

Lecture 12: Detection of Zeroes

October 29, 2018

Throughout this lecture, we fix an algebraically closed perfectoid field C^b of characteristic p . Then every untilt K of C^b is algebraically closed, so the map $\sharp : C^b \rightarrow K$ is surjective (we will prove this later).

Notation 1. We let Y denote the set of isomorphism classes of untilts (K, ι) of C^b . We will use the letter y to denote a typical point of Y . For $0 < a \leq b < 1$, we let $Y_{[a,b]}$ denote the subset of Y consisting of those points $y = (K, \iota)$ satisfying $a \leq |p|_K \leq b$.

We use letters like f and g to denote typical elements of the ring $B_{[a,b]}$. For $y \in Y_{[a,b]}$, we let $f(y)$ denote the image of f under the ring homomorphism $B_{[a,b]} \rightarrow K$ constructed in Lecture 5. We also let $\text{ord}_y(f)$ denote the order of vanishing of f at the point y (so that $\text{ord}_y(f) > 0$ if and only if $f(y) = 0$).

Our goal, over the next few lectures, is to prove the following result which was promised in Lecture 10:

Theorem 2. *Let f be a nonzero element of $B_{[a,b]}$. Then:*

- (1) *The divisor $\text{Div}_{[a,b]}(f) = \sum_{y \in B_{[a,b]}} \text{ord}_y(f) \cdot y$ is finite. In other words, the order of vanishing $\text{ord}_y(f)$ is finite for all points $y \in B_{[a,b]}$, and vanishes for all but finitely many points of $B_{[a,b]}$.*
- (2) *Let g be another element of $B_{[a,b]}$. If $\text{Div}_{[a,b]}(g) \geq \text{Div}_{[a,b]}(f)$ (that is, if $\text{ord}_y(g) \geq \text{ord}_y(f)$ for all $y \in B_{[a,b]}$), then g is (uniquely) divisible by f .*

Let us first dispense with the uniqueness.

Proposition 3. *The ring $B_{[a,b]}$ is an integral domain.*

Proof. Set $\alpha = -\log(a)$ and $\beta = -\log(b)$. For each $f \in B_{[a,b]}$, we have a function

$$[\beta, \alpha] \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\} \quad s \mapsto v_s(f) = -\log |f|_{\exp(-s)}.$$

We saw in the previous lecture that if f is not zero, then $v_\bullet(f)$ is a piecewise linear concave function on the interval $[\beta, \alpha]$ (with integer slopes): in particular, it is everywhere finite. If g is also nonzero, then $v_\bullet(g)$ is also a piecewise linear concave function. It follows that the function $v_s(fg) = v_s(f) + v_s(g) < \infty$ for all $s \in [\beta, \alpha]$, so that fg is nonzero in $B_{[a,b]}$. \square

We now consider a special case of Theorem 2, where $f = \xi$ is a *distinguished* element of the ring \mathbf{A}_{inf} . In this case, assertion (1) is clear and (2) reduces to the following:

Proposition 4. *Let ξ be a distinguished element of \mathbf{A}_{inf} which vanishes at a point $y \in Y_{[a,b]}$. If $g \in B_{[a,b]}$ also vanishes at y , then g is divisible by ξ (uniquely, by virtue of Proposition 3).*

Proof. Suppose first that g belongs to the ring $\mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}[\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{\pi}]$. Then we can write $g = \frac{g_0}{p^m \pi^n}$ for some $g_0 \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}$ and some $m, n \geq 0$. Since $g(y) = 0$, it follows that $g_0(y) = 0$: that is, g_0 belongs to the kernel of the map

$\theta : \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$ determined by the unilt $y = (K, \iota)$. We saw in Lecture 3 that this kernel is generated by the distinguished element ξ . We can therefore write $g_0 = \xi \cdot h_0$ for some $h_0 \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}$. It follows that $g = \xi \cdot h$, where $h = \frac{h_0}{p^m[\pi]^n}$.

