

Lecture 21: Covers of the Fargues-Fontaine Curve

November 21, 2018

Throughout this lecture, we fix an algebraically closed perfectoid field C^b of characteristic p . Let X denote the Fargues-Fontaine curve, given by

$$X = \text{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} B^{\varphi=p^n}\right).$$

Recall that if \mathcal{E} is a nonzero vector bundle on X , the slope of \mathcal{E} is defined by the formula

$$\text{slope}(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\deg(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

We say that \mathcal{E} is *semistable* if every nonzero subbundle $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ satisfies $\text{slope}(\mathcal{E}') \leq \text{slope}(\mathcal{E})$. Our first goal in this lecture is to prove the following:

Proposition 1. *Let $\lambda = \frac{d}{n}$ be a rational number (where d and n are integers with $n > 0$). Then there exists a semistable vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X having degree d and rank n (hence slope $\lambda = \frac{d}{n}$).*

Remark 2. The vector bundle \mathcal{E} appearing in the statement of Proposition 1 is unique up to isomorphism. We will return to this point in a future lecture.

In the case $n = 1$, Proposition 1 is trivial. Note that every line bundle \mathcal{L} on X is automatically semistable (since the only nonzero subbundle of \mathcal{L} is \mathcal{L} itself), so Proposition 1 merely asserts that for every integer d , there exists a line bundle of degree d . Here we are exploiting the fact that line bundles on X are easy to make: every divisor $D \subseteq X$ determines a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ on X . To produce vector bundles, we will need to work harder. One possible strategy is to look for a map of schemes

$$\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$$

which is finite and flat of degree n . In that case, for any line bundle \mathcal{L} on \tilde{X} , the direct image $\pi_*(\mathcal{L})$ will be a vector bundle of rank n on X . There is an obvious source of examples to consider.

Construction 3. Let E be a finite extension of the field \mathbf{Q}_p having degree n . We let X_E denote the fiber product $X \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbf{Q}_p)} \text{Spec}(E)$.

Let us enumerate some easy properties of this construction.

- Since E is a finite extension field of \mathbf{Q}_p , the map $\text{Spec}(E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is finite étale of degree n (in particular, it is finite flat of degree n). It follows that the projection map $\pi : X_E \rightarrow X$ is finite étale of degree n . In particular, X_E is also a Dedekind scheme.
- Since the unit map $\mathbf{Q}_p \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism, it follows that the unit map $E \rightarrow H^0(X_E, \mathcal{O}_{X_E})$ is also an isomorphism. In particular, $H^0(X_E, \mathcal{O}_{X_E})$ is a field, so the scheme X_E is connected.

- Let $U \subseteq X$ be a nonempty affine open subset. Then $X - U$ can be given as the vanishing locus of a homogeneous element $t \in \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} B^{\varphi=p^m}$ (which is nonzero of positive degree). In this case, we have seen that U can be described as the spectrum of the ring $B[\frac{1}{t}]^{\varphi=1}$. Setting $U_E = U \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbf{Q}_p)} E$, it follows that U_E can be described as the spectrum of the ring

$$B[\frac{1}{t}]^{\varphi=1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E \simeq (B[\frac{1}{t}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)^{\varphi=1}.$$

Here we extend the Frobenius automorphism $\varphi : B \rightarrow B$ to the tensor product $B \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ by letting it act trivially on the second factor.

- Let $x \in X$ be a closed point corresponding to an untilt K of C^b . Then the fiber product $X_E \times_X \{x\}$ can be identified with the spectrum of the tensor product $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} K$. Since K is algebraically closed, this tensor product just factors as a Cartesian product of n copies of K . That is, every closed point of X has exactly n points of X_E lying over it, each of which has the same residue field.
- Let Y denote the set of isomorphism classes of characteristic zero untilts (K, ι) of C^b . Recall that the set of closed points of X can be identified with the quotient $Y/\varphi^{\mathbf{Z}}$. Using the same reasoning, we see that the collection of closed points of the curve X_E can be identified with the quotient $Y_E/\varphi^{\mathbf{Z}}$; here Y_E denotes the set of isomorphism classes of triples (K, ι, u) , where K is a perfectoid field of characteristic zero, $\iota : C^b \simeq K^b$ is an isomorphism, and $u : E \rightarrow K$ is a map of \mathbf{Q}_p -algebras (note in this situation, K is an algebraically closed extension field of \mathbf{Q}_p , so there are exactly n choices for the embedding u).

