

# Generalized Intersection Bodies and the Low Dimensional Busemann-Petty Problem

Emanuel Milman

The Weizmann Institute of Science

American Institute of Mathematics  
Fourier Analytic Methods in Convex Geometry  
August 2007

- The Busemann-Petty Problem
- Intersection Bodies
- The  $k$ -Generalized Busemann-Petty Problem
- Low Dimensional Busemann-Petty Problem
- First Generalization of Int-Bodies:  $k$ -Busemann-Petty Bodies ( $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ ), Spherical Radon Transforms.
- Second Generalization of Int-Bodies:  $k$ -Intersection Bodies ( $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ ), Fourier Transforms of Homogeneous Distributions.
- Relationship between  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$  and  $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ . Are these families equivalent?

# Busemann-Petty Problem

Notation:  $0 \leq m \leq n$

$G_m^n$  - Grassmann manifold of  $m$ -dim linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Busemann-Petty Problem (1956)

Let  $K, L$  denote two convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Assume  $\forall H \in G_{n-1}^n \quad \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap H) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap H)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Series of results 1975-1999 (Ball, Bourgain, Gardner, Giannopoulos, Koldobsky, Larman, Lutwak, Papadimitrakis, Rogers, Schlumprecht, Zhang):

Answer:  $n \leq 4$  Yes ,  $n \geq 5$  No!

# Busemann-Petty Problem

Notation:  $0 \leq m \leq n$

$G_m^n$  - Grassmann manifold of  $m$ -dim linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Busemann-Petty Problem (1956)

Let  $K, L$  denote two convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Assume  $\forall H \in G_{n-1}^n \quad \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap H) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap H)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Series of results 1975-1999 (Ball, Bourgain, Gardner, Giannopoulos, Koldobsky, Larman, Lutwak, Papadimitrakis, Rogers, Schlumprecht, Zhang):

Answer:  $n \leq 4$  Yes ,  $n \geq 5$  No!

# Intersection Bodies

- Key Observation (Lutwak, Gardner):  
Answer to BP-problem is positive in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an Intersection Body.
- Intersection Bodies were introduced by Lutwak in 1975.  
They belong to a larger class of bodies:
- $K$  is called a star-body if  $\forall x \in K [0, x] \in K$  and its *radial function*  $\rho_K$  is continuous (and even).
- $\rho_K(\theta) = \max \{r \geq 0; r\theta \in K\}$ ,  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ .  $\rho_K = \|\cdot\|_K^{-1}$ ,  
 $\|x\|_K = \min \{r \geq 0; x \in rK\}$  is Minkowski's functional.
- Radial metric:  $d_\rho(K_1, K_2) = \max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} |\rho_{K_1}(\theta) - \rho_{K_2}(\theta)|$ .

## Definition

$K$  int-body of  $L$  if  $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

$K$  intersection-body if  $\exists \{K_i\}$  int-bodies of  $\{L_i\}$ ,  $d_\rho(K_i, K) \rightarrow 0$ .

# Intersection Bodies

- Key Observation (Lutwak, Gardner):  
Answer to BP-problem is positive in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an Intersection Body.
- Intersection Bodies were introduced by Lutwak in 1975.  
They belong to a larger class of bodies:
- $K$  is called a star-body if  $\forall x \in K [0, x] \in K$  and its *radial function*  $\rho_K$  is continuous (and even).
- $\rho_K(\theta) = \max \{r \geq 0; r\theta \in K\}$ ,  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ .  $\rho_K = \|\cdot\|_K^{-1}$ ,  
 $\|x\|_K = \min \{r \geq 0; x \in rK\}$  is Minkowski's functional.
- Radial metric:  $d_\rho(K_1, K_2) = \max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} |\rho_{K_1}(\theta) - \rho_{K_2}(\theta)|$ .

## Definition

$K$  int-body of  $L$  if  $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

$K$  intersection-body if  $\exists \{K_i\}$  int-bodies of  $\{L_i\}$ ,  $d_\rho(K_i, K) \rightarrow 0$ .

# Intersection Bodies

- Key Observation (Lutwak, Gardner):  
Answer to BP-problem is positive in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an Intersection Body.
- Intersection Bodies were introduced by Lutwak in 1975.  
They belong to a larger class of bodies:
- $K$  is called a star-body if  $\forall x \in K [0, x] \in K$  and its *radial function*  $\rho_K$  is continuous (and even).
- $\rho_K(\theta) = \max \{r \geq 0; r\theta \in K\}$ ,  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ .  $\rho_K = \|\cdot\|_K^{-1}$ ,  
 $\|x\|_K = \min \{r \geq 0; x \in rK\}$  is Minkowski's functional.
- Radial metric:  $d_\rho(K_1, K_2) = \max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} |\rho_{K_1}(\theta) - \rho_{K_2}(\theta)|$ .

## Definition

$K$  int-body of  $L$  if  $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

$K$  intersection-body if  $\exists \{K_i\}$  int-bodies of  $\{L_i\}$ ,  $d_\rho(K_i, K) \rightarrow 0$ .

# Intersection Bodies

- Key Observation (Lutwak, Gardner):  
Answer to BP-problem is positive in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an Intersection Body.
- Intersection Bodies were introduced by Lutwak in 1975.  
They belong to a larger class of bodies:
- $K$  is called a star-body if  $\forall x \in K [0, x] \in K$  and its *radial function*  $\rho_K$  is continuous (and even).
- $\rho_K(\theta) = \max \{r \geq 0; r\theta \in K\}$ ,  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ .  $\rho_K = \|\cdot\|_K^{-1}$ ,  
 $\|x\|_K = \min \{r \geq 0; x \in rK\}$  is Minkowski's functional.
- Radial metric:  $d_\rho(K_1, K_2) = \max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} |\rho_{K_1}(\theta) - \rho_{K_2}(\theta)|$ .

## Definition

$K$  int-body of  $L$  if  $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

$K$  intersection-body if  $\exists \{K_j\}$  int-bodies of  $\{L_j\}$ ,  $d_\rho(K_j, K) \rightarrow 0$ .

# Intersection Bodies

- Key Observation (Lutwak, Gardner):  
Answer to BP-problem is positive in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an Intersection Body.
- Intersection Bodies were introduced by Lutwak in 1975.  
They belong to a larger class of bodies:
- $K$  is called a star-body if  $\forall x \in K [0, x] \in K$  and its *radial function*  $\rho_K$  is continuous (and even).
- $\rho_K(\theta) = \max \{r \geq 0; r\theta \in K\}$ ,  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ .  $\rho_K = \|\cdot\|_K^{-1}$ ,  
 $\|x\|_K = \min \{r \geq 0; x \in rK\}$  is Minkowski's functional.
- Radial metric:  $d_\rho(K_1, K_2) = \max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} |\rho_{K_1}(\theta) - \rho_{K_2}(\theta)|$ .

## Definition

$K$  int-body of  $L$  if  $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

$K$  intersection-body if  $\exists \{K_i\}$  int-bodies of  $\{L_i\}$ ,  $d_\rho(K_i, K) \rightarrow 0$ .

# Intersection Bodies (alternative definition)

Spherical Radon Transform:

$$R : C_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow C_e(S^{n-1}) \quad R(f)(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \theta^\perp} f(\xi) d\sigma_{\theta^\perp}(\xi)$$

$$R^* : \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} g R^*(d\mu) = \int_{S^{n-1}} R(g) d\mu$$

Easy to see that  $R^*(g) = R(g)$ , i.e. self-adjoint.

$R$  is injective and (by duality) onto a dense subset.

