

# Math 261y: von Neumann Algebras (Lecture 23)

October 26, 2011

Let  $A$  be a factor. In the last lecture, we associated to  $A$  a linearly ordered abelian group  $R(A)$ : the collection  $R(A)_+$  of nonnegative elements of  $R(A)$  can be identified with the set of isomorphism classes of finite representations of  $A$ . Our first goal in this lecture is to determine the possible structures on  $R(A)$ . There are three cases to consider:

- (a) The group  $R(A)$  is trivial: that is,  $A$  has no nontrivial finite representations. In this case, we say that  $R(A)$  is a *type III factor*.
- (b) There exists a smallest positive element of  $R(A)$ . This element corresponds to a representation  $V$ . Let  $W \subset V$  be a proper  $A$ -submodule. Since  $V$  is finite, we must have  $W < V$ . Since  $V$  is a least positive element of  $R(A)$ , we have  $W \simeq 0$ . This proves that  $V$  is irreducible, so that the von Neumann algebra  $A$  is type  $I$ .
- (c) Suppose that  $R(A)$  is nontrivial, but has no least positive element. Fix a positive element  $V \in R(A)$ . We define a map  $\phi : R(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows. Given  $W \in R(A)$ , let

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} : (q > 0) \wedge pV \leq qW \right\} \quad \mathbf{Q}_{< W} = \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} : (q > 0) \wedge pV < qW \right\}.$$

Since  $V$  is positive, the Archimedean property of  $R(A)$  implies that

$$-nV < W < nV$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. It follows that the sets  $\mathbf{Q}_{< W}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W}$  are nonempty and bounded above. Since they differ by at most a single rational number, they have the same supremum, which we will denote by  $\phi(W)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} -\phi(W) &= -\sup \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} \\ &= \inf(-1) \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} \\ &= \inf(\mathbf{Q} / \mathbf{Q}_{< -W}) \\ &= \sup \mathbf{Q}_{< -W} \\ &= \phi(-W). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\phi$  is monotone: if  $W \leq W'$ , then  $\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W'}$ , so that  $\phi(W) \leq \phi(W')$ . We next show that  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism. Let  $W, W' \in R(A)$ . If  $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W}$  and  $\frac{p'}{q'} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W'}$ , then we have

$$pV \leq qW \quad p'V \leq q'W'$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} pq'V &\leq qq'W & p'qV &\leq qq'W' \\ (pq' + p'q)V &\leq qq'(W + W') \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{p}{q} + \frac{p'}{q'} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W+W'}.$$

This proves that  $\mathbf{Q}_{\leq W} + \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W'} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_{\leq W+W'}$ , so that  $\phi(W) + \phi(W') \leq \phi(W+W')$ . The reverse inequality then follows by applying the same arguments to  $-W$  and  $-W'$ .

We now claim that  $\phi$  is injective. Assume otherwise; then there exists a positive element  $W \in R(A)$  such that  $\phi(W) = 0$ . Using the Archimedean property, we deduce that there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $V < nW$ . Then  $\frac{1}{n} \in \mathbf{Q}_W$ , contradicting the assumption that  $\phi(W) = 0$ .

It remains to prove that  $\phi$  is surjective. Let us denote the image of  $\phi$  by  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We wish to show that  $K = \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $K$  is a nontrivial subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  with no least element, it is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . It will therefore suffice to show that  $K$  is closed. Let  $t \in \overline{K}$ ; we wish to show that  $t \in K$ . We can write  $t$  as the limit of a sequence of elements  $t_0 = t_1, t_2, \dots \in K$  which is either increasing or decreasing; we will assume without loss of generality that the sequence is increasing. Then we can write  $t_{i+1} - t_i = \phi(W_i)$  for some finite representations  $W_i$  of  $A$ . We will show that  $W = \bigoplus W_i$  is a finite representation of  $A$  and that  $x = t_0 + \phi(W)$  belongs to  $K$ . To prove the second claim, it will suffice to show that  $t_0 + \phi(W) \geq r$  for every element  $r \in K$  such that  $r \geq x$ . Writing  $r - t_0 = \phi(U)$ , we are reduced to proving that  $W \leq U$  (which simultaneously proves the finiteness of  $W$ ).

Note that we have  $\sum \phi(W_i) \leq \phi(U)$ . In particular  $\phi(W_0) \leq \phi(U)$ , so there exists an embedding  $f_0 : W_0 \hookrightarrow U$ . Denote its orthogonal complement by  $U_1$ ; then  $\phi(W_0) + \phi(W_1) \leq \phi(U)$  implies that  $W_1 \leq U_1$  so we can choose an embedding  $f_1 : W_1 \hookrightarrow U_1 \subseteq U$ . Proceeding in this way, we obtain a collection of embeddings  $f_i : W_i \rightarrow U$  with mutually disjoint images, which gives an isometric embedding  $\bigoplus W_i \hookrightarrow U$ .

We say that a factor  $A$  is *type II* if the third case occurs: that is, if  $A$  has finite representations but no irreducible representations.

**Definition 1.** Let  $A \subseteq B(V)$  be a von Neumann algebra with commutant  $A'$ . We will say that  $A$  is *finite* if  $V$  is finite when regarded as an  $A'$ -module.

**Remark 2.** In the situation of Definition 1, there is a bijective correspondence between closed  $A'$ -submodules of  $V$  and projections in  $A$ . Moreover, if  $e \in A$  is a projection, then an isomorphism of  $V$  with  $eV$  (as  $A'$ -modules) can be identified with an operator  $u \in A$  satisfying  $uu^* = e$ ,  $u^*u = 1$ . It follows that  $A$  is finite if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

(\*) For every partial isometry  $u \in A$  satisfying  $u^*u = 1$ , we have  $u^*u = 1$ .

