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## Tentative Plan

Lecture 1. Background. Testing properties of images and lists.
Lecture 2. Testing properties of lists. Sublinear-time approximation for graph problems.

Lecture 3. Properties of functions. Monotonicity and linearity testing.

Lecture 4. Techniques for proving hardness. Other models for sublinear computation.

## Property Testing

Simple Examples

## Testing if a List is Sorted

Input: a list of $n$ numbers $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$

- Question: Is the list sorted?

Requires reading entire list: $\Omega(\mathrm{n})$ time

- Approximate version: Is the list sorted or $\epsilon$-far from sorted?
(An $\epsilon$ fraction of $x_{i}$ 's have to be changed to make it sorted.)
[Ergün Kannan Kumar Rubinfeld Viswanathan 98, Fischer 01]: $\mathrm{O}((\log n) / \epsilon)$ time $\Omega(\log n)$ queries
- Attempts:

1. Test: Pick a random $i$ and reject if $x_{i}>x_{i+1}$.

Fails on: 11111110000000
$\leftarrow$ 1/2-far from sorted
2. Test: Pick random $i<j$ and reject if $x_{i}>x_{j}$.

Fails on: 10213243546576
$\leftarrow$ 1/2-far from sorted

## Is a list sorted or $\epsilon$-far from sorted?

Idea: Associate positions in the list with vertices of the directed line.


Construct a graph (2-spanner)
$\leq n \log n$ edges

- by adding a few "shortcut" edges ( $i, j$ ) for $i<j$
- where each pair of vertices is connected by a path of length at most 2


## Is a list sorted or $\epsilon$-far from sorted?

Test [Dodis Goldreich Lehman Raskhodnikova Ron Samorodnitsky 99]
Pick a random edge $\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)$ from the 2-spanner and reject if $x_{i}>x_{j}$.

Analysis:


- Call an edge ( $x_{i}, x_{j}$ ) violated if $x_{i}>x_{j}$, and good otherwise.
- If $x_{i}$ is an endpoint of a bad edge, call it bad. Otherwise, call it good.

Claim 1. All good numbers $x_{i}$ are sorted.
Proof: Consider any two good numbers, $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$.
They are connected by a path of (at most) two good edges $\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right),\left(x_{k}, x_{j}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow x_{i} \leq x_{k} \text { and } x_{k} \leq x_{j} \\
& \Rightarrow x_{i} \leq x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Is a list sorted or $\epsilon$-far from sorted?

Test [Dodis Goldreich Lehman Raskhodnikova Ron Samorodnitsky 99]
Pick a random edge $\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)$ from the 2-spanner and reject if $x_{i}>x_{j}$.

Analysis:


- Call an edge ( $x_{i}, x_{j}$ ) violated if $x_{i}>x_{j}$, and good otherwise.
- If $x_{i}$ is an endpoint of a bad edge, call it bad. Otherwise, call it good.

Claim 1. All good numbers $x_{i}$ are sorted.
Claim 2. An $\epsilon$-far list violates $\geq \epsilon /(2 \log n)$ fraction of edges in 2-spanner.
Proof: If a list is $\epsilon$-far from sorted, it has $\geq \epsilon n$ bad numbers. (Claim 1)
$\Rightarrow$ 2-TC-spanner has $\geq \epsilon \mathrm{n} / 2$ violated edges out of $\leq \mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n}$

## Is a list sorted or $\epsilon$-far from sorted?

Test [Dodis Goldreich Lehman Raskhodnikova Ron Samorodnitsky 99]
Pick a random edge $\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)$ from the 2-spanner and reject if $x_{i}>x_{i}$.

Analysis:


- Call an edge $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ violated if $x_{i}>x_{j}$, and good otherwise.

Claim 2. An $\epsilon$-far list violates $\geq \epsilon /(2 \log n)$ fraction of edges in 2 -spanner.
By Witness Lemma, it suffices to sample $(4 \log n) / \epsilon$ edges from 2-spanner.