Recall:  $K$  int-body of  $L$  iff  $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

## Alternative Definition

$K$  int-body of  $L$  iff  $\rho_K = c_n R(\rho_L^{n-1}) = R^*(g)$ ,  $g \in C_{e,+}(S^{n-1})$ .

$K$  intersection-body iff  $\rho_K = R^*(d\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{e,+}(S^{n-1})$ .

Notation:  $\mathcal{I}^n$  = class of intersection bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .



# Intersection Bodies (alternative definition)

Spherical Radon Transform:

$$R : C_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow C_e(S^{n-1}) \quad R(f)(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \theta^\perp} f(\xi) d\sigma_{\theta^\perp}(\xi)$$

$$R^* : \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} g R^*(d\mu) = \int_{S^{n-1}} R(g) d\mu$$

Easy to see that  $R^*(g) = R(g)$ , i.e. self-adjoint.

$R$  is injective and (by duality) onto a dense subset.

Recall:  $K$  int-body of  $L$  iff  $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

## Alternative Definition

$K$  int-body of  $L$  iff  $\rho_K = c_n R(\rho_L^{n-1}) = R^*(g)$ ,  $g \in C_{e,+}(S^{n-1})$ .

$K$  intersection-body iff  $\rho_K = R^*(d\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{e,+}(S^{n-1})$ .

Notation:  $\mathcal{I}^n$  = class of intersection bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Intersection Bodies (alternative definition)

Spherical Radon Transform:

$$R : C_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow C_e(S^{n-1}) \quad R(f)(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \theta^\perp} f(\xi) d\sigma_{\theta^\perp}(\xi)$$

$$R^* : \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} g R^*(d\mu) = \int_{S^{n-1}} R(g) d\mu$$

Easy to see that  $R^*(g) = R(g)$ , i.e. self-adjoint.

$R$  is injective and (by duality) onto a dense subset.

Recall:  $K$  int-body of  $L$  iff  $\rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

## Alternative Definition

$K$  int-body of  $L$  iff  $\rho_K = c_n R(\rho_L^{n-1}) = R^*(g)$ ,  $g \in C_{e,+}(S^{n-1})$ .

$K$  intersection-body iff  $\rho_K = R^*(d\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{e,+}(S^{n-1})$ .

Notation:  $\mathcal{I}^n$  = class of intersection bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# $k$ -Generalized BP Problem

## $k$ -Generalized BP Problem (Zhang, 1996)

Let  $K, L$  be convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , fix  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n \quad \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Zhang: Answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is positive iff every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a "generalized int-body" called  $k$ -BP body ( $BP_k^n$ ).

Bourgain & Zhang (1998), Koldobsky (2000):  
negative for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 4$ .

true for  $k = n - 1$  (trivially).

open for  $n \geq 5, k = n - 3, n - 2$ ; *low-dim BP problem*.

# $k$ -Generalized BP Problem

## $k$ -Generalized BP Problem (Zhang, 1996)

Let  $K, L$  be convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , fix  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in G_{n-k}^n \quad \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Zhang: Answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is positive **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a "generalized int-body" called  $k$ -BP body ( $BP_k^n$ ).

Bourgain & Zhang (1998), Koldobsky (2000):  
negative for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 4$ .

true for  $k = n - 1$  (trivially).

**open** for  $n \geq 5, k = n - 3, n - 2$ ; *low-dim BP problem*.

# $k$ -Generalized BP Problem

## $k$ -Generalized BP Problem (Zhang, 1996)

Let  $K, L$  be convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , fix  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in G_{n-k}^n \quad \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Zhang: Answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is positive **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a "generalized int-body" called  $k$ -BP body ( $BP_k^n$ ).

Bourgain & Zhang (1998), Koldobsky (2000):  
negative for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 4$ .

true for  $k = n - 1$  (trivially).

**open** for  $n \geq 5, k = n - 3, n - 2$ ; *low-dim BP problem*.

# Low-Dimensional BP Problem

Denote  $d = n - k$ . Low-Dim BP Problem:  $n \geq 5$ ,  $d = 2, 3$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in G_d^n \quad \text{Vol}_d(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_d(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Known positive answers:

- Zhang (96):  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  convex body of revolution, i.e. invariant under  $O(n-1)$ .
- Generalized by Rubin (07) to  $K$  with more general axial symmetries - invariant under  $O(m) \times O(n-m)$ .
- Bourgain & Zhang (98):  $d = 2$ ;  $L$  Ball;  $K$  any small *convex* perturbation of Ball.
- Generalized by M. (05) to  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  any small perturbation of Ball, to an extent depending on its curvature.

# Low-Dimensional BP Problem

Denote  $d = n - k$ . Low-Dim BP Problem:  $n \geq 5$ ,  $d = 2, 3$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in G_d^n \quad \text{Vol}_d(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_d(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Known positive answers:

- Zhang (96):  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  convex body of revolution, i.e. invariant under  $O(n-1)$ .
- Generalized by Rubin (07) to  $K$  with more general axial symmetries - invariant under  $O(m) \times O(n-m)$ .
- Bourgain & Zhang (98):  $d = 2$ ;  $L$  Ball;  $K$  any small *convex* perturbation of Ball.
- Generalized by M. (05) to  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  any small perturbation of Ball, to an extent depending on its curvature.

# Low-Dimensional BP Problem

Denote  $d = n - k$ . Low-Dim BP Problem:  $n \geq 5$ ,  $d = 2, 3$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in G_d^n \quad \text{Vol}_d(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_d(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Known positive answers:

- Zhang (96):  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  convex body of revolution, i.e. invariant under  $O(n-1)$ .
- Generalized by Rubin (07) to  $K$  with more general axial symmetries - invariant under  $O(m) \times O(n-m)$ .
- Bourgain & Zhang (98):  $d = 2$ ;  $L$  Ball;  $K$  any small convex perturbation of Ball.
- Generalized by M. (05) to  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  any small perturbation of Ball, to an extent depending on its curvature.

# Low-Dimensional BP Problem

Denote  $d = n - k$ . Low-Dim BP Problem:  $n \geq 5$ ,  $d = 2, 3$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in G_d^n \quad \text{Vol}_d(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_d(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Known positive answers:

- Zhang (96):  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  convex body of revolution, i.e. invariant under  $O(n - 1)$ .
- Generalized by Rubin (07) to  $K$  with more general axial symmetries - invariant under  $O(m) \times O(n - m)$ .
- Bourgain & Zhang (98):  $d = 2$ ;  $L$  Ball;  $K$  any small convex perturbation of Ball.
- Generalized by M. (05) to  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  any small perturbation of Ball, to an extent depending on its curvature.

# Low-Dimensional BP Problem

Denote  $d = n - k$ . Low-Dim BP Problem:  $n \geq 5$ ,  $d = 2, 3$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in G_d^n \quad \text{Vol}_d(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_d(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Known positive answers:

- Zhang (96):  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  convex body of revolution, i.e. invariant under  $O(n-1)$ .
- Generalized by Rubin (07) to  $K$  with more general axial symmetries - invariant under  $O(m) \times O(n-m)$ .
- Bourgain & Zhang (98):  $d = 2$ ;  $L$  Ball;  $K$  any small convex perturbation of Ball.
- Generalized by M. (05) to  $d = 2, 3$ ; any  $L$ ;  $K$  any small perturbation of Ball, to an extent depending on its curvature.