Example 4. Suppose that E is the unramified degree n extension of \mathbf{Q}_p , given by $E = W(\mathbf{F}_{p^n})[\frac{1}{p}]$. If K is an untilt of C^b , then the following data are equivalent:

- \mathbf{Q}_p -algebra maps $e : E \rightarrow K$.
- \mathbf{Z}_p -algebra maps $W(\mathbf{F}_{p^n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$.
- \mathbf{F}_p -algebra maps $\mathbf{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$.
- \mathbf{F}_p -algebra maps $\mathbf{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^b/\pi$, where $\pi \in C^b$ satisfies $|\pi|_{C^b} = |p|_K$.
- \mathbf{F}_p -algebra maps $\mathbf{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^b$ (since \mathbf{F}_p is étale over \mathbf{F}_p).
- \mathbf{F}_p -algebra maps $\mathbf{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow C^b$.

We therefore obtain a bijection $Y_E \simeq Y \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n}, C^b)$; here $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n}, C^b)$ denotes the set of \mathbf{F}_p -algebra maps from \mathbf{F}_{p^n} into C^b . This has exactly n elements, which are cyclically permuted by the action of the Frobenius map φ_C^b . It follows that in this case, we have canonical bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Closed points of } X_E &\simeq Y_E/\varphi^{\mathbf{Z}} \\ &\simeq (Y \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(\mathbf{F}_{p^n}, C^b))/\varphi^{\mathbf{Z}} \\ &\simeq Y/\varphi^{n\mathbf{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the situation of Example 4, the description of the set of closed points of X_E has a counterpart at the level of functions. Let $U \subseteq X$ be a nonempty affine open subset and let $U_E \subseteq X_E$ be its inverse image in X_E , so that we can write

$$U_E = \text{Spec}((B[\frac{1}{t}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)^{\varphi=1}).$$

In the case where E is unramified over \mathbf{Q}_p , this description can be simplified. Note that each embedding of $u : \mathbf{F}_{p^n} \hookrightarrow C^b$ factors through \mathcal{O}_C^b , and therefore induces a map

$$W(\mathbf{F}_{p^n}) \rightarrow W(\mathcal{O}_C^b) = \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow B \rightarrow B[\frac{1}{t}],$$

which extends to a \mathbf{Q}_p -algebra map $\bar{u} : E \rightarrow B[\frac{1}{t}]$. Tensoring \bar{u} with the identity map on $B[\frac{1}{t}]$, we obtain a map

$$q_u : B[\frac{1}{t}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E \rightarrow B[\frac{1}{t}].$$

Exercise 5. Show that the maps q_u induce an isomorphism

$$B[\frac{1}{t}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E \simeq \prod_{u: \mathbf{F}_{p^n} \hookrightarrow C^b} B[\frac{1}{t}].$$

This doesn't require knowing much about the situation: you can replace $B[\frac{1}{t}]$ by any \mathbf{Q}_p -algebra R which admits a map $E \rightarrow R$.

The Frobenius automorphism of $B[\frac{1}{t}]$ extends uniquely to an E -linear automorphism of $B[\frac{1}{t}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$. Under the isomorphism

$$B[\frac{1}{t}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E \simeq \prod_{u: \mathbf{F}_{p^n} \hookrightarrow C^b} B[\frac{1}{t}],$$

this automorphism cyclically permutes the factors. Concretely, if we identify an element of the right hand side with an n -tuple $(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$ of elements of $B[\frac{1}{t}]$, then we have $\varphi(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) = (\varphi(f_{n-1}), \varphi(f_0), \varphi(f_1), \dots, \varphi(f_{n-2}))$. It follows that (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) is invariant under the Frobenius if and only if we have $f_i = \varphi(f_{i-1})$ for $0 < i < n$ and $f_0 = \varphi(f_{n-1})$. The first equation guarantees that $f_i = \varphi^i(f_0)$ for $0 < i < n$, so that we can rewrite the second as $\varphi^n(f_0) = f_0$. This proves the following:

Proposition 6. *Let E be the unramified degree n extension of \mathbf{Q}_p and let $U \subsetneq X$ be the vanishing locus of a homogeneous element $t \in \bigoplus B^{\varphi^m}$. Then we have*

$$U_E = \text{Spec}(B[\frac{1}{t}]^{\varphi^n=1}).$$

Let us now return to the case where E is *any* finite extension field of \mathbf{Q}_p . Let $\pi : X_E \rightarrow X$ be the projection map. Note that if \mathcal{E} is any vector bundle of rank r on X_E , then $\pi_* \mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle of rank nr on X . Moreover, this construction induces an equivalence of categories

$$\{\text{Vector bundles on } X_E\} \simeq \{\text{Vector bundles on } X \text{ with an action of the field } E\}.$$