## Algorithm <br> Sample $(4 \log n) / \epsilon$ edges $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ from the 2-spanyer and reject if $x_{i}>x_{j}$.

Guarantee: All sorted lists are accepted.
All lists that are $\epsilon$-far frgm sorted are rejected with probability $\geq 2 / 3$.
Time: $\mathrm{O}((\log \mathrm{n}) / \epsilon)$

## Comparison to Binary-Search-Based Test

- Binary-Search-Based Test worked only for testing if a sequence is strictly increasing.

There is a simple reduction from testing strict sortedness to testing non-strict sortedness.

- Spanner-based test is nonadaptive: queries can be determined in advance, before seeing answers to previous queries.
/ Binary-Search-Based Test can be made nonadaptive.


## Lipschitz Property

- A list of $n$ numbers $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}$ is Lipschitz if the numbers do not change too quickly: $\left|x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right| \leq 1$ for all $i$.


The spanner-based test for sortedness can test the Lipschitz property in $O(\log n / \varepsilon)$ time.

It applies to a more general class of properties.

# Randomized Approximation in sublinear time 

Simple Examples

## Reminder: a Toy Example

Input: a string $w \in\{0,1\}^{n}$

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Goal: Estimate the fraction of 1 's in $w$ (like in polls)
It suffices to sample $s=1 / \varepsilon^{2}$ positions and output the average to get the fraction of 1 's $\pm \varepsilon$ (i.e., additive error $\varepsilon$ ) with probability $\geq 2 / 3$

## Hoeffding Bound

Let $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}}$ be independently distributed random variables in [0,1] and
let $\mathrm{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (sample sum). Then $\operatorname{Pr}[|\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}]| \geq \delta] \leq 2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \delta^{2} / s}$.
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ value of sample $i$. Then $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}]=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=s \cdot($ fraction of 1's in $w)$
$\operatorname{Pr}[\mid($ sample average $)-$ (fraction of 1 's in $w) \mid \geq \varepsilon]=\operatorname{Pr}[|\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}]| \geq \varepsilon s]$

$$
\leq 2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \delta^{2} / s}=2 e^{-2}<1 / 3
$$

Apply Hoeffding Bound with $\delta=\varepsilon s$
substitute $s=1 / \varepsilon^{2}$

## Approximating \# of Connected Components

[Chazelle Rubinfeld Trevisan]
Input: a graph $G=(V, E)$ on n vertices

- in adjacency lists representation
(a list of neighbors for each vertex)
- maximum degree $d$

Exact Answer: $\Omega(\mathrm{dn})$ time


Additive approximation: \# of CC $\pm \varepsilon n$

$$
\text { with probability } \geq 2 / 3
$$

Time:

- Known: $O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^{2}} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right), \Omega\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$
- Today: $O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^{3}} \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$



## Approximating \# of CCs: Main Idea

- Let $C=$ number of components
- For every vertex $u$, define
$n_{u}=$ number of nodes in u's component
- for each component $A: \sum_{u \in A} \frac{1}{n_{u}}=1$

$$
\sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{n_{u}}=C
$$



- Estimate this sum by estimating $n_{u}$ 's for a few random nodes
- If $u$ 's component is small, its size can be computed by BFS.
- If $u$ 's component is big, then $1 / n_{u}$ is small, so it does not contribute much to the sum
- Can stop BFS after a few steps

Similar to property tester for connectedness [Goldreich Ron]

## Approximating \# of CCs: Algorithm

## Estimating $n_{u}=$ the number of nodes in $u$ 's component:

- Let estimate $\hat{n}_{u}=\min \left\{n_{u}, \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right\}$
- When $u$ 's component has $\leq 2 / \varepsilon$ nodes, $\hat{n}_{u}=n_{u}$
- Else $\hat{n}_{u}=2 / \varepsilon$, and so $0<\frac{1}{\hat{n}_{u}}-\frac{1}{n_{u}}<\frac{1}{\hat{n}_{u}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

$$
\}\left|\frac{1}{\hat{n}_{u}}-\frac{1}{n_{u}}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