# $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ - first generalization of $\mathcal{I}^n$ by Zhang

Spherical  $m$ -dim Radon Transform:

$$R_m : C_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow C(G_m^n) \quad R_m(f)(E) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap E} f(\xi) d\sigma_E(\xi)$$

$$R_m^* : \mathcal{M}(G_m^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} g R_m^*(d\mu) = \int_{G_m^n} R_m(g) d\mu$$

More concretely:

$$R_m^*(g)(\theta) = \int_{\theta \in E \in G_m^n} g(E) dE.$$

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}^n &\iff \rho_K = R^*(d\mu') \quad \mu' \in \mathcal{M}_{e,+}(S^{n-1}) \\ &= R_{n-1}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-1}^n) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$  (Zhang)

$$\underline{K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n} \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$$



# $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ - first generalization of $\mathcal{I}^n$ by Zhang

Spherical  $m$ -dim Radon Transform:

$$R_m : \mathcal{C}_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_m^n) \quad R_m(f)(E) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap E} f(\xi) d\sigma_E(\xi)$$

$$R_m^* : \mathcal{M}(G_m^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} g R_m^*(d\mu) = \int_{G_m^n} R_m(g) d\mu$$

More concretely:

$$R_m^*(g)(\theta) = \int_{\theta \in E \in G_m^n} g(E) dE.$$

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}^n &\iff \rho_K = R^*(d\mu') \quad \mu' \in \mathcal{M}_{e,+}(S^{n-1}) \\ &= R_{n-1}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-1}^n) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$  (Zhang)

$$\underline{K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n} \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$$



# $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ - first generalization of $\mathcal{I}^n$ by Zhang

Spherical  $m$ -dim Radon Transform:

$$R_m : \mathcal{C}_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_m^n) \quad R_m(f)(E) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap E} f(\xi) d\sigma_E(\xi)$$

$$R_m^* : \mathcal{M}(G_m^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} g R_m^*(d\mu) = \int_{G_m^n} R_m(g) d\mu$$

More concretely:

$$R_m^*(g)(\theta) = \int_{\theta \in E \in G_m^n} g(E) dE.$$

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}^n &\iff \rho_K = R^*(d\mu') & \mu' \in \mathcal{M}_{e,+}(S^{n-1}) \\ &= R_{n-1}^*(d\mu) & \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-1}^n) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$  (Zhang)

$$\underline{K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n} \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$$



# $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ - first generalization of $\mathcal{I}^n$ by Zhang

Spherical  $m$ -dim Radon Transform:

$$R_m : \mathcal{C}_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_m^n) \quad R_m(f)(E) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap E} f(\xi) d\sigma_E(\xi)$$

$$R_m^* : \mathcal{M}(G_m^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} g R_m^*(d\mu) = \int_{G_m^n} R_m(g) d\mu$$

More concretely:

$$R_m^*(g)(\theta) = \int_{\theta \in E \in G_m^n} g(E) dE.$$

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}^n &\iff \rho_K = R^*(d\mu') & \mu' \in \mathcal{M}_{e,+}(S^{n-1}) \\ &= R_{n-1}^*(d\mu) & \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-1}^n) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$  (Zhang)

$$\underline{K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n} \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$$

# $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ - first generalization of $\mathcal{I}^n$ by Zhang

Spherical  $m$ -dim Radon Transform:

$$R_m : C_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow C(G_m^n) \quad R_m(f)(E) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap E} f(\xi) d\sigma_E(\xi)$$

$$R_m^* : \mathcal{M}(G_m^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_e(S^{n-1}) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} g R_m^*(d\mu) = \int_{G_m^n} R_m(g) d\mu$$

More concretely:

$$R_m^*(g)(\theta) = \int_{\theta \in E \in G_m^n} g(E) dE.$$

## Remark

$R_m$  is injective but its image is **not dense** in  $C(G_m^n)$  for  $1 < m < n - 1$ , so  $\text{Ker } R_m^* \neq 0$  in this range.

## Definition of $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ (Zhang)

$$\underline{K} \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$$



# $k$ -Generalized BP problem and $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$

## $k$ -Generalized BP Problem (Zhang, 1996)

Let  $K, L$  be convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , fix  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n \quad \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Zhang: Answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is positive **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is in  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ . More precisely:

Thm (Zhang 1996, generalizing Lutwak, Gardner for  $k=1$ )

- If  $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  then **positive** answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any star-body  $L$ .
- If  $L \notin \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ ,  $L$  is sufficiently smooth and strictly convex, then there exists a convex perturbation  $K$  of  $L$  for which **negative** answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem.

## $k$ -Generalized BP Problem (Zhang, 1996)

Let  $K, L$  be convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , fix  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .

Assume  $\forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n \quad \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E)$ .

Does it follow that  $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$  ?

Zhang: Answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is positive **iff** every symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is in  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ . More precisely:

Thm (Zhang 1996, generalizing Lutwak, Gardner for  $k=1$ )

- If  $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  then **positive** answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any star-body  $L$ .
- If  $L \notin \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ ,  $L$  is sufficiently smooth and strictly convex, then there exists a convex perturbation  $K$  of  $L$  for which **negative** answer to  $k$ -generalized BP-problem.

# Proof of Positive Part

We are given  $\text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$  and:

$$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma = \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_K^{n-k}) d\mu = c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) d\mu(E) \\ &\leq c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) d\mu(E) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \leq \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \\ &= \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(L)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Positive Part

We are given  $\text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$  and:

$$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma = \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_K^{n-k}) d\mu = c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) d\mu(E) \\ &\leq c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) d\mu(E) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \leq \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \\ &= \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(L)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Positive Part

We are given  $\text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$  and:

$$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma = \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_K^{n-k}) d\mu = c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) d\mu(E) \\ &\leq c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) d\mu(E) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \leq \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \\ &= \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(L)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Positive Part

We are given  $\text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$  and:

$$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma = \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_K^{n-k}) d\mu = c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) d\mu(E) \\ &\leq c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) d\mu(E) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \leq \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \\ &= \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(L)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Positive Part

We are given  $\text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$  and:

$$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma = \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_K^{n-k}) d\mu = c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) d\mu(E) \\ &\leq c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) d\mu(E) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \leq \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \\ &= \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(L)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

# Proof of Positive Part

We are given  $\text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) \leq \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$  and:

$$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma = \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_K^{n-k}) d\mu = c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(K \cap E) d\mu(E) \\ &\leq c_{n-k} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) d\mu(E) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n} R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^{n-k} \rho_K^k d\sigma \leq \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_L^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n d\sigma \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \\ &= \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(L)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{n-k}{n}} \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(K)}{c_n} \right)^{\frac{k}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

# $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ - second generalization of $\mathcal{I}^n$ by Koldobsky

Recall:

$$\begin{aligned} K \text{ int-body of } L &\iff \rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in S^{n-1} \\ &\iff \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}_1(K \cap E^\perp) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in G_{n-1}^n \end{aligned}$$

Definition of  $\mathcal{I}_k^n$  (Koldobsky)

$K$   $k$ -int-body of  $L$   $\iff$

$$\text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) = \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in G_{n-k}^n$$

$K$   $k$ -int-body ( $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ ) if limit in the radial-metric.

Recall:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K \text{ int-body of } L &\iff \rho_K(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \theta^\perp) \quad \forall \theta \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1} \\
 &\iff \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}_1(K \cap E^\perp) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition of  $\mathcal{I}_k^n$  (Koldobsky)

$K$   $k$ -int-body of  $L$   $\iff$

$$\text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) = \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$$

$K$   $k$ -int-body ( $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ ) if limit in the radial-metric.

## Definition of $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ (Koldobsky)

$K$   $k$ -int-body of  $L$   $\iff$

$$\text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) = \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$$

$K$   $k$ -int-body ( $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ ) if limit in the radial-metric.

