Math 155 (Lecture 23)

October 28, 2011

Definition 1. Let S be a finite set. We let Part(S) denote the set of all *partitions* of S: that is, the set of all decompositions of S into nonempty disjoint subsets. In other words, Part(S) is the collection of all equivalence relations E on S. If E is an equivalence relation on S, we denote the set of equivalence classes by S/E.

We regard S as a partially ordered set as follows: we let $E \leq E'$ if xEy implies xE'y. In other words, $E \leq E'$ if every equivalence class of E is contained in an equivalence class of E'.

Remark 2. The partially ordered set Part(S) has a least element E_{\perp} , given by the *discrete* equivalence relation where $xE_{\perp}y$ if and only if x = y. It also has a greatest element E_{\perp} , given by the *indiscrete* equivalence relation with $xE_{\perp}y$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Example 3. If S has cardinality 1, then Part(S) has exactly one element. If $S = \{1, 2\}$, then Part(S) has two elements: the greatest element and the least element described in Remark 2. If $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$, then the partially ordered set Part(S) is depicted in the diagram



We would like to study the Möbius function $\mu_{Part(S)}$ of the partially ordered set Part(S) of partitions of a finite set S. Suppose that E is equivalence relation on S. We let $Part(S)_{\leq E} = \{E' \in Part(S) : E' \leq E\}$. Write S as a disjoint union $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \cdots \cup S_m$ of E-equivalence classes. Note that to give an equivalence relation E' on S with $E' \leq E$, we just need to specify the restriction of E' to each of the sets S_i . In other words, we have a canonical isomorphism of partially ordered sets

$$\operatorname{Part}(S)_{\leq E} \simeq \prod \operatorname{Part}(S_i)$$

. This isomorphism carries E to the greatest element of the product $\prod_{1 \le i \le m} \operatorname{Part}(S_i)$. Using our product formula for Möbius functions, we get

$$\mu_{\operatorname{Part}(S)}(E_{\perp}, E) = \prod_{1 \le i \le m} \mu_{\operatorname{Part}(S_i)}(E_{\perp}, E_{\top}),$$

where E_{\perp} and E_{\perp} denote the discrete and indiscrete equivalence relations of Remark 2 (note that underlying set on which these equivalence relations reside depends on *i*).

Question 4. Let S be a set with n elements. What is the integer $\mu_{Part(S)}(E_{\perp}, E_{\perp})$?

Example 5. If n = 1, then $E_{\perp} = E_{\top}$ so the answer to Question 4 is 1. If n = 2, then $E_{\perp} < E_{\top}$ with nothing in between, so the answer to Question 4 is -1. If n = 3, then an inspection of the diagram of Example 3 shows that there are three chains of length 2 from E_{\perp} to E_{\top} , and a chain of length 1. The answer is therefore 3 - 1 = 2.

Let us do one more example. Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let's count the chains from E_{\perp} to E_{\perp} in Part(S):

- (a) There is exactly one chain of length 1, given by $\{E_{\perp}, E_{\perp}\}$.
- (b) The chains of length 2 are exactly those of the form $\{E_{\perp} < E < E_{\perp}\}$, where E is some element of Part(S) distinct from E_{\perp} and E_{\perp} . The number of chains is therefore $b_4 2$, where b_4 is the 4th Bell number from Lecture 4. We have $b_4 = 15$, so there are 13 such chains.
- (c) The chains of length 3 have the form $\{E_{\perp} < E < E' < E_{\perp}\}$. Here *E* is necessarily an equivalence relation which partitions *S* into a two element subset $\{i, j\}$ and two singletons. There are $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ choices for *E*. Given *E*, there are three ways to build a larger equivalence relation *E'* distinct from E_{\perp} : we can enlarge the equivalence class $\{i, j\}$ by adding either of the two other elements, or we could combine those two elements into another equivalence class. The number of such chains is therefore $3 \times 6 = 18$.
- (d) There are no chains of length ≥ 4 .
- It follows that $\mu_{\text{Part}(S)}(E_{\perp}, E_{\perp}) = -1 + 13 18 = -6.$

