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Strassen, in his seminal 1969 paper
"Gaussian Elimination is Not Optimal" sent a clear message to the scientific community:

Natural, obvious and centuries-old methods for solving important computational problems may be far from the fastest.

## "Gaussian elimination is not optimal"

- multiplying $n \times n$ matrices
- inverting $n \times n$ matrices
- solving a system of $n$ linear equations in $n$ unknowns
- computing the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix

Strassen proved that the obvious $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ algorithm for these (equivalent) problems is far from optimal
by designing a new one which takes only $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2.8}\right)$ operations

The possibility of obtaining even faster algorithms for these central problems set Strassen and many other computer scientists on a quest to obtain them, with the current record below $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2.4}\right)$


The quest to understand the matrix multiplication exponent $\omega$ is still raging on.


Decades later (1986-1991) Strassen developed his theory of

## Asymptotic Spectra.

While motivated by trying to understand the complexity of matrix multiplication, this theory is far more general
leading to a broader framework that suits other problems and settings.

Central in this theory of asymptotic spectra:

What is the cost of a task if we have to perform it many times?

Arises in numerous parts of mathematics, physics, economics and computer science

- matrix multiplication
- circuit complexity (with Robert Robere)
- direct-sum problems
- Shannon capacity

Survey (with Avi Wigderson)
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5. Shannon capacity

Measures amount of information that can be transmitted over a communication channel.

Understanding it has been an open problem in information theory and graph theory since its introduction by Shannon in 1956.

Translates to graph theoretical problem:

| channel | graph |
| ---: | :--- |
| protocol | independent set |
| repeating | strong product |

Graph


Independent set


Independence number

$$
\alpha\left(C_{5}\right)=2
$$

$$
\alpha\left(S_{3}\right)=3
$$

$$
\alpha\left(E_{4}\right)=4
$$

## Strong produc $\dagger$

$G \boxtimes H$
$V(G \boxtimes H)=V(G) \times V(H)$
Adjacency matrix formulation:
The adjacency matrix of $G \boxtimes H$ is the tensor product of those of $G$ and $H$

Independence number is super-multiplicative

$$
\alpha(G \boxtimes H) \geq \alpha(G) \alpha(H)
$$

Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(C_{5}\right)=2 \\
& \alpha\left(C_{5}^{\star 2}\right)=5
\end{aligned}
$$

Shannon capacity

$$
\Theta(G)=\sup _{n} \alpha\left(G^{\boxtimes n}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta\left(C_{5}\right)=\sqrt{5} \quad \text { (Lovász) } \\
& 3.2578 \leq \Theta\left(C_{7}\right) \leq 3.3177 \quad \text { (Schrijver-Polak) }
\end{aligned}
$$

How to upper bound $\alpha$ (and $\Theta$ )?

## Matrix rank (Haemers bound)



1 on the diagonal
0 on the non-edges

Every independent set gives an identity sub-matrix


$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & * & 0 & 0 & * \\
* & 1 & * & 0 & 0 \\
0 & * & 1 & * & 0 \\
0 & 0 & * & 1 & * \\
* & 0 & 0 & * & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Independence number $\alpha$ is at most rank of any such matrix (and $\Theta$ too)

## Largest eigenvalue (Lovász theta function)



1 on the diagonal
1 on the non-edges

Every independent set gives an all-ones sub-matrix


$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & * & 1 & 1 & * \\
* & 1 & * & 1 & 1 \\
1 & * & 1 & * & 1 \\
1 & 1 & * & 1 & * \\
* & 1 & 1 & * & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Independence number is at most largest eigenvalue of such matrix (and $\Theta$ too)

Q: How good are the Haemers and Lovász bounds?
2. The asymptotic spectrum of graphs

## Models graphs as points in real space

Defined as the set $X$ of all maps $F:\{$ graphs $\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are

1. additive under $\sqcup$
2. multiplicative under $\boxtimes$
3. monotone under cohomomorphism
4. normalized to 1 on the graph with one vertex $E_{1}$

Graphs as real points: $G \mapsto(F(G))_{F \in X}$

## Examples of elements of $X$

- Lovász theta function $\vartheta$
- fractional Haemers bound (Bukh-Cox)
- fractional clique cover number


## 3. Duality theorem

Recall that

- Shannon capacity is a maximization: $\Theta(G)=\sup _{n} \alpha\left(G^{\boxtimes n}\right)^{1 / n}$
- Lovász theta gives upper bound: $\Theta(G) \leq \vartheta(G)$

