a pair of closed A-submodules  $U, U' \subseteq A$  which are infinite and orthogonal to one another.

*Proof.* Since V is infinite, there exists an A-linear isometry f from V to a proper submodule of itself. For  $n \ge 0$ , set  $V_n = f^n(V)$ , so that

$$V = V_0 \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \cdots$$

Let  $W_i$  denote the orthogonal complement of  $V_{i+1}$  in  $V_i$ . Then f induces isometric isomorphisms  $W_i \to W_{i+1}$ . Take  $U = \bigoplus_k W_{2k}$  and  $U' = \bigoplus_k W_{2k+1}$ . Then  $f^2$  induces isomorphisms from U and U' to proper submodules of themselves (so that U and U' are infinite).

Proof of Proposition 9. Assume that V and W are finite, and suppose that  $V \oplus W$  is infinite. Then there exist closed A-submodules  $U, U' \subseteq V \oplus W$  which are orthogonal and infinite. According to Proposition 3, we have either  $V \cap U \leq W \cap U'$  or  $W \cap U' \leq V \cap U$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $V \cap U \leq W \cap U'$ . Then

$$U = (V \cap U) \oplus (U \cap (U \cap V)^{\perp}).$$

Let W' denote the image of  $U \cap (U \cap V)^{\perp}$  in W, so that  $U \cap ((U \cap V)^{\perp} \leq W')$  by virtue of Remark 2. We therefore get

$$U \le (W \cap U') \oplus W'.$$

Since U' is orthogonal to  $U, W \cap U'$  is orthogonal to W', so the right hand side can be identified with a closed subspace of W. Since W is finite, Proposition 8 implies that U is finite, contradicting our assumption that U is infinite.

Let A be a factor. We let  $R_+(A)$  denote the collection of all isomorphism classes of finite representations of A. The construction

$$V, W \mapsto V \oplus W$$

endows  $R_+(A)$  with the structure of a commutative monoid under addition.

**Proposition 11.** Let A be a factor. Then the monoid  $R_+(A)$  is cancellative. That is, U, V, and W are finite representations of A and  $U \oplus W \simeq V \oplus W$ , then  $U \simeq V$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $U \leq V$ , so that  $V \simeq K \oplus U$  for some  $K \in \text{Rep}(A)$ . Then

$$V \oplus W \simeq K \oplus U \oplus W \simeq K \oplus (V \oplus W).$$

Since  $V \oplus W$  is finite (Proposition 9), we conclude that  $K \simeq 0$ , so that  $U \simeq V$ .

If A is a factor, let R(A) denote the group obtained from  $R_+(A)$  by formally adjoining inverses. It follows from Proposition 11 that the map  $R_+(A) \to R(A)$  is injective. We will identify R(A) with its image in  $R_+(A)$ .

**Proposition 12.** Let A be a factor. Then  $R(A) = R_+(A) \cup -R_+(A)$ . Moreover, the intersection of  $R_+(A)$  with  $-R_+(A)$  consists only of the zero element (corresponding to the trivial representation of A). Consequently, R(A) inherits the structure of a linearly ordered abelian group A, where  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in R_+(A)$ .

*Proof.* If  $x, y \in R_+(A)$  with x + y = 0, then x and y are both zero (since a direct sum of representations is zero if and only if each summand is zero). Note that an arbitrary element of R(A) can be written as a difference x - y, where  $x, y \in R_+(A)$ . Then x and y are equivalence classes of representations  $V, W \in \text{Rep}(A)$ . We have either  $V \leq W$  or  $W \leq V$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $W \leq V$ . Then  $V \simeq W \oplus W'$ , so x = y + y' in  $R_+(A)$  and therefore  $x - y = y' \in R_+(A)$ .

**Remark 13.** When restricted to the subset  $R_+(A) \subseteq R(A)$ , the linear ordering of Proposition 12 agrees with the linear ordering on representations introduced at the beginning of this lecture.

**Proposition 14.** Let A be a factor. Then the linearly ordered abelian group R(A) is Archimedean. That is, if x and y are positive elements of R(A), then x < ny for  $n \gg 0$ .

Proof. The elements x and y correspond to isomorphism classes of finite representations  $V, W \in \operatorname{Rep}(A)$ . Suppose for a contradiction that we have  $ny \leq x$  for every integer n. Taking n = 1, we obtain an isometric embedding  $f_1 : V \to W$ . Let  $W_1$  be the orthogonal complement of the image of  $f_1$ . Then  $W_1$  represents  $x - y \in R(A)$ . Taking n = 2, we get  $y \leq x - y$ , so there exists an isometric embedding  $f_2 : V \to W_1 \subseteq W$ Continuing in this way, we obtain an infinite collection of isometric embeddings  $f_i : V \to W$  with mutually orthogonal images. It follows that  $V^{\oplus \infty}$  appears as a direct summand of W. Since  $V \neq 0$ ,  $V^{\oplus \infty}$  is infinite, so that W is infinite, contrary to our assumptions.  $\Box$ 

Recall that if G is a linearly ordered abelian group satisfying the Archimedean property, then there exists an order-preserving embedding  $G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, we obtain an embedding  $R(A) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

We will prove the following result in the next lecture:

**Proposition 15.** Let A be a factor. Then exactly one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) The group R(A) is isomorphic (as a linearly ordered group) to **Z**.
- (b) The group R(A) is isomorphic (as a linearly ordered group) to  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (c) The group R(A) is trivial.

**Remark 16.** The group R(A) is isomorphic to **Z** if and only if there is a smallest positive element of R(A). The existence of such an element is equivalent to the existence of an irreducible representation of A. Consequently, case (a) of Proposition 15 applies precisely when A is a type I factor.

**Definition 17.** Let A be a factor. We say that A is type II if case (b) of Proposition 15 holds, and type III if case (c) of Proposition 15 holds. That is, A is type II if it has finite representations but no irreducible representations, and type III if it has no finite representations.