# PROBLEM SET VIII: PROBLEMS III, IV 

PATRICK RYAN

Problem 1. Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map between normed vector spaces. Show that if $V$ is finite-dimensional, then $f$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be a basis for $V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f(v)\|_{W}=\left\|f\left(v_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+v_{n} e_{n}\right)\right\|_{W}=\left\|v_{1} f\left(e_{1}\right)+\cdots+v_{n} f\left(e_{n}\right)\right\|_{W} \\
& \leq\left|v_{1}\right|\left\|f\left(e_{1}\right)\right\|_{W}+\cdots+\left|v_{n}\right|\left\|f\left(e_{n}\right)\right\|_{W} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|v_{k}\right|\left(\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\|f\left(e_{k}\right)\right\|_{W}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the 1-norm, $\|\cdot\|_{1}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by

$$
\|v\|_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|v_{k}\right|, \quad \text { with } v=\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{k} e_{k}
$$

It suffices to show that $\|v\|_{1} \leq \alpha\|v\|_{V}$ for arbitrary constant $\alpha$ and $V$ a finite dimensional vector space. We have the following

$$
\|v\|_{V}=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{k} e_{k}\right\|_{V} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|v_{k}\right|\left\|e_{k}\right\|_{V} \leq\left(\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\|e_{k}\right\|_{V}\right)\|v\|_{1}
$$

This implies $\|v\|_{V} \leq \beta\|v\|_{1}$ for some constant $\beta$. Thus, our norm on $V,\|\cdot\|_{V}$ : $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is continuous with respect to the topology induced by the 1-norm. Taking $\|v-w\|_{1} \leq \varepsilon$, we see that

$$
\left|\|v\|_{V}-\|w\|_{V}\right| \leq\|v-w\|_{V} \leq M \varepsilon
$$

by the Triangle Inequality. Consider the compact set $\Omega=\left\{v \in V:\|v\|_{1}=1\right\}$. By the compactness of our set and the continuity of the norm on $V,\|\cdot\|_{V}$ achieves a minimum on $\Omega$. Denoting this minimum by $\xi$, we have $0<\xi \leq\|v\|_{V}$, for any $v \in V$ where $\|v\|_{1}=1$. Thus, $\xi\|v\|_{1} \leq\|v\|_{V}$. Selecting our constant $\alpha$ appropriately yields our desired result.

Problem 2. Let $P$ be a partially ordered set and suppose that every linearly ordered subset of $P$ has an upper bound. Prove that $P$ has a maximal element by completing the argument outlined in class. Assume (for a contradiction) that $P$ has no maximal element.
(a) Show that for each linearly ordered subset $Q \subseteq P$, there exists an element $\lambda(Q) \in P$ such that $q<\lambda(Q)$ for each $q \in Q$.

We will say that a subset $Q \subseteq P$ is a good chain if $Q$ is well-ordered and each element $x \in Q$ satisfies the formula $x=\lambda(\{q \in Q: q<x\})$.
(b) Show that there is no largest good chain in $P$.
(c) Show that if $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ are good chains, then exactly one of the following conditions holds: (i) $Q=Q^{\prime}$; (ii) There exists an element $q_{0} \in Q$ such

[^0]that $Q^{\prime}=\left\{q \in Q: q<q_{0}\right\} ;$ (iii) There exists an element $q_{0}^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime}$ such that $Q=\left\{q^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime}: q^{\prime}<q_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$.
(d) Show that if $\left\{Q_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a collection of good chains, then the union $\bigcup Q_{\alpha}$ is also a good chain.
(e) Find a contradiction between $(b)$ and $(d)$.