We now treat the general case. Let g be any element of $B_{[a,b]}$. Then we can write g as the limit of a sequence $g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}[\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{[\pi]}]$. It follows that $g(y)$ is the limit of the sequence $\{g_i(y)\}$ in the field K corresponding to the point $y = (K, \iota) \in Y_{[a,b]}$. For each $i > 0$, write $g_i(y) = c_i^\sharp$ for some element $c_i \in C^b$. If $g(y) = 0$, then the sequence $\{g_i(y)\}$ converges to zero in K . Since $|g_i(y)|_K = |c_i^\sharp|_K = |c_i|_{C^b}$, it follows that the sequence $\{c_i\}$ converges to zero in C^b , and therefore the sequence of Teichmüller representatives $\{[c_i]\}$ converges to zero with respect to the Gauss norms $|\bullet|_a$ and $|\bullet|_b$. It follows that the sequence $\{g_i - [c_i]\}$ also converges to g . Replacing each g_i by $g_i - [c_i]$, we can assume that each g_i vanishes at the point y . By the first part of the proof, we can write $g_i = \xi \cdot h_i$, for some (uniquely determined) $h_i \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}[\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{[\pi]}]$. We will complete the proof by showing that $\{h_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence (for the Gauss norms $|\bullet|_a$ and $|\bullet|_b$): then it converges to a unique element $h \in B_{[a,b]}$ which satisfies $g = \xi \cdot h$ by continuity. To prove this, we observe that

$$|g_i - g_j|_a = |\xi \cdot (h_i - h_j)|_a = |\xi|_a \cdot |h_i - h_j|_a$$

so that

$$|h_i - h_j|_a = \frac{|g_i - g_j|_a}{|\xi|_a} \rightarrow 0$$

as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ (and similarly for the Gauss norm $|\bullet|_b$). \square

Proposition 4 suggests a strategy for proving Theorem 2. Let f be a nonzero element of $B_{[a,b]}$, and let g be another element satisfying $\text{Div}_{[a,b]}(g) \geq \text{Div}_{[a,b]}(f)$. Suppose that $\text{Div}_{[a,b]}(f)$ is not zero: that is, there is some point $y_1 \in Y_{[a,b]}$ such that $f(y_1) = 0$. Then we also have $g(y_1) = 0$. Let $\xi_1 \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}$ be a distinguished element which vanishes at y_1 . Applying Proposition 4, we can write $f = \xi_1 \cdot f_1$ and $g = \xi_1 \cdot g_1$ for some elements $f_1, g_1 \in B_{[a,b]}$. Then we have $\text{Div}_{[a,b]}(g_1) \geq \text{Div}_{[a,b]}(f_1)$. We can then repeat the argument: if $\text{Div}_{[a,b]}(f_1) \neq 0$, we can find another distinguished element ξ_2 vanishing at a point $y_2 \in Y_{[a,b]}$ such that $f_1 = \xi_2 \cdot f_2$ and $g_1 = \xi_2 \cdot g_2$. Continuing in this way, we obtain sequences $\{f_n\}$, $\{g_n\}$, and $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfying

$$f = \xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n \cdot f_n \quad g = \xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 \cdots \xi_n \cdot g_n.$$

To prove Theorem 2, we must show the following:

- (1') This process eventually stops: that is, we eventually end up in a situation where $\text{Div}_{[a,b]}(f_n) = 0$. In this case, we have $\text{Div}_{[a,b]}(f) = y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n$.
- (2') When the process stops, the element f_n is a unit (and therefore automatically divides g_n).

We can prove (1') very easily from the results of the previous lecture. Let h be any nonzero element of the ring $B_{[a,b]}$, and write h as the limit of a sequence $\{h_i \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{inf}}[\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{[\pi]}]\}$ which converges for the Gauss norms $|\bullet|_a$ and $|\bullet|_b$. In the previous lecture, we proved that the sequence of functions $\{v_\bullet(h_i)\}$ is eventually constant on the interval $[\beta, \alpha]$. Moreover, we can do a little better: the sequence of left derivatives $\partial_- v_\beta(h_i)$ and right derivatives $\partial_+ v_\alpha(h_i)$ are eventually constant, converging to integers $\partial_- v_\beta(h)$ and $\partial_+ v_\alpha(h)$, respectively.