Motivated by the calculations

$$1, -1, 2, -6, \ldots$$

of Example 5, we can make the following conjecture:

Guess 6. If S has n elements, then

$$\mu_{\operatorname{Part}(S)}(E_{\perp}, E_{\perp}) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!.$$

Let's prove that this guess is correct. We will use induction on n. We have already handled the case n = 1, so assume that n > 1. The inductive hypothesis tells us the following: for every set T having cardinality m < n, we have

$$\mu_{\operatorname{Part}(T)}(E_{\perp}, E_{\top}) = (-1)^m (m-1)!$$

In particular, if $E < E_{\perp}$ in Part(S), we have

$$\mu_{\operatorname{Part}(S)}(E_{\perp}, E) = \prod_{T \in S/E} \mu_{\operatorname{Part}(T)}(E_{\perp}, E_{\top}) = \prod_{T \in S/E} (-1)^{|T|-1}(|T|-1)!.$$

Since n > 1, we have $E_{\perp} \neq E_{\perp}$, so that

$$\sum_{E \in \operatorname{Part}(S)} \mu_{\operatorname{Part}(S)}(E_{\perp}, E) = 0$$

We can write this sum as

$$\mu_{\operatorname{Part}(S)}(E_{\perp}, E_{\top}) + \sum_{E \neq E_{\top}} \prod_{T \in S/E} (-1)^{|T|-1} (|T|-1)!.$$

To prove that $\mu \operatorname{Part}(S)(E_{\perp}, E_{\perp}) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$, it will suffice to show that the sum

$$\sum_{E \in \text{Part}(S)} \prod_{T \in S/E} (-1)^{|T|-1} (|T|-1)!$$

is equal to zero.

Rather than proving this identity separately for each n, let us try to prove it for all n simultaneously. Define

$$C_n = \sum_{E \in \operatorname{Part}(\langle n \rangle)} \prod_{T \in \langle n \rangle/E} (-1)^{|T|-1} (|T|-1)!$$

and let f(x) denote the generating function

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n C_n}{n!} x^n$$

We wish to prove that $C_n = 0$ for $n \ge 2$: that is, that f(x) is a linear function.

Let's decompose C_n into pieces. Fix integers k_1, k_2, \ldots with $k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 + \cdots = n$, and let $C_n^{\vec{k}}$ denote the sum

$$\sum_{E} \prod_{T \in \langle n \rangle / E} (-1)^{|T| - 1} (|T| - 1)!$$

where the sum is taken over all equivalence relations with k_1 equivalences classes of size 1, k_2 equivalence classes of size 2, and so forth. Each term in the sum is identical, given by $\prod_{i\geq 1}((-1)^{i-1}(i-1)!)^{k_i}$. The number of terms is given by the quotient

$$\frac{n!}{\prod(i!)^{k_i}k_i!}.$$

It follows that we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n!} \sum_{n=k_1+2k_2+\dots} \frac{n!}{\prod_{i\ge 1} (i!^{k_i} k_i!)} \prod_{i\ge 1} (i-1)!^{k_i} (-1)^{(i-1)k_i}$$

$$= \sum_{n\ge 0} \sum_{n=k_1+2k_2+\dots} \prod_{i\ge 1} \frac{x^{ik_i} (-1)^{k_i}}{i^{k_i} k_i!}$$

$$= \prod_{i\ge 1} \sum_{k\ge 0} (\frac{-x^i}{i})^k \frac{1}{k!}$$

$$= \prod_{i\ge 1} e^{\frac{-x^i}{i}}$$

$$= e^{\sum_{i\ge 1} -\frac{x^i}{i}}$$

$$= 1-x.$$

which is a linear function, as desired.