Every vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X_E has a well-defined degree, defined by the formula $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = \deg(\pi_* \mathcal{E})$. If \mathcal{E} is not zero, we can define the slope $\text{slope}(\mathcal{E})$ by the formula $\text{slope}(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\deg(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})} = \frac{1}{n} \text{slope}(\pi_* \mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 7. *Let \mathcal{E} be a nonzero vector bundle on X_E and let λ be a rational number. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The vector bundle \mathcal{E} is semistable of slope λ . That is, $\text{slope}(\mathcal{E}) = \lambda$ and, for every nonzero subbundle $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, we have $\text{slope}(\mathcal{E}') \leq \lambda$.*
- (b) *The direct image $\pi_* \mathcal{E} \in \text{Vect}(X)$ is semistable of slope $\frac{\lambda}{n}$, in the sense of the previous lecture.*

Proof. We have already observed that \mathcal{E} has slope λ if and only if $\pi_* \mathcal{E}$ has slope $\frac{\lambda}{n}$. The implication (b) \Rightarrow (a) is now clear: note that $\pi_* \mathcal{E}$ is semistable and \mathcal{E}' is a nonzero subbundle of \mathcal{E} , then $\pi_* \mathcal{E}'$ is a nonzero subbundle of $\pi_* \mathcal{E}$, and therefore satisfies

$$\deg(\mathcal{E}') = n \deg(\pi_* \mathcal{E}') \leq n \deg(\pi_* \mathcal{E}) = \deg(\mathcal{E}).$$

Conversely, suppose that \mathcal{E} is semistable of slope λ .

Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \pi_* \mathcal{E}$ is not semistable; we will see that this leads to a contradiction. Let

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_m = \mathcal{F}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of \mathcal{F} , with slopes $\lambda_i = \text{slope}(\mathcal{F}_i / \mathcal{F}_{i-1})$ satisfying $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_m$. Then we must have $\lambda_1 > \text{slope}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$. Note that, for any nonzero element $x \in E$, multiplication by x induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{F} = \pi_* \mathcal{E}$ which automatically preserves the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. It follows that the action of E on \mathcal{F} preserves the subbundle \mathcal{F}_1 , so that we can write $\mathcal{F}_1 = \pi_* \mathcal{E}'$ for some subbundle $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. We then have $\text{slope}(\mathcal{E}') = n\lambda_1 > \lambda$, contradicting the semistability of \mathcal{E} . \square

Proof of Proposition 1. Let $\lambda = \frac{d}{n}$ be a rational number; we wish to show that there exists a semistable vector bundle on X having rank n and degree d . Let E be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p of degree n , and let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle of degree d on X_E (for example, we can take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{X_E}(D)$, where D is a divisor of degree d on X_E). Then \mathcal{L} is automatically semistable as a vector bundle on X_E (since it has rank 1). Applying Proposition 7, we see that $\pi_* \text{cal} \mathcal{L}$ is a semistable vector bundle on X , which evidently has rank n and degree d . \square

Our next goal is to better understand the vector bundle produced by our proof of Proposition 1. *A priori*, it depends on a few choices: the finite extension $E \supseteq \mathbf{Q}_p$, and the choice of line bundle \mathcal{L} on X_E . But it turns out that both of these choices are irrelevant. In the second case, this is because of the following:

Theorem 8. *Let E be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . Then the degree map $\text{deg} : \text{Pic}(X_E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is an isomorphism.*

In Lecture 19, we proved Theorem 8 in the special case $E = \mathbf{Q}_p$. The point was that for every pair of closed points $x, x' \in X$, the divisor $x - x'$ is linearly equivalent to zero: that is, we can find a rational function f on X having a simple zero at x and a simple pole at x' . More precisely, we can take

$$f = \frac{\log([\epsilon])}{\log([\epsilon'])} \in B\left[\frac{1}{\log([\epsilon'])}\right]^{\varphi=1},$$

where $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_C^b$ are chosen so that the vanishing loci of $\log([\epsilon])$ and $\log([\epsilon'])$ in Y are exactly the Frobenius orbits corresponding to the points x and x' , respectively. The function f is Frobenius-invariant, but individually the numerator and denominator are not: they both belong to the eigenspace $B^{\varphi=p}$. To prove Theorem 8 in general, we need to do something analogous for the cover X_E . We will return to this in the next lecture.