Exercise 5. Check that the integers $\partial_- v_\beta(h)$ and $\partial_+ v_\alpha(h)$ depend only on h , and not on the choice of Cauchy sequence $\{h_i\}$ converging to h .

Since each of the functions $v_\bullet(h_i)$ is concave, we have $\partial_- v_\beta(h_i) \geq \partial_+ v_\alpha(h_i)$ for each i , and therefore $\partial_- v_\beta(h) \geq \partial_+ v_\alpha(h)$.

Proposition 6. *Let f be a nonzero element of $B_{[a,b]}$, and set $N = \partial_- v_\beta(f) - \partial_+ v_\alpha(f) \geq 0$. Then the construction sketched above must terminate in $\leq N$ steps. That is, f cannot be divisible by a product $\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{N+1}$ of distinguished elements ξ_i vanishing at points $y_i \in Y_{[a,b]}$.*

Proof. We saw in the previous lecture that if ξ is a distinguished element of \mathbf{A}_{inf} , then the function $s \mapsto v_s(\xi)$ is given by the formula

$$v_s(\xi) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } s \leq v(\xi) \\ v(\xi) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}.$$

In particular, if the point at which ξ vanishes belongs to $Y_{[\alpha, \beta]}$, then $v(\xi)$ belongs to the interval $[\beta, \alpha]$, and therefore

$$\partial_- v_\beta(\xi) = 1 \quad \partial_+ v_\alpha(\xi) = 0.$$

In particular, if we can write $f = \xi_1 \cdot \xi_2 \cdots \xi_{N+1} \cdot f_{N+1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \partial_- v_\beta(f) - \partial_+ v_\alpha(f) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \partial_- v_\beta(\xi_i) - \partial_+ v_\alpha(\xi_i) \right) + (\partial_- v_\beta(f_{N+1}) - \partial_+ v_\alpha(f_{N+1})) \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \partial_- v_\beta(\xi_i) - \partial_+ v_\alpha(\xi_i) \right) \\ &= N + 1 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. □

We will deduce Theorem 2 from the following:

Theorem 7. *Let f be a nonzero element of $B_{[a, b]}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The element f is invertible in $B_{[a, b]}$.*
- (b) *The integer $N = \partial_- v_\beta(f) - \partial_+ v_\alpha(f)$ is equal to zero (in particular, the concave function $s \mapsto v_s(f)$ is linear on the interval $[\beta, \alpha]$).*
- (c) *The divisor $\text{Div}_{[a, b]}(f)$ is equal to zero. That is, there is no point $y \in Y_{[a, b]}$ such that $f(y) = 0$.*

Note that the implication (b) \Rightarrow (c) follows from Proposition 6. The implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) is also clear: if f has an inverse $f^{-1} \in B_{[a, b]}$, then it is easy to see that

$$\partial_- v_\beta(f^{-1}) = -\partial_- v_\beta(f) \quad \partial_+ v_\alpha(f^{-1}) = -\partial_+ v_\alpha(f)$$

so that

$$N = \partial_- v_\beta(f) - \partial_+ v_\alpha(f) = -(\partial_- v_\beta(f^{-1}) - \partial_+ v_\alpha(f^{-1})) \leq 0.$$

Over the next few lectures, we will show (using different arguments) that both of the implications (a) \Rightarrow (b) and (b) \Rightarrow (c) is reversible. Once that is done, it will follow that (c) \Rightarrow (a): that is, a nonzero element $f \in B_{[a, b]}$ satisfying $\text{Div}_{[a, b]}(f) = 0$ is invertible. This will complete the proof of (2') and with it the proof of Theorem 2.