- Corresponding estimate for C is $\hat{C}=\sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{\hat{n}_{u}}$. It is a good estimate: $|\hat{C}-C|=$

$$
\left|\sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{\hat{n}_{u}}-\sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{n_{u}}\right| \leq \sum_{u \in V}\left|\frac{1}{\hat{n}_{u}}-\frac{1}{n_{u}}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon n}{2}
$$

APPROX_\#_CCs (G, d, $\varepsilon$ )

1. Repeat $s=\Theta\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ times:
2. pick a random vertex $u$
3. compute $\hat{n}_{u}$ via BFS from $u$, storing all discovered nodes in a sorted list and stopping after at most $2 / \varepsilon$ new nodes
4. Return $\tilde{C}=\left(\right.$ average of the values $\left.1 / \hat{n}_{u}\right) \cdot n$

Run time: $O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^{3}} \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$

## Approximating \# of CCs: Analysis

Want to show: $\operatorname{Pr}\left[|\tilde{C}-\hat{C}|>\frac{\varepsilon n}{2}\right] \leq \frac{1}{3}$

## Hoeffding Bound

Let $\mathrm{Y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{S}}$ be independently distributed random variables in $[0,1]$ and let $\mathrm{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (sample sum). Then $\operatorname{Pr}[|\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}]| \geq \delta] \leq 2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \delta^{2} / s}$.

Let $Y_{i}=1 / \hat{n}_{u}$ for the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ vertex $u$ in the sample

- $\mathrm{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{s \tilde{C}}{n}$ and $\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}]=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=s \cdot \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{Y}_{1}\right]=s \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{\hat{n}_{v}}=\frac{s \hat{C}}{n}$
$\operatorname{Pr}\left[|\tilde{C}-\hat{C}|>\frac{\varepsilon n}{2}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|\frac{n}{s} Y-\frac{n}{s} E[Y]\right|>\frac{\varepsilon n}{2}\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[|\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Y}]|>\frac{\varepsilon s}{2}\right] \leq 2 e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} s}{2}}$
- Need $s=\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ samples to get probability $\leq \frac{1}{3}$


## Approximating \# of CCs: Analysis

So far: $\quad|\hat{C}-C| \leq \frac{\varepsilon n}{2}$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[|\tilde{C}-\hat{C}|>\frac{\varepsilon n}{2}\right] \leq \frac{1}{3}
$$

- With probability $\geq \frac{2}{3}$,

$$
|\tilde{C}-C| \leq|\tilde{C}-\hat{C}|+|\hat{C}-C| \leq \frac{\varepsilon n}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon n}{2} \leq \varepsilon n
$$

## Summary:

The number of connected components in $n$-vertex graphs of degree at most $d$ can be estimated within $\pm \varepsilon n$ in time $O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^{3}} \cdot \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$.

## Minimum spanning tree (MST)

- What is the cheapest way to connect all the dots?

Input: a weighted graph
with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges


- Exact computation:
- Deterministic $O(m \cdot$ inverse-Ackermann $(m))$ time [Chazelle]
- Randomized $O(m)$ time [Karger Klein Tarjan]


## Approximating MST Weight in Sublinear Time

## [Chazelle Rubinfeld Trevisan]

Input: a graph $G=(V, E)$ on n vertices

- in adjacency lists representation
- maximum degree $d$ and maximum allowed weight $w$
- weights in $\{1,2, \ldots, w\}$

Output: $(1+\varepsilon)$-approximation to MST weight, $w_{M S T}$
Number of queries:

- Known: $O\left(\frac{d w}{\varepsilon^{3}} \log \frac{d w}{\varepsilon}\right), \Omega\left(\frac{d w}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$

- Today: small polynomial in $d, w, 1 / \varepsilon$


## Idea Behind Algorithm

- Characterize MST weight in terms of number of connected components in certain subgraphs of $G$
- Already know that number of connected components can be estimated quickly


## MST and Connected Components: Warm-up

- Recall Kruskal's algorithm for computing MST exactly.