- $\mathcal{I}_k^n$  played important role in unified solution to BP-problem (Gardner Koldobsky Schlumprecht 99).
- In some sense an extension of  $L_\rho^n$  to  $L_{-k}^n$  (Koldobsky).
- Natural to describe using Fourier Transforms of homogeneous distributions (Koldobsky):

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$$

Definition of  $\mathcal{I}_k^n$  (Koldobsky)

$K$   $k$ -int-body of  $L$   $\iff$

$$\text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) = \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n$$

$K$   $k$ -int-body ( $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ ) if limit in the radial-metric.

- $\mathcal{I}_k^n$  played important role in unified solution to BP-problem (Gardner Koldobsky Schlumprecht 99).
- In some sense an extension of  $L_p^n$  to  $L_{-k}^n$  (Koldobsky).
- Natural to describe using Fourier Transforms of homogeneous distributions (Koldobsky):

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$$

# Fourier Transforms of Homogeneous Distributions

Given  $f \in C(S^{n-1})$ ,  $p > -n$ , denote the locally integrable:

$$E_p(f)(r\theta) = f(\theta)r^p \quad r > 0, \theta \in S^{n-1},$$

$E_p^\wedge(f)$  = Fourier Transform of  $E_p(f)$  as distribution,  
i.e. for any test function  $\phi$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_p^\wedge(f)\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_p(f)\phi^\wedge$$

Facts:

- $E_p^\wedge(f)$  is homogeneous distribution of degree  $-n - p$ .
- In general,  $E_p^\wedge(f)$  is not a locally integrable function nor even a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- If  $-n < p < 0$  and  $f \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$  then  $E_p^\wedge(f) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , and abusing notation  $E_p^\wedge(f) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ .

# Fourier Transforms of Homogeneous Distributions

Given  $f \in C(S^{n-1})$ ,  $p > -n$ , denote the locally integrable:

$$E_p(f)(r\theta) = f(\theta)r^p \quad r > 0, \theta \in S^{n-1},$$

$E_p^\wedge(f)$  = Fourier Transform of  $E_p(f)$  as distribution,  
i.e. for any test function  $\phi$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_p^\wedge(f)\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_p(f)\phi^\wedge$$

Facts:

- $E_p^\wedge(f)$  is homogeneous distribution of degree  $-n - p$ .
- In general,  $E_p^\wedge(f)$  is not a locally integrable function nor even a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- If  $-n < p < 0$  and  $f \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$  then  $E_p^\wedge(f) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , and abusing notation  $E_p^\wedge(f) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ .

# Fourier Transforms of Homogeneous Distributions

Given  $f \in C(S^{n-1})$ ,  $p > -n$ , denote the locally integrable:

$$E_p(f)(r\theta) = f(\theta)r^p \quad r > 0, \theta \in S^{n-1},$$

$E_p^\wedge(f)$  = Fourier Transform of  $E_p(f)$  as distribution,  
i.e. for any test function  $\phi$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_p^\wedge(f)\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_p(f)\phi^\wedge$$

Facts:

- $E_p^\wedge(f)$  is homogeneous distribution of degree  $-n - p$ .
- In general,  $E_p^\wedge(f)$  is not a locally integrable function nor even a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- If  $-n < p < 0$  and  $f \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$  then  $E_p^\wedge(f) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , and abusing notation  $E_p^\wedge(f) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ .

# Fourier Transforms of Homogeneous Distributions

Given  $f \in C(S^{n-1})$ ,  $p > -n$ , denote the locally integrable:

$$E_p(f)(r\theta) = f(\theta)r^p \quad r > 0, \theta \in S^{n-1},$$

$E_p^\wedge(f)$  = Fourier Transform of  $E_p(f)$  as distribution,  
i.e. for any test function  $\phi$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_p^\wedge(f)\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_p(f)\phi^\wedge$$

Facts:

- $E_p^\wedge(f)$  is homogeneous distribution of degree  $-n - p$ .
- In general,  $E_p^\wedge(f)$  is not a locally integrable function nor even a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- If  $-n < p < 0$  and  $f \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$  then  $E_p^\wedge(f) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , and abusing notation  $E_p^\wedge(f) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ .

# Some Properties of the Fourier Transform

## Thm (Koldobsky)

- Parseval Formula: for any nice  $f, g$ ,  $0 < q < n$ ,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} E_{-q}^{\wedge}(f)(\theta)g(\theta)d\sigma(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\theta)E_{-q}^{\wedge}(g)(\theta)d\sigma(\theta),$$

so  $E_{-q}^{\wedge} = (E_{-q}^{\wedge})^*$  is “self-adjoint”.

- Integration on Perpendicular subspaces: For any nice  $f$ ,

$$R_k(f)(H^{\perp}) = d_{n,k}R_{n-k}(E_{-k}^{\wedge}(f))(H) \quad \forall H \in G_{n-k}^n,$$

so:

$$I \circ R_k = d_{n,k}R_{n-k} \circ E_{-k}^{\wedge}$$

where:  $I: C(G_k^n) \rightarrow C(G_{n-k}^n)$   $I(f)(H) = f(H^{\perp})$ .

# Some Properties of the Fourier Transform

## Thm (Koldobsky)

- Parseval Formula: for any nice  $f, g$ ,  $0 < q < n$ ,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} E_{-q}^{\wedge}(f)(\theta)g(\theta)d\sigma(\theta) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\theta)E_{-q}^{\wedge}(g)(\theta)d\sigma(\theta),$$

so  $E_{-q}^{\wedge} = (E_{-q}^{\wedge})^*$  is “self-adjoint”.

- Integration on Perpendicular subspaces: For any nice  $f$ ,

$$R_k(f)(H^{\perp}) = d_{n,k}R_{n-k}(E_{-k}^{\wedge}(f))(H) \quad \forall H \in G_{n-k}^n,$$

so:

$$I \circ R_k = d_{n,k}R_{n-k} \circ E_{-k}^{\wedge}$$

where:  $I: C(G_k^n) \rightarrow C(G_{n-k}^n)$   $I(f)(H) = f(H^{\perp})$ .

# Connection of $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ to Fourier Transforms

## Thm (Koldobsky)

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$$

Remark: R.H.S. makes sense for non-integer  $0 < k < n$ .

Idea of Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad " \iff " \quad \text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) &= \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n \\ \iff \quad c_k(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) &= c_{n-k}R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by integration on perpendicular subspaces:

$$(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) = (I \circ R_k)(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k}) = d_{n,k}R_{n-k}((\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge)$$

By the injectivity of  $R_{n-k}$ :

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad " \iff " \quad (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = \frac{c_{n-k}}{c_k d_{n,k}} \rho_L^{n-k} \geq 0.$$

# Connection of $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ to Fourier Transforms

## Thm (Koldobsky)

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$$

Remark: R.H.S. makes sense for non-integer  $0 < k < n$ .

Idea of Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad " \iff " \quad \text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) &= \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n \\ \iff \quad c_k(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) &= c_{n-k}R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by integration on perpendicular subspaces:

$$(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) = (I \circ R_k)(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k}) = d_{n,k}R_{n-k}((\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge)$$

By the injectivity of  $R_{n-k}$ :

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad " \iff " \quad (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = \frac{c_{n-k}}{c_k d_{n,k}} \rho_L^{n-k} \geq 0.$$

# Connection of $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ to Fourier Transforms

## Thm (Koldobsky)

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$$

Remark: R.H.S. makes sense for non-integer  $0 < k < n$ .