Proof. (a) Let $x \in Q$ be an upper bound for $Q$. Since $x$ is not maximal, there exists some $\tilde{x} \in P$ such that $\tilde{x}>x$ (by the Axiom of Choice). Set $\lambda(Q)=\tilde{x}$, so that for any $y \in Q$, we have $y \leq x<\lambda(Q)$.
(b) Let us assume that $Q$ is the largest good chain in $P$. Let $Q^{+}=Q \cup\{\lambda(Q)\}$. For each $x \in Q$, we have that $x=\lambda\left(\left\{q \in Q^{+}: q<x\right\}\right)$, since $\lambda(Q)>x$ for all $x \in Q$. Definitionally, $\lambda(Q)=\lambda\left(\left\{q \in Q^{+}: q<x\right\}\right)$, so $Q^{+}$is a good chain.
(c) If $Q=Q^{\prime}$ we are done. Thus, let us suppose that $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are good chains and $Q \neq Q^{\prime}$. We show that either $Q \subset Q^{\prime}$ or $Q^{\prime} \subset Q$. Let $\Lambda$ be the union of all subsets of $P$ such that $P \subseteq Q$ and $P \subseteq Q^{\prime}$. Then $\Lambda$ is the largest such set, which is also wellordered by the well-ordering of $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$. Suppose that $\Lambda \neq Q$ and $\Lambda \neq Q^{\prime}$. Then we may select $q \in Q$ and $q^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime}$ such that $q$ is the minimal element of $Q$ and $q \notin S$. Define $q^{\prime}$ analogously. Thus, $\Lambda \subseteq\{x \in Q: x<q\}$ and $\Lambda \subseteq\left\{x \in Q^{\prime}: x<q^{\prime}\right\}$. Then let $x_{m}$ be the smallest element of $Q$ such that $x_{m}<q$ and $x_{m} \notin \Lambda$. Then we have

$$
\left\{x \in Q: x<x_{m}\right\} \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq\left\{x \in Q^{\prime}: x<q^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq Q
$$

Thus, $x_{m}=\lambda\left(\left\{x \in Q: x<x_{m}\right\}\right) \subseteq Q^{\prime}$ so $x_{m} \in Q \cap Q^{\prime}$. However, $x_{m}$ could have been appended to $\Lambda$, thereby contradicting the maximality of $\Lambda$. Thus

$$
\Lambda=\{x \in Q: x<q\}=\left\{x \in Q^{\prime}: x<q^{\prime}\right\} \Rightarrow \lambda(\Lambda)=q=q^{\prime}
$$

However, we could have appended $q$ to $\Lambda$, again contradicting the maximality of $\Lambda$. Thus, either $Q \subset Q^{\prime}$ or $Q^{\prime} \subset Q$.

WLOG suppose that $Q \subset Q^{\prime}$, and let $q^{\prime} \subset Q^{\prime}$ be the smallest element of $Q^{\prime}$ such that $q^{\prime} \notin Q$. We have $\left\{x \in Q^{\prime}: x<q^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq Q$. Suppose that $Q \neq\left\{x \in Q^{\prime}: x<q^{\prime}\right\}$, and let $x_{m}$ be the smallest element in $Q$ such that $x_{m}>q^{\prime}$. However, by definition and total ordering, we have

$$
x_{m}=\lambda\left(\left\{x \in Q: x<x_{m}\right\}\right)=\lambda\left(\left\{x \in Q: x<q^{\prime}\right\}\right)=q^{\prime} .
$$

Contradiction. Thus, $Q=\left\{x \in Q^{\prime}: x<q^{\prime}\right\}$. The second case follows analogously if $Q^{\prime} \subset Q$.
(d) Let $\left\{Q_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a collection of good chains. From $(c)$, we know that for any two elements $Q_{\theta}, Q_{\omega}$ of $\left\{Q_{\alpha}\right\}$, we have $Q_{\theta} \subset Q_{\omega}, Q_{\omega} \subset Q_{\theta}$, or $Q_{\theta}=Q_{\omega}$. Thus, the union of any number of good chains will be equal to a good chain, and $\bigcup Q_{\alpha}$ is a good chain.
(e) The above implies that $\bigcup Q_{\alpha}$ is the largest good chain. This contradicts (b), so we conclude that $P$ has a maximal element.
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