Lemma
Every $F \in X$ gives upper bound: $\Theta(G) \leq F(G)$
Q: Are the upper bounds from $F \in X$ powerful enough to reach $\Theta$ ?
Duality Theorem ("yes", Zuiddam)
Shannon capacity is a minimization: $\Theta(G)=\min _{F \in X} F(G)$

## Q: Is the duality theorem non-trivial?

Duality Theorem $\Theta(G)=\min _{F \in X} F(G)$

Conjecture (Shannon) $\Theta \in X$
Theorem (Haemers)
There are $G, H$ for which $\Theta(G \boxtimes H)>\Theta(G) \Theta(H)$
Theorem (Alon)
There are $G, H$ for which $\Theta(G \sqcup H)>\Theta(G)+\Theta(H)$
Corollary $\Theta \notin X$

Q: How is the duality theorem proven?
Duality Theorem $\Theta(G)=\min _{F \in X} F(G)$

More General Duality Theorem (Zuiddam) $G^{\boxtimes n} \rightarrow H^{\boxtimes(n+o(n))}$ iff $F(G) \leq F(H)$ for all $F \in X$

Ideas:

- Real geometry, Positivstellensatz
- Kadison-Dubois representation theorem
- Extension of Linear Programming Duality


## 4. Consequences and new directions

Theorem ("Additivity if and only if multiplicativity", Holzman)
For any graphs $G, H$ the following are equivalent:
(i) $\Theta(G \sqcup H)=\Theta(G)+\Theta(H)$
(ii) $\Theta(G \boxtimes H)=\Theta(G) \Theta(H)$
(iii) There is $F \in X$ such that $F(G)=\Theta(G)$ and $F(H)=\Theta(H)$

Proof (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)
Let $F \in X$ such that $\Theta(G \sqcup H)=F(G \sqcup H)$
Then $\Theta(G)+\Theta(H)=\Theta(G \sqcup H)=F(G \sqcup H)=F(G)+F(H)$
Always: $\Theta(G) \leq F(G)$ and $\Theta(H) \leq F(H)$
Therefore $\Theta(G)=F(G)$ and $\Theta(H)=F(H)$
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ ( i )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(G)+\Theta(H) & \leq \Theta(G \sqcup H) \\
& \leq F(G \sqcup H)=F(G)+F(H)=\Theta(H)+\Theta(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example ("Theorems of Haemers and Alon are equivalent")

$$
\Theta(G \boxtimes H)>\Theta(G) \Theta(H) \quad \text { iff } \quad \Theta(G \sqcup H)>\Theta(G)+\Theta(H)
$$

Example ("Shannon capacity is not attained at a finite power")

- $C_{5} \boxtimes E_{1}=C_{5}$
- $\Theta\left(C_{5} \boxtimes E_{1}\right)=\Theta\left(C_{5}\right)=\Theta\left(C_{5}\right) \Theta\left(E_{1}\right)$
- $\Theta\left(C_{5} \sqcup E_{1}\right)=\Theta\left(C_{5}\right)+\Theta\left(E_{1}\right)=\sqrt{5}+1 \neq a^{1 / n}$ for $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$

More general theorem
Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be graphs. The following are equivalent:
(i) For every polynomial $p$ we have

$$
\Theta\left(p\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right)\right)=p\left(\Theta\left(G_{1}\right), \ldots, \Theta\left(G_{n}\right)\right)
$$

(ii) There exists a polynomial $p$ (depending on all variables) such that $\Theta\left(p\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right)\right)=p\left(\Theta\left(G_{1}\right), \ldots, \Theta\left(G_{n}\right)\right)$
(iii) There exists $F \in X$ such that $F\left(G_{i}\right)=\Theta\left(G_{i}\right)$ for all $i$

These we can also make quantitative, relating non-additivity and non-multiplicativity

## New directions

- Topological structure of asymptotic spectra


Disconnected


Connected


Star-Convex


Convex

Stronger topological structure $\Rightarrow$ new algorithmic methods (with Avi Wigderson)

- New notion of graph limits
distance $d(G, H)=\sup _{F \in X}|F(G)-F(H)|$
(with David de Boer and Pjotr Buys)
- Hedetniemi properties of asymptotic spectrum (with Jim Wittebol)
- Direct-sum theorems in other areas (tensors) (with Visu Makam)


## Problems

- What are the elements of the asymptotic spectrum of graphs?
- What other problems in math, CS and physics have asymptotic spectrum duality?
- Lovász theta function for hypergraphs?

Studying the computational complexity of natural problems may both require and generate deep and sophisticated mathematics