Idea of Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad & \iff \quad \text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) = \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n \\ & \iff \quad c_k(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) = c_{n-k}R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by integration on perpendicular subspaces:

$$(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) = (I \circ R_k)(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k}) = d_{n,k}R_{n-k}((\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge)$$

By the injectivity of  $R_{n-k}$ :

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad \iff \quad (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = \frac{c_{n-k}}{c_k d_{n,k}} \rho_L^{n-k} \geq 0.$$

# Connection of $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ to Fourier Transforms

## Thm (Koldobsky)

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$$

Remark: R.H.S. makes sense for non-integer  $0 < k < n$ .

Idea of Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad " \iff " \quad \text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) &= \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n \\ \iff \quad c_k(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) &= c_{n-k}R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by integration on perpendicular subspaces:

$$(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) = (I \circ R_k)(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k}) = d_{n,k}R_{n-k}((\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge)$$

By the injectivity of  $R_{n-k}$ :

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad " \iff " \quad (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = \frac{c_{n-k}}{c_k d_{n,k}} \rho_L^{n-k} \geq 0.$$

# Connection of $\mathcal{I}_k^n$ to Fourier Transforms

## Thm (Koldobsky)

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$$

Remark: R.H.S. makes sense for non-integer  $0 < k < n$ .

Idea of Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad " \iff " \quad \text{Vol}_k(K \cap E^\perp) &= \text{Vol}_{n-k}(L \cap E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{G}_{n-k}^n \\ \iff \quad c_k(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) &= c_{n-k} R_{n-k}(\rho_L^{n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by integration on perpendicular subspaces:

$$(I \circ R_k)(\rho_K^k) = (I \circ R_k)(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k}) = d_{n,k} R_{n-k}((\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge)$$

By the injectivity of  $R_{n-k}$ :

$$K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \quad " \iff " \quad (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = \frac{c_{n-k}}{c_k d_{n,k}} \rho_L^{n-k} \geq 0.$$

# Relationship between $\mathcal{BP}_k^n, \mathcal{I}_k^n$

Two generalizations of  $\mathcal{I}^n$ :

- $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$
- $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$

Thm (Koldobsky 00):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

*Proof (M. 05):*

$$I \circ R_k = d_{n,k} R_{n-k} \circ E_{-k}^\wedge$$

Dualizing:

$$(I \circ R_k)^* = d_{n,k} (E_{-k}^\wedge)^* \circ R_{n-k}^* = d_{n,k} E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*$$

So if  $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ , i.e.  $\|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu), \mu \geq 0$ ,

$$(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) = d_{n,k}^{-1} (I \circ R_k)^*(d\mu) \geq 0,$$

hence  $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

# Relationship between $\mathcal{BP}_k^n, \mathcal{I}_k^n$

Two generalizations of  $\mathcal{I}^n$ :

- $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$
- $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$

Thm (Koldobsky 00):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

*Proof* (M. 05):

$$I \circ R_k = d_{n,k} R_{n-k} \circ E_{-k}^\wedge$$

Dualizing:

$$(I \circ R_k)^* = d_{n,k} (E_{-k}^\wedge)^* \circ R_{n-k}^* = d_{n,k} E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*$$

So if  $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ , i.e.  $\|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu), \mu \geq 0$ ,

$$(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) = d_{n,k}^{-1} (I \circ R_k)^*(d\mu) \geq 0,$$

hence  $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

# Relationship between $\mathcal{BP}_k^n, \mathcal{I}_k^n$

Two generalizations of  $\mathcal{I}^n$ :

- $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$
- $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$

Thm (Koldobsky 00):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

*Proof* (M. 05):

$$I \circ R_k = d_{n,k} R_{n-k} \circ E_{-k}^\wedge$$

Dualizing:

$$(I \circ R_k)^* = d_{n,k} (E_{-k}^\wedge)^* \circ R_{n-k}^* = d_{n,k} E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*$$

So if  $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ , i.e.  $\|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu), \mu \geq 0$ ,

$$(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) = d_{n,k}^{-1} (I \circ R_k)^*(d\mu) \geq 0,$$

hence  $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

# Relationship between $\mathcal{BP}_k^n, \mathcal{I}_k^n$

Two generalizations of  $\mathcal{I}^n$ :

- $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$
- $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$

Thm (Koldobsky 00):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

*Proof* (M. 05):

$$I \circ R_k = d_{n,k} R_{n-k} \circ E_{-k}^\wedge$$

Dualizing:

$$(I \circ R_k)^* = d_{n,k} (E_{-k}^\wedge)^* \circ R_{n-k}^* = d_{n,k} E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*$$

So if  $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ , i.e.  $\|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu)$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ ,

$$(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) = d_{n,k}^{-1} (I \circ R_k)^*(d\mu) \geq 0,$$

hence  $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

# Relationship between $\mathcal{BP}_k^n, \mathcal{I}_k^n$

Two generalizations of  $\mathcal{I}^n$ :

- $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n \iff \|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = \rho_K^k = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(G_{n-k}^n)$
- $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n \iff (\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge \geq 0$

Thm (Koldobsky 00):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

*Proof* (M. 05):

$$I \circ R_k = d_{n,k} R_{n-k} \circ E_{-k}^\wedge$$

Dualizing:

$$(I \circ R_k)^* = d_{n,k} (E_{-k}^\wedge)^* \circ R_{n-k}^* = d_{n,k} E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*$$

So if  $K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ , i.e.  $\|\cdot\|_K^{-k} = R_{n-k}^*(d\mu)$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ ,

$$(\|\cdot\|_K^{-k})^\wedge = E_{-k}^\wedge \circ R_{n-k}^*(d\mu) = d_{n,k}^{-1} (I \circ R_k)^*(d\mu) \geq 0,$$

hence  $K \in \mathcal{I}_k^n$ .

# Convex Bodies and $\mathcal{I}_k^n$

Thm (Gardner-Koldobsky-Schlumprecht 99)

{Convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ }  $\subset \mathcal{I}_p^n$  iff  $n - 3 \leq p < n$ .

Cor (Bourgain-Zhang 98, Koldobsky 00)

Negative answer to  $k$ -Generalized BP problem for  $k < n - 3$ , in particular ( $k = 1$ ) negative answer to BP problem for  $n > 4$ .

Proof: By GKS there exists a convex symmetric  $K \notin \mathcal{I}_k^n$ , and since  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ,  $K \notin \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ , and conclude by Zhang's Thm.

Thm (Gardner-Koldobsky-Schlumprecht 99)

{Convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ }  $\subset \mathcal{I}_p^n$  iff  $n - 3 \leq p < n$ .

Cor (Bourgain-Zhang 98, Koldobsky 00)

Negative answer to  $k$ -Generalized BP problem for  $k < n - 3$ , in particular ( $k = 1$ ) negative answer to BP problem for  $n > 4$ .

Proof: By GKS there exists a convex symmetric  $K \notin \mathcal{I}_k^n$ , and since  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ,  $K \notin \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ , and conclude by Zhang's Thm.

# Convex Bodies and $\mathcal{I}_k^n$

Thm (Gardner-Koldobsky-Schlumprecht 99)

{Convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ }  $\subset \mathcal{I}_p^n$  iff  $n - 3 \leq p < n$ .

Cor (Bourgain-Zhang 98, Koldobsky 00)

Negative answer to  $k$ -Generalized BP problem for  $k < n - 3$ , in particular ( $k = 1$ ) negative answer to BP problem for  $n > 4$ .

Proof: By GKS there exists a convex symmetric  $K \notin \mathcal{I}_k^n$ , and since  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ,  $K \notin \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ , and conclude by Zhang's Thm.

Thm (Gardner-Koldobsky-Schlumprecht 99)

{Convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ }  $\subset \mathcal{I}_p^n$  iff  $n - 3 \leq p < n$ .

