

# Lecture 24-Lubin-Tate Formal Groups

November 30, 2018

Throughout this lecture, we fix an algebraically closed perfectoid field  $C^b$  of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $E$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , so that  $\mathbf{Q}_p \subseteq E_0 \subseteq E$  where  $\mathbf{Q}_p \hookrightarrow E_0$  is an unramified extension of degree  $d$  and  $E_0 \hookrightarrow E$  is a totally ramified extension of degree  $e$ . Set  $q = p^d$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_E$  denote the ring of integers of  $E$  and let  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_E$  be a uniformizer. Let  $F(u, v)$  be the Lubin-Tate formal group law associated to the polynomial  $f(t) = \pi t + t^q$  and let  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}$  denote the associated formal group. That is,  $F$  is the unique formal  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module satisfying

$$[\pi](t) = \pi t + t^q.$$

In the previous lecture, we viewed the formal group  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}$  as a functor

$$\{\text{Commutative } \mathcal{O}_E\text{-algebras}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Abelian groups}\}$$

given by  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A) = \{\text{Nilpotent elements of } A\}$  (with group structure given by  $(u, v) \mapsto F(u, v)$ ). In this lecture, it will be convenient to consider a more general construction.

**Notation 1.** Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra which is complete with respect to an ideal  $I$ , which we view as a *topological* commutative ring by endowing it with the  $I$ -adic topology. We then define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A) &= \varprojlim \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^n) \\ &= \{\text{Topologically nilpotent elements } x \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Beware that there is some ambiguity in our notation: the definition of  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A)$  depends on whether we view  $A$  as a discrete  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra (in which case it consists only of nilpotent elements of  $A$ ) or as a topological  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra (in which case it consists of the topologically nilpotent elements of  $A$ ; in particular, it includes the ideal  $I$ ). Hopefully our usage will be clear in context.

**Variant 2.** Let  $A$  be a  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra which is complete with respect to an ideal  $I$ . We define  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A)$  by the formula

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A) = \varprojlim (\cdots \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A)).$$

We refer to the functor  $A \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A)$  as the *universal cover* of the Lubin-Tate formal group  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}$ .

**Remark 3.** In the situation of Variant 2, the universal cover  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}$  can also be defined as the inverse limit of the tower

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A) = \varprojlim (\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A))$$

(since  $\pi^e$  is a unit multiple of  $p$  in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_E$ ).

**Example 4.** Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field containing  $E$  which is complete with respect to an absolute value  $|\bullet|_K$  compatible with the valuation on  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . Then, as a set, we can identify  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  with the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_K$  of the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Under this identification, multiplication by  $\pi$  is given by  $t \mapsto \pi t + t^q$ . Since  $K$  is algebraically closed, this map is surjection, and every element of  $\mathfrak{m}_K$  has exactly  $q$

preimages. In other words, the map  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is a surjection of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -modules whose kernel has order  $q$ , and must therefore be isomorphic to the residue field  $\mathcal{O}_E/(\pi) \simeq \mathbf{F}_q$  as a module over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . It follows that the canonical map  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is surjective.

We can be a bit more precise: for each  $n \geq 0$ , the kernel of the map  $\pi^n : \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  has order  $q^n$ , but contains only  $q$  elements which are annihilated by  $\pi$ . It follows that this kernel must be isomorphic to the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_E/(\pi^n)$  as a module over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . Passing to the inverse limit, we deduce that the kernel of the surjection

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

is free of rank 1 as a  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module.

**Example 5.** In the situation of Notation 1, suppose that  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_E$  vanishes in  $A$  (so that  $A$  has characteristic  $p$ , since  $\pi^e$  is a unit multiple of  $p$ ). Then the polynomial  $[\pi](t) = \pi t + t^q$  induces the Frobenius map

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A) \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^q} \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A).$$

Consequently, if  $A$  is a *perfect*  $\mathcal{O}_E/(\pi)$ -algebra, multiplication by  $\pi$  induces a bijection from  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A)$  to itself. It follows that multiplication by  $p$  also induces a bijection of  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A)$  with itself (again, because  $p$  is a unit multiple of  $\pi^e$ ). In particular, the projection map  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A)$  is a bijection.

**Example 6.** In the situation of Notation 1, assume that the ideal  $I$  contains  $p$  (this will be the case in all the situations we care about). In this case, the canonical map

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A/I)$$

is bijective. To prove this, it will suffice to show that each of the maps

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^{n+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^n)$$

is an isomorphism. This follows from the observation that for  $u, v \in I^n$ , we have  $F(u, v) \equiv u + v \pmod{I^{2n}}$ , so that we have a short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow I^n/I^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^n) \rightarrow 0$$

where the first group is annihilated by  $p$ ; we therefore have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^{n+1}) \\ & & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^n) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^n) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(A/I^n), \end{array}$$

where the existence of the dotted arrows guarantees that the vertical maps induce an isomorphism after passing to the limit.

**Remark 7.** In the situation of Example 6, we do not need to divide out by the entire ideal  $I$ ; if  $J$  is an ideal contained in  $I$ , then the map

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A/J)$$

is an isomorphism (since both sides are isomorphic to  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(A/I)$ ).