In particular, this condition is intrinsic to  $A$ : it does not depend on the embedding  $A \subseteq B(V)$ .

We now study a mechanism for proving that a von Neumann algebra is finite.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a state. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *For every  $x, y \in A$ , we have  $\phi(xy) = \phi(yx)$ .*

(2) *For every Hermitian element  $h \in A$  and every unitary element  $u \in A$ , we have  $\phi(uhu^{-1}) = \phi(h)$ .*

*Proof.* To show that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), take  $x = uh$  and  $y = u^{-1}$ . For the converse, suppose that (2) is satisfied. Then every element  $h \in A$  satisfies  $\phi(uhu^{-1}) = \phi(h)$  (since the Hermitian elements generate  $A$  as a  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space). Taking  $h = xu$ , we obtain  $\phi(ux) = \phi(xu)$  for each  $x \in A$  and each unitary element  $u \in A$ . To prove (1), it suffices to show that  $A$  is the  $\mathbf{C}$ -linear span of its unitary elements. It suffices to prove that every Hermitian element  $y \in A$  belongs to this span. Replacing  $A$  by the abelian von Neumann algebra generated by  $y$ , we can reduce to the case where  $A = L^\infty(X)$ , in which case the desired result follows from elementary considerations.  $\square$

**Definition 4.** Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra and let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a state. We say that  $\phi$  is *tracial* if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of 3. In this case, we also say that  $\phi$  is a *finite trace*. We say that  $\phi$  is *faithful* if, for every positive element  $x \in A$ , either  $x = 0$  or  $\phi(x) > 0$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra. If  $A$  admits a faithful finite trace, then  $A$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in A$  be a partial isometry satisfying  $u^*u = 1$ ; we wish to show that  $uu^* = 1$ . Write  $e = uu^*$ . Then  $e$  is a projection, and we have  $\phi(e) = \phi(uu^*) = \phi(u^*u) = \phi(1)$ . Thus  $\phi(1 - e) = 0$ . Since  $1 - e$  is positive and  $\phi$  is faithful, this implies that  $1 - e = 0$ , so that  $e = uu^* = 1$  as desired.  $\square$

We have the following converse:

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $A$  be a finite von Neumann algebra. Then  $A$  can be written as a (von Neumann algebra) product  $\prod A_\alpha$ , where each  $A_\alpha$  admits a faithful finite trace which is ultraweakly continuous.*

**Remark 7.** From the characterization given in Remark 2, it is easy to see that a product of finite von Neumann algebras is itself finite. Thus the criterion of Theorem 6 is both necessary and sufficient.

**Remark 8.** If  $A$  is a factor, then one can prove that every tracial state is automatically ultraweakly continuous. We will not use this fact.

Here is a rough idea of why Theorem 6 should be true. Assume for simplicity that  $A \subseteq B(V)$  is a factor, so that  $V$  is finite when regarded as a representation of  $A'$ . There is a unique order-preserving isomorphism  $\rho : R(A') \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\rho(V) = 1$ . We can think of  $\rho$  as a function which assigns a “dimension” to each finite representation of  $A'$ . In particular, if  $e \in A$  is a projection, then  $eV$  is a closed  $A'$ -submodule of  $V$ , hence finite as a representation of  $A'$ . It therefore has a well-defined dimension  $\rho(eA)$ . We would like to define a tracial state  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  by the formula

$$\phi(e) = \rho(eA).$$

Unfortunately, this formula only makes sense when  $e$  is a projection: to get a state, we need to define  $\phi$  on arbitrary elements of  $A$ . However, since  $A$  is generated by its projections, any (ultraweakly continuous) state  $\phi$  is determined by its restriction to the projections. We might then hope to show that the above prescription extends uniquely to a state  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . We postpone giving a real proof for the moment; we will return to the matter next week.

Let’s explore some of the consequences of having a faithful finite trace. Recall that for any state  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , we can associate an inner product on  $A$ , given by  $(x, y) = \phi(y^*x)$ . We then have

$$(zx, y) = \phi(y^*zx) = \phi((z^*y)^*x) = (x, z^*y).$$

In other words, the action of  $A$  on itself by left multiplication is a  $*$ -homomorphism. However, it is not at all obvious that the same is true for right multiplication: we have

$$(xz, y) = \phi(y^*xz) \quad (x, yz^*) = \phi(zy^*x).$$

To say that these expressions are the same (for all  $x, y$ , and  $z$ ) is to say that the right action of  $A$  on itself is via  $*$ -homomorphisms. If we let  $V_\phi$  denote the Hilbert space completion of  $A$  with respect to the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , this implies that the right action of  $A$  on itself extends to a right action of  $A$  on  $V_\phi$  (note that if  $z \in A$  has norm  $\leq 1$ , then we can write  $1 = z^*z + z'^*z'$  for some  $z' \in A$ . If we let  $r_z$  and  $r_{z'}$  denote right multiplication by  $z$  and  $z'$ , we get  $r_z^*r_z + r_{z'}^*r_{z'} = 1$ , which forces  $r_z$  to have operator norm  $\leq 1$ ).

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra, let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a faithful finite trace which is ultraweakly continuous, and let  $V_\phi$  denote the Hilbert space associated to  $\phi$ . The left action of  $A$  on itself induces an embedding  $\rho : A \hookrightarrow B(V_\phi)$ . Let  $A'$  denote its commutant. Then the right action of  $A$  on  $V_\phi$  induces an isomorphism  $\rho' : A^{op} \rightarrow A'$ .*

We will give the proof of this (and deduce some consequences) in the next lecture.