Proof based on the formula ( $q \geq 0$ ):

$$(\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+1+q})^\wedge(\xi) = \frac{A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0)}{a_{n,q}}$$

$A_{K,\xi} = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \{t\xi + \xi^\perp\})$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}$  is its fractional derivative.

- When  $q \leq 2$ , this depends only on the usual first two derivatives of  $A_{K,\xi}$ .
- When  $K$  is (symmetric) convex, by Brunn's Thm  $A_{K,\xi}$  is (even) concave function, so  $A_{K,\xi}^{(2)}(0) \leq 0$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(1)}(0) = 0$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(0)}(0) \geq 0$ , hence  $(\|\cdot\|_K^{-p})^\wedge \geq 0$  for  $p \geq n - 3$ .
- Convexity does not control higher order derivatives, and indeed for  $s > 2$ ,  $\ell_s^n \notin \mathcal{I}_p^n$  for  $p < n - 3$ . Same for cylinders.

Thm (Gardner-Koldobsky-Schlumprecht 99)

{Convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ }  $\subset \mathcal{I}_p^n$  iff  $n - 3 \leq p < n$ .

Proof based on the formula ( $q \geq 0$ ):

$$(\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+1+q})^\wedge(\xi) = \frac{A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0)}{a_{n,q}}$$

$A_{K,\xi} = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \{t\xi + \xi^\perp\})$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}$  is its fractional derivative.

- When  $q \leq 2$ , this depends only on the usual first two derivatives of  $A_{K,\xi}$ .
- When  $K$  is (symmetric) convex, by Brunn's Thm  $A_{K,\xi}$  is (even) concave function, so  $A_{K,\xi}^{(2)}(0) \leq 0$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(1)}(0) = 0$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(0)}(0) \geq 0$ , hence  $(\|\cdot\|_K^{-p})^\wedge \geq 0$  for  $p \geq n - 3$ .
- Convexity does not control higher order derivatives, and indeed for  $s > 2$ ,  $\ell_s^n \notin \mathcal{I}_p^n$  for  $p < n - 3$ . Same for cylinders.

Thm (Gardner-Koldobsky-Schlumprecht 99)

{Convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ }  $\subset \mathcal{I}_p^n$  iff  $n - 3 \leq p < n$ .

Proof based on the formula ( $q \geq 0$ ):

$$(\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+1+q})^\wedge(\xi) = \frac{A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0)}{a_{n,q}}$$

$A_{K,\xi} = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \{t\xi + \xi^\perp\})$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}$  is its fractional derivative.

- When  $q \leq 2$ , this depends only on the usual first two derivatives of  $A_{K,\xi}$ .
- When  $K$  is (symmetric) convex, by Brunn's Thm  $A_{K,\xi}$  is (even) concave function, so  $A_{K,\xi}^{(2)}(0) \leq 0$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(1)}(0) = 0$ ,  $A_{K,\xi}^{(0)}(0) \geq 0$ , hence  $(\|\cdot\|_K^{-p})^\wedge \geq 0$  for  $p \geq n - 3$ .
- Convexity does not control higher order derivatives, and indeed for  $s > 2$ ,  $\ell_s^n \notin \mathcal{I}_p^n$  for  $p < n - 3$ . Same for cylinders.

# Equivalence of $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ and $\mathcal{I}_k^n$

- Koldobsky 00: Question -  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ?  
Positive answer would imply positive answer to Low-Dim BP problem ( $n - k = 2, 3$ ) (but not conversely!)

Reason:

GKS:  $\{\text{Convex symmetric bodies in } \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$  iff  $k \geq n - 3$ .

if  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $k = n - 3, n - 2$ :

$\{\text{Convex symmetric bodies in } \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathcal{BP}_{n-3}^n, \mathcal{BP}_{n-2}^n$ .

Zhang: implies positive answer to Low-Dim BP problem.

## Conclusion

$\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  is an interesting question, with potential Geometric consequences.

# Equivalence of $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ and $\mathcal{I}_k^n$

- Koldobsky 00: Question -  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ?  
Positive answer would imply positive answer to Low-Dim BP problem ( $n - k = 2, 3$ ) (but not conversely!)

Reason:

GKS:  $\{\text{Convex symmetric bodies in } \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$  iff  $k \geq n - 3$ .

if  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $k = n - 3, n - 2$ :

$\{\text{Convex symmetric bodies in } \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathcal{BP}_{n-3}^n, \mathcal{BP}_{n-2}^n$ .

Zhang: implies positive answer to Low-Dim BP problem.

## Conclusion

$\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  is an interesting question, with potential Geometric consequences.

# Equivalence of $\mathcal{BP}_k^n$ and $\mathcal{I}_k^n$

- Koldobsky 00: Question -  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ?  
Positive answer would imply positive answer to Low-Dim BP problem ( $n - k = 2, 3$ ) (but not conversely!)

Reason:

GKS:  $\{\text{Convex symmetric bodies in } \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$  iff  $k \geq n - 3$ .

if  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $k = n - 3, n - 2$ :

$\{\text{Convex symmetric bodies in } \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathcal{BP}_{n-3}^n, \mathcal{BP}_{n-2}^n$ .

Zhang: implies positive answer to Low-Dim BP problem.

## Conclusion

$\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  is an interesting question, with potential Geometric consequences.

# Plan for the rest of the talk

## Plan:

- Motivation why  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  (already know  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ).
- Th. (M. 07):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \neq \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $n \geq 4, 2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .  
So no Geometric consequences, but rather analytical ones.

# Plan for the rest of the talk

## Plan:

- Motivation why  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  (already know  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ).
- Th. (M. 07):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \neq \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $n \geq 4, 2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .  
So no Geometric consequences, but rather analytical ones.

# Plan for the rest of the talk

## Plan:

- Motivation why  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$  (already know  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$ ).
- Th. (M. 07):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \neq \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $n \geq 4, 2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .  
So no Geometric consequences, but rather analytical ones.

# Identical Structures of $\mathcal{BP}_k^n, \mathcal{I}_k^n$

Th. (M. 05): for  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{BP}, \mathcal{I}$  (using different methods):

- 1  $\mathcal{C}_k^n$  closed under full-rank linear transformations,  $k$ -radial sums ( $\rho_L^k = \rho_{K_1}^k + \rho_{K_2}^k$ ), limit in radial metric.
- 2  $\mathcal{C}_1^n = \mathcal{I}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^n = \{\text{symmetric star-bodies in } \mathbb{R}^n\}$ .
- 3 Let  $K_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{k_1}^n$ ,  $K_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{k_2}^n$  and  $l = k_1 + k_2 \leq n - 1$ .  
If  $\rho_L^l = \rho_{K_1}^{k_1} \rho_{K_2}^{k_2}$  then  $L \in \mathcal{C}_l^n$ . As corollaries:
  - 1  $\mathcal{C}_{k_1}^n \cap \mathcal{C}_{k_2}^n \subset \mathcal{C}_{k_1+k_2}^n$  if  $k_1 + k_2 \leq n - 1$ .
  - 2  $\mathcal{C}_k^n \subset \mathcal{C}_l^n$  if  $k$  divides  $l$  (*open*:  $k < l$ ?)
  - 3 If  $K \in \mathcal{C}_k^n$  and  $\rho_L = \rho_K^{k/l}$  then  $L \in \mathcal{C}_l^n$  for  $l \geq k$ .
- 4 If  $K \in \mathcal{C}_k^n$  then  $K \cap E \in \mathcal{C}_k^m$  for  $E \in \mathcal{G}_m^n$  and  $m > k$ .

(1) and (2) well-known and basically follow from defs.