**Example 8.** Consider the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E$ . Note that the inclusion  $E_0 \hookrightarrow E$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{E_0}/(p) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E/(\pi)$ , and therefore also an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{C^b} \simeq \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}/(p) \simeq (\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E)/(\pi).$$

We have a commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\flat}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\flat}). \end{array}$$

Here the upper horizontal map is an isomorphism by Remark 7 and the right vertical map is an isomorphism by Example 5. It follows that the reduction map

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\flat})$$

is a surjection: in fact, it has a canonical section, given by the composition

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\flat}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\flat}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E).$$

In the case where  $E = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}$  is the formal multiplicative group, this is the Teichmüller section

$$(x \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_C^{\flat}) \mapsto ([x] \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}}).$$

**Example 9.** Suppose we are given a point of  $Y_E^{\circ}$ , corresponding to an untilt  $(K, \iota)$  of  $C^{\flat}$  equipped with an  $E_0$ -algebra map  $E \rightarrow K$ . We then have a canonical surjection  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ , which induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K). \end{array}$$

Here the top horizontal map is an isomorphism (by Remark 7 again) and the right vertical map is surjective (Example 4). We therefore obtain a surjection

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\flat}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K),$$

whose kernel is free of rank 1 as a  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module.

In the special case where  $E = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}$  is the formal multiplicative group, this reduces to the map

$$1 + \mathfrak{m}_C^{\flat} \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{m}_K \quad x \mapsto x^{\sharp}.$$

**Construction 10.** Let  $\log_F$  denote the logarithm for the formal group law  $F$ , which we regard as a power series

$$\log_F(t) = t + \frac{c_2}{2}t^2 + \frac{c_3}{3}t^3 + \dots \in E[[t]].$$

We observed in the previous lecture that the coefficients  $c_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . Let  $x$  be any element belonging to the maximal ideal of the local ring  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E$ . We claim that the power series

$$\log_F(x) = x + \frac{c_2}{2}x^2 + \frac{c_3}{3}x^3 + \dots$$

converges in the ring  $B \otimes_{E_0} E = B + \pi B + \dots + \pi^{e-1}B$ . To prove this, we observe that each product  $c_n x^n$  can be written uniquely as a sum

$$c_n x^n = a_{n,0} + a_{n,1}\pi + \dots + a_{n,e-1}\pi^{e-1},$$

where each coefficient  $a_{n,i}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}$ ; we claim that the elements  $\frac{1}{n}a_{n,i}$  converge to 0 (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) with respect to each of the Gauss norms  $|\bullet|_\rho$  on  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}$ . To prove this, write  $x = x_0 + \pi y$ , where  $x_0$  belongs to the maximal ideal of  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}$ . Then, for  $n \geq e(m+1)$ , the element  $c_n x^n$  belongs to the ideal generated by the elements

$$x_0^{em}, px_0^{e(m-1)}, \dots, p^{m-1}x_0^e, p^m \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}.$$

It follows that each coefficient of  $c_n x^n$  (when written as a sum of powers of  $\pi$ ) has Gauss norm

$$\leq \max(|x_0^{em}|_\rho, |px_0^{e(m-1)}|_\rho, \dots, |p^m|_\rho) = \max|x_0|_\rho^{em}, \rho^m.$$

These norms decay exponentially as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , while the Gauss norms  $|\frac{1}{n}|_\rho$  grow linearly in  $n$ .

Construction 10 supplies a canonical map  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -linear map

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \mathcal{O}_E) \xrightarrow{\log_F} B \otimes_{E_0} E,$$

which is also equivariant with respect to the Frobenius endomorphism  $\varphi^d$ . Composing this map with the ‘‘Teichmüller section’’ of Example 8, we obtain a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -modules

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^b) \rightarrow B \otimes_{E_0} E$$

which is again equivariant with respect to the Frobenius  $\varphi^d$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_C^b$  is an algebra over  $\mathcal{O}_E/(\pi)$ , it follows from the construction of the Lubin-Tate formal group that the Frobenius map  $\varphi^d$  coincides with multiplication by  $\pi$  on the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^b)$ . It follows that the preceding construction gives a map

$$\log_F : \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^b) \rightarrow (B \otimes_{E_0} E)^{\varphi^d = \pi}.$$

This proves a weak form of the result promised in Lecture 22:

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $x$  be a closed point of  $X_E$ , corresponding to a subset  $S \subseteq Y_E^\circ$  which is an orbit for the action of  $\varphi^{d\mathbf{Z}}$ . Then there exists a nonzero element  $f \in (B \otimes_{E_0} E)^{\varphi^d = \pi}$  vanishing on  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a point  $y$  of  $S$ , corresponding to an untilt  $(K, \iota)$  of  $C^b$  equipped with an  $E_0$ -algebra map  $E \rightarrow K$ . Then Example 9 implies that the natural map

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_C^b) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{LT}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

is a surjection, whose kernel is a free  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module of rank 1. Let  $u$  be a generator of the kernel. We then take  $f = \log_F(u) \in (B \otimes_{E_0} E)^{\varphi^d = \pi}$ . By construction,  $f$  vanishes at the point  $y$  (and therefore on the entire orbit  $S$ ).  $\square$

To completely fulfill our promise from Lecture 22, we need to show that the function  $f$  of Theorem 11 vanishes simply at each point of the orbit  $S$ , and does not vanish on any other point of  $Y_E^\circ$ . This is actually automatic: we will prove this in the next lecture.