Suppose all weights are 1 or 2 . Then MST weight
$=(\#$
weight-1 edges in MST) + $2 \cdot$ (\# weight- 2 edges in MST)

$$
\begin{array}{llrl}
= & n-1+(\# \text { of weight- } 2 \text { edges in MST }) & \text { MST has } n-1 \text { edges } \\
=n-1+(\# \text { of CCs induced by weight-1 edges })-1 & \text { By Kruskal }
\end{array}
$$


weight 1
weight 2

connected components


MST

## MST and Connected Components

In general: Let $G_{i}=$ subgraph of $G$ containing all edges of weight $\leq i$ $C_{i}=$ number of connected components in $G_{i}$ Then MST has $C_{i}-1$ edges of weight $>i$.

$$
w_{M S T}(G)=n-w+\sum_{i=1}^{w-1} C_{i}
$$

- Let $\beta_{i}$ be the number of edges of weight $>i$ in MST
- Each MST edge contributes 1 to $w_{M S T}$, each MST edge of weight >1 contributes 1 more, each MST edge of weight >2 contributes one more, ...

$$
w_{M S T}(G)=\sum_{i=0}^{w-1} \beta_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{w-1}\left(C_{i}-1\right)=-w+\sum_{i=0}^{w-1} C_{i}=n-w+\sum_{i=1}^{w-1} C_{i}
$$

## Algorithm for Approximating $w_{\text {MST }}$

APPROX_MSTweight (G, w, d, $\varepsilon$ )

1. For $i=1$ to $w-1$ do:
2. $\quad \tilde{C}_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{APPROX} \# \operatorname{CCs}\left(G_{i}, d, \varepsilon / \mathrm{w}\right)$.
3. Return $\widetilde{w}_{M S T}=n-w+\sum_{i=1}^{w-1} \tilde{C}_{i}$.

Analysis:

- Suppose all estimates of $C_{i}$ 's are good: $\left|\tilde{C}_{i}-C_{i}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{w} n$.

Then $\left|\widetilde{w}_{M S T}-w_{M S T}\right|=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{w-1}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}-C_{i}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{w-1}\left|\tilde{C}_{i}-C_{i}\right| \leq w \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{w} n=\varepsilon n$

- $\operatorname{Pr}[$ all $w-1$ estimates are good $] \geq(2 / 3)^{w-1}$
- Not good enough! Need error probability $\leq \frac{1}{3 w}$ for each iteration
- Then, by Union Bound, $\operatorname{Pr}[$ error $] \leq w \cdot \frac{1}{3 w}=\frac{1}{3}$

Can amplify success probability of any algorithm by repeating it and taking the median answer.
Can take more samples in APPROX_\#CCs. What's the resulting run time?

## Multiplicative Approximation for $w_{M S T}$

For MST cost, additive approximation $\Rightarrow$ multiplicative approximation

$$
w_{M S T} \geq n-1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad w_{M S T} \geq n / 2 \text { for } n \geq 2
$$

- $\varepsilon n$-additive approximation:

$$
w_{M S T}-\varepsilon n \leq \widehat{w}_{M S T} \leq w_{M S T}+\varepsilon n
$$

- ( $1 \pm 2 \varepsilon$ )-multiplicative approximation:

$$
w_{M S T}(1-2 \varepsilon) \leq w_{M S T}-\varepsilon n \leq \widehat{w}_{M S T} \leq w_{M S T}+\varepsilon n \leq w_{M S T}(1+2 \varepsilon)
$$