For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{I}$ , (3) independently noticed by Koldobsky.

For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{BP}$ , (4) and (3-2) for  $k = 1$  were proved by Grinberg and Zhang.

# Identical Structures of $\mathcal{BP}_k^n, \mathcal{I}_k^n$

Th. (M. 05): for  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{BP}, \mathcal{I}$  (using different methods):

- 1  $\mathcal{C}_k^n$  closed under full-rank linear transformations,  $k$ -radial sums ( $\rho_L^k = \rho_{K_1}^k + \rho_{K_2}^k$ ), limit in radial metric.
- 2  $\mathcal{C}_1^n = \mathcal{I}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^n = \{\text{symmetric star-bodies in } \mathbb{R}^n\}$ .
- 3 Let  $K_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{k_1}^n$ ,  $K_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{k_2}^n$  and  $l = k_1 + k_2 \leq n - 1$ .  
If  $\rho_L^l = \rho_{K_1}^{k_1} \rho_{K_2}^{k_2}$  then  $L \in \mathcal{C}_l^n$ . As corollaries:
  - 1  $\mathcal{C}_{k_1}^n \cap \mathcal{C}_{k_2}^n \subset \mathcal{C}_{k_1+k_2}^n$  if  $k_1 + k_2 \leq n - 1$ .
  - 2  $\mathcal{C}_k^n \subset \mathcal{C}_l^n$  if  $k$  divides  $l$  (*open:  $k < l$ ?*)
  - 3 If  $K \in \mathcal{C}_k^n$  and  $\rho_L = \rho_K^{k/l}$  then  $L \in \mathcal{C}_l^n$  for  $l \geq k$ .
- 4 If  $K \in \mathcal{C}_k^n$  then  $K \cap E \in \mathcal{C}_k^m$  for  $E \in \mathcal{G}_m^n$  and  $m > k$ .

(1) and (2) well-known and basically follow from defs.

For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{I}$ , (3) independently noticed by Koldobsky.

For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{BP}$ , (4) and (3-2) for  $k = 1$  were proved by Grinberg and Zhang.

Th. (M. 07):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \neq \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $n \geq 4, 2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .

In fact, we construct  $C^\infty$  body of revolution in  $\mathcal{I}_k^n \setminus \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ .

Proof relies on:

Th. (M. 05): The following are equivalent:

1

$$\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_k^n$$

2  $\exists g \in C^\infty(G_{n-k}^n), R_{n-k}^*(g) \geq 1$  and  $(I \circ R_k)^*(g) \geq 1$ , but  $g$  is not non-negative functional on  $R_{n-k}(C(G_{n-k}^n))$ :

$$\exists h \in R_{n-k}(C(G_{n-k}^n))_+ \text{ s.t. } \int_{G_{n-k}^n} g(E)h(E)d\eta(E) < 0.$$

$$(\text{where } I: C(G_k^n) \rightarrow C(G_{n-k}^n) \quad I(f)(E) = f(E^\perp))$$

Idea of Proof: construct  $g \in C^\infty(G_{n-k}^n)$  in (2) invariant under natural action of  $O(n-1) < O(n)$ , by analyzing the action of  $R_{n-k}$  and  $R_{n-k}^*$  on functions of revolution.

3 Dual statement (non-constructive!)

$$\overline{R_{n-k}(C(S^{n-1}))_+} \not\supseteq \overline{R_{n-k}(C_+(S^{n-1})) + I \circ R_k(C_+(S^{n-1}))}.$$

Th. (M. 07):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \neq \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $n \geq 4, 2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .

In fact, we construct  $C^\infty$  body of revolution in  $\mathcal{I}_k^n \setminus \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ .

Proof relies on:

Th. (M. 05): The following are equivalent:

1

$$\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_k^n$$

2  $\exists g \in C^\infty(G_{n-k}^n)$ ,  $R_{n-k}^*(g) \geq 1$  and  $(I \circ R_k)^*(g) \geq 1$ , but  $g$  is not non-negative functional on  $R_{n-k}(C(G_{n-k}^n))$ :

$$\exists h \in R_{n-k}(C(G_{n-k}^n))_+ \text{ s.t. } \int_{G_{n-k}^n} g(E)h(E)d\eta(E) < 0.$$

$$(\text{where } I: C(G_k^n) \rightarrow C(G_{n-k}^n) \quad I(f)(E) = f(E^\perp))$$

Idea of Proof: construct  $g \in C^\infty(G_{n-k}^n)$  in (2) invariant under natural action of  $O(n-1) < O(n)$ , by analyzing the action of  $R_{n-k}$  and  $R_{n-k}^*$  on functions of revolution.

3 Dual statement (non-constructive!)

$$\overline{R_{n-k}(C(S^{n-1}))_+} \not\supseteq \overline{R_{n-k}(C_+(S^{n-1})) + I \circ R_k(C_+(S^{n-1}))}.$$

Th. (M. 07):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \neq \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $n \geq 4, 2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .

In fact, we construct  $C^\infty$  body of revolution in  $\mathcal{I}_k^n \setminus \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ .

Proof relies on:

Th. (M. 05): The following are equivalent:

1

$$\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_k^n$$

2  $\exists g \in C^\infty(G_{n-k}^n)$ ,  $R_{n-k}^*(g) \geq 1$  and  $(I \circ R_k)^*(g) \geq 1$ , but  $g$  is not non-negative functional on  $R_{n-k}(C(G_{n-k}^n))$ :

$$\exists h \in R_{n-k}(C(G_{n-k}^n))_+ \text{ s.t. } \int_{G_{n-k}^n} g(E)h(E)d\eta(E) < 0.$$

$$(\text{where } I: C(G_k^n) \rightarrow C(G_{n-k}^n) \quad I(f)(E) = f(E^\perp))$$

Idea of Proof: construct  $g \in C^\infty(G_{n-k}^n)$  in (2) invariant under natural action of  $O(n-1) < O(n)$ , by analyzing the action of  $R_{n-k}$  and  $R_{n-k}^*$  on functions of revolution.

3 Dual statement (non-constructive!)

$$\overline{R_{n-k}(C(S^{n-1}))_+} \not\supseteq \overline{R_{n-k}(C_+(S^{n-1})) + I \circ R_k(C_+(S^{n-1}))}.$$

Th. (M. 07):  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n \neq \mathcal{I}_k^n$  for  $n \geq 4, 2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ .

In fact, we construct  $C^\infty$  body of revolution in  $\mathcal{I}_k^n \setminus \mathcal{BP}_k^n$ .

Proof relies on:

Th. (M. 05): The following are equivalent:

1

$$\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_k^n$$

2  $\exists g \in C^\infty(G_{n-k}^n)$ ,  $R_{n-k}^*(g) \geq 1$  and  $(I \circ R_k)^*(g) \geq 1$ , but  $g$  is not non-negative functional on  $R_{n-k}(C(G_{n-k}^n))$ :

$$\exists h \in R_{n-k}(C(G_{n-k}^n))_+ \text{ s.t. } \int_{G_{n-k}^n} g(E)h(E)d\eta(E) < 0.$$

$$\text{(where } I: C(G_k^n) \rightarrow C(G_{n-k}^n) \text{ } I(f)(E) = f(E^\perp)\text{)}$$

Idea of Proof: construct  $g \in C^\infty(G_{n-k}^n)$  in (2) invariant under natural action of  $O(n-1) < O(n)$ , by analyzing the action of  $R_{n-k}$  and  $R_{n-k}^*$  on functions of revolution.

3 Dual statement (non-constructive!)

$$\overline{R_{n-k}(C(S^{n-1}))_+} \not\supseteq \overline{R_{n-k}(C_+(S^{n-1})) + I \circ R_k(C_+(S^{n-1}))}.$$

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_j$ :  
 $\rho_{K_j}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{j,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{j,m_j}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

Equivalently

$\mathcal{BP}_k^n$  is the smallest family (containing the Euclidean Ball) which is closed under full-rank linear transformations,  $k$ -radial sums ( $\rho_L^k = \rho_{K_1}^k + \rho_{K_2}^k$ ), limit in radial metric.

Corollary

$$\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$$

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_j$ :  
 $\rho_{K_j}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{j,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{j,m_j}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

Equivalently

$\mathcal{BP}_k^n$  is the smallest family (containing the Euclidean Ball) which is closed under full-rank linear transformations,  $k$ -radial sums ( $\rho_L^k = \rho_{K_1}^k + \rho_{K_2}^k$ ), limit in radial metric.

Corollary

$$\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$$

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_j$ :  
 $\rho_{K_j}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{j,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{j,m_j}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

Equivalently

$\mathcal{BP}_k^n$  is the smallest family (containing the Euclidean Ball) which is closed under full-rank linear transformations,  $k$ -radial sums ( $\rho_L^k = \rho_{K_1}^k + \rho_{K_2}^k$ ), limit in radial metric.

Corollary

$$\mathcal{BP}_k^n \subset \mathcal{I}_k^n$$

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_i$ :

$\rho_{K_i}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,m_i}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

- Note:  $E_{-k}(1) = \|\cdot\|_{D_n}^{-k}$ ,  $T \in PD(n)$   $T(E_{-k}(1)) = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^{-k}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $E_{-k}^\wedge(1) = b_{n,k} E_{-n+k}(1) \geq 0$ .
- If  $T \in PD(n)$ ,  $T(E_{-k}(1))^\wedge = \det(T) T^{-1}(E_{-k}^\wedge(1)) \geq 0$ .

Equivalent formulation to  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ :

If  $f \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$  satisfies  $f \geq 0$  and  $E_{-k}^\wedge(f) \geq 0$ , is  $f$  the limit of  $\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(E_{-k}(1))$ ,  $T_i \in PD(n)$ ? (write  $f = \rho_K^k = \|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$ )

Answer: No, for  $n \geq 4$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

(Yes for  $k = 1$  (Goodey & Weil) and  $k = n-1$ ).

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_i$ :  
 $\rho_{K_i}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,m_i}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

- **Note:**  $E_{-k}(1) = \|\cdot\|_{D_n}^{-k}$ ,  $T \in PD(n)$   $T(E_{-k}(1)) = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^{-k}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $E_{-k}^\wedge(1) = b_{n,k} E_{-n+k}(1) \geq 0$ .
- If  $T \in PD(n)$ ,  $T(E_{-k}(1))^\wedge = \det(T) T^{-1}(E_{-k}^\wedge(1)) \geq 0$ .

Equivalent formulation to  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ :

If  $f \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$  satisfies  $f \geq 0$  and  $E_{-k}^\wedge(f) \geq 0$ , is  $f$  the limit of  $\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(E_{-k}(1))$ ,  $T_i \in PD(n)$ ? (write  $f = \rho_K^k = \|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$ )

Answer: No, for  $n \geq 4$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

(Yes for  $k = 1$  (Goodey & Weil) and  $k = n-1$ ).

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_i$ :  
 $\rho_{K_i}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,m_i}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

- Note:  $E_{-k}(1) = \|\cdot\|_{D_n}^{-k}$ ,  $T \in PD(n)$   $T(E_{-k}(1)) = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^{-k}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $E_{-k}^\wedge(1) = b_{n,k} E_{-n+k}(1) \geq 0$ .
- If  $T \in PD(n)$ ,  $T(E_{-k}(1))^\wedge = \det(T) T^{-1}(E_{-k}^\wedge(1)) \geq 0$ .

Equivalent formulation to  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ :

If  $f \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$  satisfies  $f \geq 0$  and  $E_{-k}^\wedge(f) \geq 0$ , is  $f$  the limit of  $\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(E_{-k}(1))$ ,  $T_i \in PD(n)$ ? (write  $f = \rho_K^k = \|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$ )

Answer: No, for  $n \geq 4$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

(Yes for  $k = 1$  (Goodey & Weil) and  $k = n-1$ ).

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_i$ :  
 $\rho_{K_i}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,m_i}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

- Note:  $E_{-k}(1) = \|\cdot\|_{D_n}^{-k}$ ,  $T \in PD(n)$   $T(E_{-k}(1)) = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^{-k}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $E_{-k}^\wedge(1) = b_{n,k} E_{-n+k}(1) \geq 0$ .
- If  $T \in PD(n)$ ,  $T(E_{-k}(1))^\wedge = \det(T) T^{-1}(E_{-k}^\wedge(1)) \geq 0$ .

Equivalent formulation to  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ :

If  $f \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$  satisfies  $f \geq 0$  and  $E_{-k}^\wedge(f) \geq 0$ , is  $f$  the limit of  $\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(E_{-k}(1))$ ,  $T_i \in PD(n)$ ? (write  $f = \rho_K^k = \|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$ )

Answer: No, for  $n \geq 4$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

(Yes for  $k = 1$  (Goodey & Weil) and  $k = n-1$ ).

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_i$ :  
 $\rho_{K_i}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,m_i}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

- Note:  $E_{-k}(1) = \|\cdot\|_{D_n}^{-k}$ ,  $T \in PD(n)$   $T(E_{-k}(1)) = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^{-k}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $E_{-k}^\wedge(1) = b_{n,k} E_{-n+k}(1) \geq 0$ .
- If  $T \in PD(n)$ ,  $T(E_{-k}(1))^\wedge = \det(T) T^{-1}(E_{-k}^\wedge(1)) \geq 0$ .

Equivalent formulation to  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ :

If  $f \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$  satisfies  $f \geq 0$  and  $E_{-k}^\wedge(f) \geq 0$ , is  $f$  the limit of  $\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(E_{-k}(1))$ ,  $T_i \in PD(n)$ ? (write  $f = \rho_K^k = \|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$ )

Answer: No, for  $n \geq 4$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

(Yes for  $k = 1$  (Goodey & Weil) and  $k = n-1$ ).

# Additional formulations using Fourier Transforms

Thm (Grinberg-Zhang 99, generalizing  $k = 1$  Goodey-Weil 95)

$K \in \mathcal{BP}_k^n$  iff  $K$  can be approximated in radial-metric by  $K_i$ :  
 $\rho_{K_i}^k = \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,1}}^k + \dots + \rho_{\mathcal{E}_{i,m_i}}^k$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$  are ellipsoids.

- Note:  $E_{-k}(1) = \|\cdot\|_{D_n}^{-k}$ ,  $T \in PD(n)$   $T(E_{-k}(1)) = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^{-k}$ .
- For  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $E_{-k}^\wedge(1) = b_{n,k} E_{-n+k}(1) \geq 0$ .
- If  $T \in PD(n)$ ,  $T(E_{-k}(1))^\wedge = \det(T) T^{-1}(E_{-k}^\wedge(1)) \geq 0$ .

Equivalent formulation to  $\mathcal{BP}_k^n = \mathcal{I}_k^n$ :

If  $f \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$  satisfies  $f \geq 0$  and  $E_{-k}^\wedge(f) \geq 0$ , is  $f$  the limit of  $\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(E_{-k}(1))$ ,  $T_i \in PD(n)$ ? (write  $f = \rho_K^k = \|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$ )

Answer: No, for  $n \geq 4$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ .

(Yes for  $k = 1$  (Goodey & Weil) and  $k = n-1$ ).